From April 6, 1917, to January 1918, and November 10, 1941, to August 1945'' shall not be considered "active duty" under the provisions of Public Law 95–202 for the purposes of all laws administered by the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA).

Patsy J. Conner,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 97–648 Filed 1–9–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3910–01–M

Department of the Navy

Notice of Public Scoping Meetings for the Environmental Impact Statement for Developing Homeport Facilities for Three Nimitz-Class Aircraft Carriers in Support of the United States Pacific Fleet

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy announced its intent to prepare this Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and open scoping in the Federal Register on December 3, 1996 and also mailed the announcement to interested parties. This notice is to announce the public scoping meetings.

The scope of the proposed actions is to: (1) determine the appropriate home port for two nuclear-powered aircraft carriers (CVNs) that will replace two conventionally-powered aircraft carriers (CVs) that are currently homeported at Naval Air Station (NAS) North Island in the Naval Complex San Diego, CA, and (2) reevaluate the current location of one CVN homeport at Naval Station (NAVSTA) Everett in order to increase efficiency of support infrastructure, maintenance, and repair capabilities, to reduce costs, and to enhance crew quality of life. Decisions for facilities development need to be made as soon as possible to accommodate planned arrival schedules of the CVNs to the Pacific Fleet (one as early as 2001) and to gain infrastructure benefits prior to upcoming ship maintenance periods (commencing in 1999)

There are three major U.S. areas of Navy concentration in the Pacific: San Diego, CA complex; Puget Sound, WA complex; and Pearl Harbor, HI complex. Naval Air Station (NAS) North Island in the San Diego Naval Complex and Puget Sound Naval Shipyard (PSNS) Bremerton and NAVSTA Everett in the Pacific Northwest are currently designated as CVN home ports. All three locations will be considered as alternative locations for the proposed actions. Although not currently designated as a CVN home port, Pearl Harbor is capable of accommodating deep-draft ships and will also be evaluated as a potential home port.

The EIS will analyze the potential environmental effects of the proposed actions at the alternative locations discussed above, including any associated facilities development and dredging, and other reasonable alternatives identified during the public scoping process. Environmental issues to be addressed in the EIS include: geology, topography, and soils; dredging, hydrology, and water quality; pollution prevention; biology and natural resources; noise; air quality; land use; historic and archaeological resources; socioeconomics, schools, and housing; transportation/circulation/ parking; public facilities and recreation; safety and environmental health; aesthetics; utilities; and environmental justice. Issue analysis will include an evaluation of the direct, indirect, shortterm, and cumulative impacts associated with the proposed actions. No decision to implement the proposed actions will be made until the NEPA process is complete.

ADDRESSES: The Department of the Navy has initiated a scoping process for the purpose of determining the scope of issues to be addressed and for identifying significant issues relative to these proposed actions. Public meetings to receive oral comments from the public will be held in the four primary areas of consideration (San Diego, CA; Bremerton, WA; Everett, WA; and Honolulu, HI). The dates and locations of these meetings are as follows: Bremerton, WA: February 3, 1996, 7:00 pm, Bremerton High School, 1500 13th Street, Bremerton, WA; Everett, WA: February 4, 1996, 7:00 pm, Snohomish County Administration/Courthouse Building, 3000 Rockefeller, Everett, WA; Pearl Harbor, HI: February 6, 1996, 7:00 pm, Farrington High School, 1564 North King Street, Honolulu, HI; Coronado, CA: February 11, 1996, 7:00 pm, Village Hall, Village Elementary School, 600 6th Street, Coronado, CA. These meetings will also be announced in local area newspapers. Navy representatives will be available at the scoping meetings to receive comments from the public regarding issues of concern. A brief presentation describing the proposed actions and the NEPA process will precede a request for public comments. It is important that federal, state, and local agencies, as well as interested organizations and individuals, take this opportunity to identify environmental concerns that they feel should be addressed during the preparation of the EIS. Oral comments will be limited to three minutes. Agencies and the public are invited and encouraged to provide written comments in addition to, or in

lieu of, oral comments at the public meetings. To be most helpful, scoping comments should clearly describe specific issues or topics that the commenter believes the EIS should address. Written comments or questions regarding the scoping process and/or the EIS should be postmarked no later than February 28, 1997 and sent to the following address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Dan Muslin (Code 03PL), Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 1220 Pacific Highway, San Diego, CA 92132–5190; telephone (619) 532–3403.

Dated: Janaury 7, 1997.

D.E. Koenig,

LCDR, JAGC, USN, Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 97–596 Filed 1–9–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3810–FF–M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

National Assessment Governing Board; Meeting

AGENCY: National Assessment Governing Board; ED. **ACTION:** Notice of closed meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda of a forthcoming meeting of the Nominations Committee of the National Assessment Governing Board. This notice also describes the functions of the Board. Notice of this meeting is required under Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

DATES: February 11, 1997.

тіме: 8:30 а.т.-12:00 р.т.

LOCATION: The Brown Palace Hotel, 321 Seventeenth Street, Denver, Colorado.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Ann Wilmer, Operations Officer, National Assessment Governing Board, Suite 825, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Washington, DC 20002–4233, Telephone: (202) 357–6938.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Assessment Governing Board is established under section 412 of the National Education Statistics Act of 1994 (Title IV of the Improving America's Schools Act of 1994), (Pub. L. 103–382).

The Board is established to formulate policy guidelines for the National Assessment of Educational Progress. The Board is responsible for selecting subject areas to be assessed, developing assessment objectives, identifying appropriate achievement goals for each grade and subject tested, and establishing standards and procedures for interstate and national comparisons.

On February 11, 1997, between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 12:00 p.m. there will be discussing the qualifications of nominees for vacancies in the membership of the National Assessment Governing Board. The review and subsequent discussions of this information relate solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of an agency and will disclose information of a personal nature where disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy if conducted in open session. Such matters are protected by exemptions (2) and (6) of Section 552b(c) of Title 5 U.S.C.

A summary of the activities of the meeting and related matters, which are informative to the public, consistent with the policy of 5 U.S.C., 552b, will be available to the public within fourteen days after the meeting.

Records are kept of all Board proceedings and are available for public inspection at the U.S. Department of Education, National Assessment Governing Board, Suite 825, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Washington, DC, from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Dated: January 7, 1997. Roy Truby, *Executive Director, National Assessment Governing Board.* [FR Doc. 97–581 Filed 1–9–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

[Docket Nos. EA-135 and EA-136]

Applications to Export Electric Energy; Newco US, L.P.

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, DOE. **ACTION:** Notice of application.

SUMMARY: Newco US, L.P. (Newco), a limited partnership, has submitted an application to export electric energy to Mexico and Canada pursuant to section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act.

DATES: Comments, protests or requests to intervene must be submitted on or before February 10, 1997. ADDRESSES: Comments, protests or requests to intervene should be addressed as follows: Office of Coal & Power Im/Ex (FE–52), Office of Fossil Energy, U.S. Department of Energy,

1000 Independence Avenue, SW.,

Washington, DC 20585 (FAX 202-287-5736).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Xavier Puslowski (Program Office) 202– 586–4708 or Michael Skinker (Program Attorney) 202–586–6667.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Exports of electricity from the United States to a foreign country are regulated and require authorization under section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act (FPA) (16 U.S.C. 824a(e)).

On November 29, 1996, Newco filed two applications with the Office of Fossil Energy (FE) of the Department of Energy (DOE) for authorization to export electric energy to Mexico (Docket EA– 135) and Canada (Docket EA–136), as a power marketer, pursuant to section 202(e) of the FPA.¹ Specifically, Newco has proposed to transmit to Mexico and Canada electric energy purchased from electric utilities and federal power marketing agencies.

Newco would arrange for the exported energy to be transmitted to Mexico over one or more of the following international transmission or subtransmission lines for which Presidential permits (PP) have been previously issued:

Owner	Location	Voltage	Presidential permit No.
San Diego Gas & Elect.	Miguel, CA	230 KV	PP-68
	Imperial Valley, CA	230 KV	PP-79
El Paso Electric	Diablo, NM	115 KV	PP-92
	Ascarate, TX	115 KV	PP-48
Central Power and Light	Brownsville, TX	138 KV	PP-94
-		69 KV	PP-94
Comision Federal de Electricidad	Eagle Pass, TX	138 KV	PP-50
	Laredo, TX	138 KV	PP-57
	Falcon Dam, TX	138 KV	PP-57

Newco would arrange for the exported energy to be transmitted to Canada over one or more of the following international transmission or subtransmission lines for which Presidential permits (PP) have been previously issued:

Owner	Location	Voltage	Presidential permit No.
Basin Electric	Tioga, ND	230-kV	PP-64
Bonneville Power Administration	Blaine, WA	2–500-kV	PP-10
	Nelway, WA	230-kV	PP-36
	Nelway, WA	230-kV	PP-46
Citizens Utilities	Derby Line, VT	120-kV	PP-66
Detroit Edison	St. Clair, MI	345-kV	PP-38
	Maryville, MI	230-kV	PP-21
	Detroit, MI	230-kV	PP-21
	St. Clair, MI	345-kV	PP-58
Eastern Maine Elect. Coop	Calais, ME	69-kV	PP-32
Joint Owners of Highgate Project	Highgate, VT	345-kV ²	PP-82
Maine Electric Power Co	Houlton, ME	345-kV	PP-43
Maine Public Service Co	Limestone, ME	69-kV	PP-12
	Fort Fairfield, ME	69-kV	PP-12
	Aroostook County, ME	138-kV	PP-29
	Madawaska, ME	2–69–kV	PP-29
Minnesota Power and Light Co	International Falls, MN	115-kV	PP-78

¹Newco is a limited partnership in which Westcoast Power Marketing, Inc. and Coastal Gas Services Company each owns indirectly a 49.5%

limited partnership interest, and Newco US, Inc. owns a 1% general partnership interest.