send you a Grant Award Notification (GAN). We may also notify you informally.

If your application is not evaluated or not selected for funding, we notify you.

2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements: We identify administrative and national policy requirements in the application package and reference these and other requirements in the Applicable Regulations section of this notice.

We reference the regulations outlining the terms and conditions of an award in the *Applicable Regulations* section of this notice and include these and other specific conditions in the GAN. The GAN also incorporates your approved application as part of your binding commitments under the grant.

3. *Reporting:* At the end of your project period, you must submit a final performance report, including financial information, as directed by the Secretary. If you receive a multi-year award, you must submit an annual performance report that provides the most current performance and financial expenditure information as specified by the Secretary in 34 CFR 75.118.

4. Performance Measures: Under the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA), the Department has developed measures that will yield information on various aspects of the Technical Assistance and Dissemination to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities program. These measures, which will be used for the Postsecondary Education Programs for Individuals who are Deaf competition, focus on: The extent to which projects provide high quality products and services, the relevance of project products and services to educational and early intervention policy and practice, and the use of products and services to improve educational and early intervention policy and practice.

We will notify grantees if they will be required to provide any information related to these measures.

Grantees will also be required to report information on their projects' performance in annual reports to the Department (34 CFR 75.590).

# VII. Agency Contact

For Further Information Contact: Louise Tripoli, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., room 4117, Potomac Center Plaza, Washington, DC 20202–2550. Telephone: (202) 245–7554.

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1– 800–877–8339. Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternative format (*e.g.*, Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request by contacting the following office: The Grants and Contracts Services Team, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Potomac Center Plaza, Washington, DC 20202–2550. Telephone: (202) 245– 7363.

#### VIII. Other Information

*Electronic Access to This Document:* You may view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: http://www.ed.gov/news/ fedregister

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1– 888–293–6498; or in the Washington, DC area at (202) 512–1530.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. Free Internet access to the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: http://www.gpoaccess.gov/nara/ index.html

Dated: June 2, 2006.

#### John H. Hager,

Assistant Secretary for Special Education and Rehabilitative Services. [FR Doc. E6–8913 Filed 6–7–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Vocational and Adult Education, Department of Education; Notice of Waivers for the Native American Vocational Technical Education Program (NAVTEP) and the Tribally Controlled Postsecondary Vocational and Technical Institutions Program (TCPVTIP) and Funding of Continuation Grants

**SUMMARY:** The Secretary waives the requirements in 34 CFR 75.250 of the Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) that generally prohibit project periods exceeding five years and announces the funding of continuation grants for current NAVTEP and TCPVTIP grantees. These waivers enable the 30 current eligible grantees under NAVTEP and the two current eligible grantees under TCPVTIP to apply for and continue to receive Federal funding beyond the five-

year limitation contained in 34 CFR 75.250.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* These waivers are effective June 8, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sharon A. Jones, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Room 11108, Potomac Center Plaza, Washington, DC 20202–7242. Telephone: (202) 245–7803 or by e-mail: *sharon.jones@ed.gov.* 

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1– 800–877–8339.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternative format (*e.g.*, Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On April 6, 2006, we published a notice in the **Federal Register** (71 FR 17460) proposing waivers of 34 CFR 75.250 of EDGAR in order to give early notice of the possibility that additional years of funding under NAVTEP and TCPVTIP may be available for current grantees through continuation awards.

NAVTEP and TCPVTIP support grants to operate vocational and technical education programs, as authorized by sections 116(a) through (g) and 117, respectively, of the Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Technical Education Act of 1998 (Perkins Act) (20 U.S.C. 2326(a) through (g) and 2327). The Congress is now in the process of reauthorizing the Perkins Act, and we do not believe that it would be in the public interest to hold new competitions under either NAVTEP or TCPVTIP until after Congress has concluded that process.

We stated in the April 6, 2006, Federal Register notice that in order to avoid a lapse in the availability of vocational and technical education and training provided by current NAVTEP and TCPVTIP grantees, we wanted to waive the requirements in 34 CFR 75.250, which generally prohibit project periods exceeding five years. We also noted that we wanted to review requests for continuation awards from current NAVTEP and TCPVTIP grantees, rather than hold new competitions in fiscal year (FY) 2006. With these waivers we will continue to fund current, eligible NAVTEP and TCPVTIP grantees for as long as Congress continues to appropriate funds for the existing program authorities and possibly during a transition to any new statutory program authorities.

