(2) New Hope Arm of Jordan Lake (above Jordan Lake Dam and below US 64):

(3) Cape Fear River/Haw River above Buckhorn Dam;

(4) Harris Lake/Utley Creek. Alternative Water Reclamation Facility Sites: Preliminary investigations identified 30 potential locations for the Water Reclamation Facility (WRF). The DEIS will analyze all 30 potential locations to identify the impacts and use the information to make an appropriate site selection.

Alternative Raw Wastewater Pumping and Conveyance; Alternative raw wastewater pump station sites and force main routes will be evaluated in the DEIS for the preferred WRF site and discharge location.

Alternative Effluent Pumping and Conveyance: The effluent pump station will be located at the WRF site; therefore, selection of an effluent pump station site is inherent in the WRF site selection process. Alternative effluent force main routes will be evaluated in the DEIS for the preferred WRF site and discharge location.

Alternative Outfall Configurations at Cape Fear River: The DEIS will include evaluation of two configuration for the outfall structure. The alternatives to be evaluated include (a) bank discharge structure, and (b) instream diffuser.

Direct Environmental Impacts: The DEIS will identify and discuss the direct impacts of the proposed Project and feasible alternatives on topography, floodplains, soils, land use, wetlands, prime farmlands, public lands, historic and archaeological resources, air quality, noise, water resources, forest resources, shellfish and fish, wildlife and natural vegetation, the introduction of toxic substances, shore erosion and accretion, energy needs, safety, food and fiber production, mineral needs, and property ownership. Geographic Information System (GIS) data and mapping will be used to evaluate direct environmental impacts of the proposed Project and alternatives.

Secondary and Cumulative Environmental Impacts: The Western Wake Partners have developed Secondary and Cumulative Impacts Master Mitigation Plans to address secondary and cumulative impacts that are expected to result from the Western Wake Regional Wastewater Management Facilities project as well as other infrastructure projects that will be implemented in the Partners' jurisdictions in the future. Additional secondary and cumulative impacts will be addressed in the DEIS as recommended by the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). GIS

data and mapping will be used to evaluate and quantify secondary and cumulative impacts of the proposed Project to stream and wetland resources.

Environmental Justice: In accordance with Executive Order 12898, the DEIS will include an evaluation of the proposed Project's impact on minority and low-income populations. US Census Bureau data and GIS mapping will be used to determine the existence of all minority and low-income populations in the Projects service area and in the affected area for the preferred WRF site.

Endangered Species: A biological assessment will be included as an appendix to the document for the preferred alternative in accordance with the Endangered Species Act.

Project Costs: Project costs will be evaluated based on a 20 year present-worth costs and Phase 1 capital costs. 20-year present-worth costs allow for a direct comparison of long-term cost-effectiveness, and Phase 1 capital costs allow for a direct comparison of short-term capital requirements which have an immediate impact on sewer rates, fees, and charges. Mitigation costs for direct impacts to streams and wetlands will be estimated based on the Ecosystem Enhancement Program's schedule of fees.

NEPA/SEPA Preparation and Permitting: Because the proposed Western Wake Regional Wastewater Management Facilities Project requires approvals from federal and state agencies under both the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), a joint Federal and State Environmental Document will be prepared. The US Army Corps of Engineers will serve as the lead agency for the Federal process.

Based on the size and complexity of the proposed Project, the applicant has been encouraged by US Army Corps of Engineers and NCDENR staff to identify and address the environmental impacts of the proposed Project through the DEIS process. Within the DEIS, the applicant will conduct a thorough environmental review, including an evaluation of reasonable, feasible, and financially responsible alternatives. After review of the DEIS and final EIS. a Record of Decision (ROD) will be issued for the EIS document. The ROD will document the completion of the EIS and serve as a basis for further permitting decisions by federal and state agencies.

Scoping Process: A public scoping meeting (see **DATES**) will be held to receive public comment and assess public concerns regarding the

appropriate scope and preparation of the DEIS. Participation in the public meeting by federal, state, and local agencies and other interested organizations and persons is encouraged. The Corps will also be consulting with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service under the Endangered Species Act and the Fish and Wildlife coordination Act. Additionally, the DEIS will assess the potential water quality impacts pursuant to Section 401 of the Clean Water Act. The corps will work closely with the NC DENR to ensure the process complies with all State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements. It is the Corps and NCDENR's intentions to consolidate both NEPA and SEPA processes to eliminate duplications.

Availability of the DEIS: The DEIS is expected to be published and circulated sometime in early 2008, and a public hearing will be held after the publication of the DEIS.

Patrick E. Tilque,

LTC U.S. Army, Deputy District Commander.
[FR Doc. 07–1741 Filed 4–6–07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3710–GN–M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education.
SUMMARY: The IC Clearance Official,
Regulatory Information Management
Services, Office of Management, invites
comments on the proposed information
collection requests as required by the
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.
DATES: Interested persons are invited to
submit comments on or before June 8,

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each

proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: April 3, 2007.

Angela C. Arrington,

IC Clearance Official Regulatory Information Management Services Office of Management.

Institute of Education Sciences

Type of Review: New.

Title: An Investigation of the Impact of a Traits-Based Writing Model on Student Achievement.

Frequency: Semi-Annually; three times per year.

Affected Public: State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs; Individuals or household.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 3,392. Burden Hours: 7,072.

Abstract: This study is designed to test the effectiveness of an analytical trait-based model for teaching and assessing student writing, called 6+1 Trait(r) Writing, by examining its impact on the writing achievement of 5th graders. The model is designed to improve student writing through an integrated approach to teaching and assessing writing skills, and it incorporates ten instructional strategies to develop the specific traits of writing.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from http://edicsweb.ed.gov, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 3299. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW.,

Potomac Center, 9th Floor, Washington, DC 20202–4700. Requests may also be electronically mailed to ICDocketMgr@ed.gov or faxed to 202–

245–6623. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be electronically mailed to *ICDocketMgr@ed.gov*. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

[FR Doc. E7–6617 Filed 4–6–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education. **SUMMARY:** The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before May 9, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Education Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10222, Washington, DC 20503. Commenters are encouraged to submit responses electronically by e-mail to oira_submission@omb.eop.gov or via fax to (202) 395-6974. Commenters should include the following subject line in their response "Comment: [insert OMB number], [insert abbreviated collection name, e.g., "Upward Bound Evaluation"]. Persons submitting comments electronically should not submit paper copies.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The IC Clearance

Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Dated: April 3, 2007.

Angela C. Arrington,

IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management.

Office of the Chief Information Officer

Type of Review: Extension.
Title: Education Resource
Organizations Directory (EROD).
Frequency: On Occasion; Annually.
Affected Public: State, Local, or Tribal
Gov't, SEAs or LEAs; Businesses or
other for-profit; Not-for-profit
institutions.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 3,088. Burden Hours: 677.

Abstract: The Education Resource Organizations Directory (EROD) is an electronic directory of educational resource organizations and services available at the State, regional, and national level. The goal of this directory is to help individuals and organizations identify and contact organizational sources of information and assistance on a broad range of education-related topics. Users of the directory include diverse groups such as teachers, librarians, students, researchers, and parents.

Requests for copies of the information collection submission for OMB review may be accessed from http:// edicsweb.ed.gov, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 3274. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Potomac Center, 9th Floor, Washington, DC 20202–4700. Requests may also be electronically mailed to ICDocketMgr@ed.gov or faxed to 202-245–6623. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements