Appendix

[The brief of the appellant or petitioner shall include an appendix containing a copy of the Court of Criminal Appeals decision, unpublished opinions cited in the brief, and relevant extracts of rules and regulations. The appellee or respondent shall similarly file an appendix containing a copy of any additional unpublished opinions and relevant extracts of rules and regulations cited in the answer.]

(Signature of counsel)

(Typed name of counsel)

(Address of counsel)

(Telephone no. of counsel)

Certificate of Filing and Service

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was [mailed] [delivered] to the Court and [mailed] [delivered] to (enter name of each counsel of record) on

(date)

(Typed name and signature)

(Address and telephone no.)

- **(b)** Page limitations. Unless otherwise authorized by order of the Court or by motion of a party granted by the Court (see Rule 30), the page limitations for briefs filed with the Court, not including appendices, shall be as follows:
- (1) Briefs of the appellants/petitioners shall not exceed 50 pages;
- (2) Answers of the appellees/respondents shall not exceed 50 pages;
- (3) Replies of the appellants/petitioners shall not exceed 15 pages.

Proposed Revision to Rule 41(a)

Rule 41. Photographing, Televising, *Recording*, or Broadcasting of Hearings

- (a) The photographing, televising, **recording**, or broadcasting of any session of the Court or other activity relating thereto is prohibited within the confines of the courthouse unless authorized by the Court.
- **(b)** Any violation of this rule will be deemed a contempt of this Court and, after due notice and hearing, may be punished accordingly. See 18 U.S.C Sec. 401.

DATES: Comments on the proposed changes must be received by (60 days from date of publication).

ADDRESSES: Forward written comments to William A. DeCicco, Clerk of the Court, United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces, 450 E Street, Northwest, Washington, DC 20442–0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William A. DeCicco, Clerk of the Court, telephone (202) 761–1448 (Ext. 600).

Rules Advisory Committee Comment on Rule 13(c)

The current rule requires payment of \$25.00 for admission to the Court's Bar. Due to an increase in the cost of printing bar admission certificates, the fee needs to be changed. Rather than simply changing the

amount in the Rule, however, an easier solution is to allow the Court to modify the amount of the fee by court order instead of a formal rule change. This procedure is similar to that of other courts and will make future changes less cumbersome. It will also give the Court more flexibility.

Rules Advisory Committee Comment on Rule 20(b) and (c)

The proposed revision to Rule 20(b) will require counsel to include on the petition for grant of review an e-mail address, if any, and information regarding the date and manner of filing. Having counsel's e-mail address will aid the Court in contacting counsel should questions arise while the case is pending. Requiring the date and manner of filing will clarify exactly when the petition was filed and whether it was mailed or delivered by hand to the Court. The proposed revision to Rule 20(c) requires the Clerk to stamp the petition with the date it was received and, for petitions filed by mail, to retain the envelope showing the postmark thereon. This change will better enable the Court to record the filing date of the petition in case the timeliness of filing becomes an issue in the

Rules Advisory Committee Comment on Rule 21(b)

Revised subsection (b)(2) is based upon Supreme Court Rule 14(e)(iv) and Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 28(a)(4). Its purpose is to demonstrate that the petition is based upon a decision or order from which review may be sought and that the petition falls within the ambit of the Court's jurisdictional authority to grant review.

An example of a statement of statutory jurisdiction under this subsection would read as follows:

The jurisdictional of the [service] Court of Criminal Appeals rested upon Article 66(b)(1), UCMJ. This Court's jurisdiction is revoked under Article 67(a)(3), UCMJ.

The purpose of the revision to the extent subsection (b)(2) is to provident a concise but comprehensive summary of the history of the case with respect to which review is sought. The changes will make more information available to the Court, including the statutory basis for invoking the jurisdiction of the Court. To the extent that dates relevant to the jurisdiction of the Court are established in the record, they are to be included in this section

Rules Advisory Committee Comment on Rule 24

This revision is based upon Supreme Court Rule 24(e) and Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 28(a)(4). As in the case of the amendment to Rule 21(b), its purpose is to set out the statutory authorities vesting this Court with jurisdiction.

The "Statement of the Case" is modified to require a brief but comprehensive summary of the prior disposition of the case.

Rules Advisory Committee Comment on Rule 41

This revision adds a prohibition on the recording of appellate sessions within the confines of the courthouse unless authorized by the Court. This is consistent with the

practice of other appellate courts and includes both video and audio record of proceedings.

Dated: June 25, 2001.

L.M. Bvnum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, DoD.

[FR Doc. 01–16539 Filed 7–2–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–08–M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education. SUMMARY: The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before August 2, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Lauren Wittenberg, Acting Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, N.W., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503 or should be electronically mailed to the internet address Lauren_Wittenberg@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or

Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Dated: June 27, 2001.