## Analysis of Comments and Changes

In response to the Secretary's invitation in the notice of the proposed waivers, 515 parties submitted comments regarding the proposed waiver under NAVTEP. We received numerous letters making the same argument opposing the NAVTEP waiver, from commenters affiliated with two Indian tribes and one tribal organization, who are currently not funded under NAVTEP. We appreciate hearing their views. Numerous comments were also submitted in support of the NAVTEP waiver. No comments were submitted regarding TCPVTIP. An analysis of the comments follows.

*Comments:* Numerous commenters were opposed to the waiver that affects NAVTEP because the waiver would eliminate any opportunity for a new competition and possibly a chance for currently unfunded tribal entities to receive funding with which to provide vocational education for their tribal members.

Some of these commenters indicated that their tribe has been waiting many years for the Department to announce a new NAVTEP competition. These commenters stated that they believe the proposed waiver would be unreasonable and even harmful to their tribal members who cannot participate in the program because the Department has not held a new competition since 2001. One commenter indicated that for the past two years the Department's earlier waiver of 34 CFR 75.261(c)(2) for this program has made it impossible to submit new applications, and that the additional proposed waiver of 34 CFR 75.250 would have the effect of preventing the commenter's tribal organization from applying for NAVTEP funding for a third year. This commenter believed that NAVTEP is currently funding less than 10 percent of potentially eligible tribes and tribal organizations and that continuing to fund the current 30 grantees would be at the expense of other potential applicants.

Numerous commenters supported the proposed waiver of 34 CFR 75.250 for NAVTEP. Some supported the waiver because of the uncertainties associated with the pending Perkins Act reauthorization and were concerned that, without a waiver of 34 CFR 75.250, grantees would operate projects for only one year before having to retool their programs and write new applications to meet potentially different requirements under a reauthorized Perkins Act. These commenters stated that they did not believe it would be in the best interest of the program to have Indian tribes and tribal organizations expend valuable resources writing proposals for projects that may be approved for only one year. They stated that, under these circumstances, preparing an application for a new competition would be an unnecessary burden, would be disruptive, and would not be an efficient use of staff time and limited funding.

Some of the commenters who supported the proposed waiver also expressed concern that it would be too late in the year to expect eligible applicants to find the time and resources to prepare and submit new applications. These commenters thought it would be sensible for the Department to extend current NAVTEP projects while the legislation is still pending.

Many commenters supported the waiver because they believed it would enable current projects to build on their many accomplishments (*e.g.*, by strengthening current programs, increasing student participation, and significantly increasing the number of students graduating). Other commenters believed that the continuation of current grants would allow grantees to provide uninterrupted services to hundreds of students whose certificate or degree programs might otherwise be stopped.

Several commenters supported the continuation of projects because they felt that it takes three to five years to fully develop effective programs and that multi-year projects are vitally necessary for Native American students to complete vocational and technical education programs that lead to associate degrees or certificates. These commenters expressed their support for the waiver for NAVTEP because they believe it would give their projects the additional time they needed to be fully developed.

Discussion: In the April 6, 2006, notice of proposed waivers, the Secretary expressed particular interest in hearing from potential applicants regarding the possible impact of the proposed waivers. We received many letters regarding NAVTEP from commenters who are affiliated with two Indian tribes and one tribal organization and appreciate hearing their views. We understand the commenters' desire for the Department to hold a new competition so that their particular tribe or tribal organization may have an opportunity to receive funding and provide services to their tribal members under NAVTEP. Indeed, the possibility of providing a new opportunity for new eligible applicants to apply for NAVTEP funding was one important consideration in our deliberations over

whether to propose a waiver of 34 CFR 75.250. In reaching our decision to propose the waiver, we considered the best interests of all potential applicants and students served by NAVTEP under current circumstances. With a waiver of 34 CFR 75.250, current NAVTEP projects would be funded for a sixth year. Many program managers, including several commenters, believe multi-year projects are desirable because they provide the time needed to develop and implement highly effective programs. While extending current multi-year projects has the advantage of providing current grantees the opportunity to more fully develop their vocational education programs and may be more cost-effective programmatically, we recognize that it also serves to delay opportunities for unfunded eligible applicants to compete for funds and develop new projects.

While examining the possible effects of a waiver on potential applicants, we looked at the history of competitions under NAVTEP. We found that, while over 500 federally recognized Indian tribes are eligible to apply for NAVTEP competitions, on the average, only 78 tribes actually apply during a competition. Further, we found that during the last two competitions several of the then-current applicants submitted very strong applications, and, as a result, many of the same tribes or tribal organizations received an award under each competition. Based on the history of NAVTEP, therefore, although NAVTEP competitions are open to all eligible tribal entities, it is likely that only a limited group of new applicants would be selected and funded in any event.