John Tressler,

Leader, Regulatory Information Management, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

Office of Postsecondary Education

Type of Review: Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

Title: Report of Financial Need and Certification for the Jacob K. Javits Fellowship Program (JS).

Frequency: Annually.
Affected Public: Not-for-profit nstitutions.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden: Responses: 100; Burden Hours: 400.

Abstract: The Department of Education (ED) uses this form to collect financial need information of students who have Javits fellowships and certification of academic progress of Javits fellows from institutions where Javits fellows attend. ED uses the data to calculate fellowship amounts for individuals and the total amount of program funds to be sent to the institution.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from http://edicsweb.ed.gov/owa-cgi/owa/browsecoll?psn=01401, or should be addressed to Vivian Reese, Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Room 4050, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, D.C. 20202–4651. Requests may also be electronically mailed to the internet address OCIO_RIMG@ed.gov or faxed to 202–708–9346. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be directed to Joe Schubart at (202) 708–9266. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

[FR Doc. 01–16643 Filed 7–2–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Office of Science

Office of Science Financial Assistance Program Notice 01–27: Advanced Detector Research Program

AGENCY: U.S. Department of Energy (DOE).

ACTION: Notice inviting grant applications.

SUMMARY: The Division of High Energy Physics of the Office of Science (SC), U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), hereby announces its interest in receiving grant applications for support under its Advanced Detector Research Program. Applications should be from investigators who are currently involved in experimental high energy physics, and should be submitted through a U.S. academic institution. The purpose of this program is to support the development of the new detector technologies needed to perform future high energy physics experiments.

DATES: To permit timely consideration for award in fiscal year 2002, formal applications submitted in response to this notice should be received before October 30, 2001.

Applicants are requested to submit a letter of intent by September 25, 2001, which includes the title of the proposal, the name of the principal investigator(s), the requested funding and a one-page abstract. Failure to submit a letter of intent will not negatively prejudice a responsive formal application submitted in a timely manner. Electronic submission of letters of intent is both acceptable and preferred.

ADDRESSES: Completed formal applications referencing Program Notice 01-27 should be forwarded to: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Grants and Contracts Division, SC-64, 19901 Germantown Road, Germantown, Maryland 20874–1290, ATTN: Program Notice 01-27. The above address must also be used when submitting applications by U.S. Postal Service Express Mail, any other commercial mail delivery service, or when hand carried by the applicant. An original and seven copies of the application must be submitted. Due to the anticipated number of reviewers, it would be helpful for each applicant to submit an additional four copies of the application. In addition, for this notice, project descriptions must be 25 pages or less, including tables and figures, but excluding attachments. The application must also contain an abstract or project summary, letters of intent from all nonfunded collaborators, and short curriculum vitae of all senior personnel.

Letters of intent referencing Program Notice 01–27, should be forwarded to: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Division of High Energy Physics, SC–221, 19901 Germantown Road, Germantown, MD 20874–1290, ATTN: Michael Procario. Letters of intent can also be submitted via E-mail

at the following E-mail address: michael.procario@science.doe.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Michael Procario, Division of High Energy Physics, SC–221 (GTN), U.S. Department of Energy, 19901 Germantown Road, Germantown, Maryland 20874–1290. Telephone: (301) 903–2890. E-Mail: michael.procario@science.doe.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Future high energy physics experiments will require higher performance detectors to exploit the higher beam energies and intensities of new or upgraded accelerators. Higher performance detectors are also needed to probe for new physical processes in both accelerator and non-accelerator based experiments. Proposed detector research should be driven by the anticipated needs of experiments to be built within the foreseeable future, as well as upgrades to current experiments. Interesting technologies would include, but not be limited to charged particle track detectors, calorimeters or particle identification detectors that are less sensitive to radiation, have higher resolution, are lower in cost, or can be read out faster than currently available

It is anticipated that in fiscal year 2002, approximately \$500,000 will be awarded in total, subject to availability of appropriated funds. The number of awards will be determined by the number of excellent applications and the total funds available for this program. Multiple year funding of grant awards is possible, with funding provided on an annual basis subject to availability of funds. Cost sharing is encouraged but not required. It is expected that the final development or fabrication of detectors for specific experiments will not be funded by this program.

Applicants are welcome to collaborate with researchers in other institutions, such as universities, industry, nonprofit organizations, federal laboratories and Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs), including DOE National Laboratories, such as Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory. In the case of collaborative applications submitted from different institutions that are directed at a single research activity, each application must have a different scope of work and a qualified principal investigator who is responsible for the research effort being performed at his or her institution. There must be a single technical description of the proposed work, and separate face pages and budget pages for each institution. The scope of work at