Further, because Congress appears close to reauthorizing the Perkins Act, awarding multi-year projects under the existing NAVTEP statutory authority would likely result in grantees operating projects that are not fully aligned with provisions of the reauthorized Perkins Act (absent the Department holding a new NAVTEP competition following reauthorization). The Department plans to carry out the intent of Congress and implement all applicable provisions of any reauthorized legislation as quickly as possible following reauthorization of the Perkins Act. Therefore, we concluded that holding a new NAVTEP competition in 2006 would mean holding a competition for only one-year NAVTEP projects, and we do not believe that one-year projects would be programmatically appropriate for NAVTEP. One year is not enough time for grantees to establish and operate effective NAVTEP programs. Moreover, one-year projects would not be a costeffective use of NAVTEP funds, and it would not be in the best interest of the program to require applicants to spend time and resources writing applications for one-year projects. Many of the commenters responding to the invitation to comment shared this view.

Having weighed these and other factors, we concluded that it would be contrary to the public interest to hold a 2006 NAVTEP competition, pending reauthorization of the Perkins Act. We believe that continuing to fund current grantees best serves the interests of NAVTEP and the population served under the program and is the best use of the available Federal resources.

Change: None.

*Comments:* A number of commenters reasoned that, because the current changes to NAVTEP in the House and Senate reauthorization bills are so minor, there was no reason for the Department to wait for reauthorization of the Perkins Act before holding a new competition under NAVTEP. One of these commenters stated that because changes in the two bills are minor, no changes could be expected in conference. This commenter added that committee staff have indicated that they are not aware of any proposal to suggest changes to NAVTEP in conference.

Discussion: In deciding whether to propose a waiver of 34 CFR 75.250 for NAVTEP, we considered the possible effects of the pending reauthorization and of the bills currently before the House and the Senate. At this time, the ultimate outcome of the legislative process is uncertain. In addition, to assess the impact of changes currently in the reauthorization bills, one has to look at the changes proposed throughout the entire bill, not just in the sections containing the NAVTEP authority. Other provisions of the House and Senate bills include substantive changes to vocational and technical education programs, including changes to provisions relating to allowable program activities, that may well affect the operation of projects under NAVTEP. Furthermore, because the Congress is still discussing the Perkins Act reauthorization, it is possible that additional changes could be made to the NAVTEP provision while the two bills are being reconciled in conference. Therefore, we continue to believe that it would not be prudent to hold a new competition prior to reauthorization of the Perkins Act and to fund new projects that, after a short period of time and considerable start-up costs, might have to be significantly changed in order to be brought into compliance with a newly reauthorized statute. We have concluded that such an action

would be burdensome for tribes and tribal organizations, would not be the best use of available Federal resources, and, more importantly, would be unfair to student participants who may be prevented from participating in longerterm degree programs or completing multi-year programs of study.

Change: None.

# Waiver of Delayed Effective Date

The Administrative Procedure Act requires that a substantive rule be published at least 30 days before its effective date, except as otherwise provided for good cause (5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3)). We provided affected entities an opportunity for comment on the Secretary's intent to waive 34 CFR 75.250 in order to continue current grants under NAVTEP and TCPVTIP. In order to make timely continuation grants before the authorities to expend these funds expire, the Secretary has determined that a delayed effective date is not required.

# Waiver of 34 CFR 75.250 of the Education Department General Administrative Regulations

In order to provide for continuation awards, we waive the requirements in 34 CFR 75.250 that generally prohibit project periods exceeding five years and announce the funding of continuation grants for current NAVTEP and TCPVTIP grantees.

These waivers mean that—

(1) Current NAVTEP and TCPVTIP grantees are authorized to apply for continuation awards for as long as Congress continues to appropriate funds for the existing program authorities and possibly during a transition to any new program authorities;

(2) Current NAVTEP and TCPVTIP grants will be continued at least through FY 2006 and possibly beyond, if Congress continues to appropriate funds for NAVTEP and TCPVTIP under their current statutory authorities; and

(3) We will neither announce new competitions nor make new awards under NAVTEP or TCPVTIP in FY 2006.

# Continuation of the Current Grantee Awards

The instructions for requesting a continuation award and the requirements applicable to continuation awards for current NAVTEP and TCPVTIP grantees that were established in our July 16, 2004, **Federal Register** notice for NAVTEP (69 FR 42701) and July 29, 2002, **Federal Register** notice for TCPVTIP (67 FR 49015) and the requirements in 34 CFR 75.118 and 75.253 apply to any continuation

awards sought by eligible current grantees under these programs.

The waivers of 34 CFR 75.250 and 75.261(c)(2) neither exempt current NAVTEP and TCPVTIP grantees from the account closing provisions of 31 U.S.C. 1552(a) nor do they extend the availability of funds previously awarded to current NAVTEP and TCPVTIP grantees. As a result of 31 U.S.C. 1552(a), appropriations available for a limited period may be used for payment of valid obligations for only five years after the expiration of their period of availability for Federal obligation. After that time, the unexpended balance of those funds is canceled and returned to the U.S. Treasury Department and is unavailable for restoration for any purpose.

## **Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification**

The Secretary certifies that the waivers will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

The small entities that will be affected by these waivers are—

(a) The FY 2000 grantees currently receiving Federal funds and the following entities that are eligible for an award under NAVTEP:

(1) Federally recognized Indian tribes.

(2) Tribal organizations.

(3) Alaska Native entities.

(4) Bureau-funded schools (as defined in the notice inviting applications published in the **Federal Register** on January 3, 2001 (66 FR 560)), except for Bureau-funded schools proposing to use their award to support secondary school vocational and technical education programs; and

(b) The FY 2001 grantees currently receiving Federal funds and other tribally controlled postsecondary vocational and technical institutions that do not receive Federal support under the Tribally Controlled College or University Assistance Act of 1978 (25 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*) or the Navajo Community College Act (25 U.S.C. 640a *et seq.*) and that are eligible for an award under TCPVTIP.

However, the Secretary certifies that these waivers are not likely to have a significant economic impact on these entities because the waivers and the activities required to support the additional years of funding will not impose excessive regulatory burdens or require unnecessary Federal supervision. The waivers will impose minimal requirements to ensure the proper expenditure of program funds, including requirements that are standard for continuation awards.

# **Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995**

This notice of waivers does not contain any information collection requirements.

#### **Electronic Access to This Document**

You may view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: *http://www.ed.gov/ news/fedregister*.

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1– 888–293–6498; or in the Washington, DC area at (202) 512–1530.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. Free Internet access to the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: http://www.gpoaccess.gov/nara/ index.html.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 84.101 Native American Vocational and Technical Education Program and 84.245 Tribally Controlled Postsecondary Vocational and Technical Institutions Program.)

**Program Authority:** 20 U.S.C. 2326(a) through (g) and 20 U.S.C. 2327.

# Dated: June 5, 2006.

Richard T. La Pointe,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Vocational and Adult Education.

[FR Doc. E6–8980 Filed 6–7–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

#### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP06-311-001]

# Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Compliance Filing

June 1, 2006.

Take notice that on May 26, 2006, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets with a proposed effective date of May 19, 2006:

Third Revised Sheet No. 539 First Revised Sheet No. 540

Any person desiring to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211). Protests to this filing will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Such protests must be filed in accordance with the provisions of section 154.210 of the Commission's regulations (18 CFR 154.210). Anyone filing a protest must serve a copy of that document on all the parties to the proceeding.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at *http://www.ferc.gov.* Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at *http://www.ferc.gov,* using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail *FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov,* or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

# Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6–8869 Filed 6–7–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

# DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP06-370-000]

# Dauphin Island Gathering Partners; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

June 1, 2006.

Take notice that on May 25, 2006 Dauphin Island Gathering Partners (Dauphin Island) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets to become effective June 24, 2006:

Second Revised Sheet No. 253 First Revised Sheet No. 286 First Revised Sheet No. 301 First Revised Sheet No. 315

Dauphin Island states that these tariff sheets were filed to delete the CIG/ Granite State discount policy language and to correct several section references in the Transportation Service Agreements. Dauphin Island states that copies of the filing are being served contemporaneously on its customers and other interested parties.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed in accordance with the provisions of section 154.210 of the Commission's regulations (18 CFR 154.210). Anyone filing an intervention or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant. Anyone filing an intervention or protest on or before the intervention or protest date need not serve motions to intervene or protests on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at *http://www.ferc.gov*. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at *http://www.ferc.gov*, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail *FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov*, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

#### Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary. [FR Doc. E6–8871 Filed 6–7–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P