

such connections, the operator shall notify such subscribers of all broadcast stations carried on the cable system which cannot be viewed via cable without a converter box and shall offer to sell or lease such a converter box to such subscribers. The notice, which may be included in routine billing statements, shall identify the signals that are unavailable without an additional connection, the manner for obtaining such additional connection, and instructions for installation. These notification and recordkeeping requirements ensure that subscribers are aware of which channels cannot be viewed without converter boxes and which channels are defined as must-carry. The records kept by cable television systems are reviewed by Commission staff during field inspections and by local public officials to assess the system's compliance with applicable rules and regulations. 47 CFR 76.1614 states that a cable operator shall respond in writing within 30 days to any written request by any person for the identification of the signals carried on its system in fulfillment of the must-carry requirements of section 76.56.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 05-5503 Filed 3-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 022 3278]

CompUSA Inc.; Analysis To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed consent agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint that accompanies the consent agreement and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 11, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to “CompUSA Inc., File No. 022 3278,” to facilitate the organization of comments. A comment filed in paper form should include this reference both in the text and on the envelope, and should be mailed or delivered to the following

address: Federal Trade Commission/Office of the Secretary, Room H-159, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. Comments containing confidential material must be filed in paper form, as explained in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

The FTC is requesting that any comment filed in paper form be sent by courier or overnight service, if possible, because U.S. postal mail in the Washington area and at the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security precautions. Comments filed in electronic form (except comments containing any confidential material) should be sent to the following e-mail box: consentagreement@ftc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kerry O'Brien, Linda Badger, or Matthew Gold, FTC Western Regional Office, 901 Market St., Suite 570, San Francisco, CA 94103. (415) 848-5189.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and § 2.34 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for March 11, 2005), on the World Wide Web, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/2005/03/index.htm>. A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room 130-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-2222.

Public comments are invited, and may be filed with the Commission in either paper or electronic form. Written comments must be submitted on or before April 11, 2005. Comments should refer to “CompUSA Inc., File No. 022 3278,” to facilitate the organization of comments. A comment filed in paper form should include this reference both in the text and on the envelope, and should be mailed or delivered to the following address: Federal Trade Commission/Office of the Secretary, Room H-159, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. If the comment contains any material for which confidential treatment is requested, it must be filed in paper (rather than electronic) form, and the

first page of the document must be clearly labeled “Confidential.”¹ The FTC is requesting that any comment filed in paper form be sent by courier or overnight service, if possible, because U.S. postal mail in the Washington area and at the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security precautions. Comments filed in electronic form should be sent to the following e-mail box:

consentagreement@ftc.gov.

The FTC Act and other laws the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. All timely and responsive public comments, whether filed in paper or electronic form, will be considered by the Commission, and will be available to the public on the FTC Web site, to the extent practicable, at <http://www.ftc.gov>. As a matter of discretion, the FTC makes every effort to remove home contact information for individuals from the public comments it receives before placing those comments on the FTC Web site. More information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, may be found in the FTC's privacy policy, at <http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.htm>.

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted an agreement to a proposed consent order with CompUSA Inc. (“CompUSA”). CompUSA is a major retailer of personal computers, computer-related hardware and software products, and other consumer electronics products. CompUSA advertises, labels, offers for sale, sells, and distributes all of these products to the public. The Commission has separately accepted an agreement with the principals of Q.P.S., Inc. (“QPS”), which manufactured computer peripheral products sold by CompUSA.

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for reception of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received and will decide whether it should

¹ Commission Rule 4.2(d), 16 CFR 4.2(d). The comment must be accomplished by an explicit request for confidential treatment, including the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. The request will be granted or denied by the Commission's General Counsel, consistent with applicable law and the public interest. See Commission Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).

withdraw from the agreement or make final the agreement's proposed order.

This matter concerns cash rebate offers that CompUSA advertised to consumers. Among the products that CompUSA marketed were QPS computer peripheral products, as well as CompUSA-labeled computer peripheral products. In marketing these and other products, CompUSA advertised mail-in rebates, which it has funded and which third-party manufacturers, such as QPS, have funded.

The complaint alleges that CompUSA engaged in deceptive and unfair practices relating to both the QPS-funded rebates and the CompUSA-funded rebates. First, the complaint alleges that CompUSA falsely represented that QPS-funded rebate checks would be mailed to purchasers of advertised QPS products within six to eight weeks, or within a reasonable period of time. Although these rebates were designed and intended to be funded by QPS, CompUSA was involved in their creation, and disseminated advertisements and rebate forms for these rebates. From September 2001 until December 2001, many consumers experienced delays ranging from one to six months in receiving their promised rebates, which ranged from \$15 to \$100 in value. From January 2002 through July 2002, many consumers experienced similar delays, and thousands of consumers never received their promised rebates from QPS. Despite knowledge of these significant problems, CompUSA continually advertised these QPS rebates until shortly before QPS filed for bankruptcy in August 2002.

Second, the complaint alleges that CompUSA falsely represented that it would deliver CompUSA-funded rebates to purchasers of its computer peripheral products within six to eight weeks, or within a reasonable period of time. Between September 2001 and June 2002, many consumers experienced delays ranging from one week to more than three months in receiving their promised rebates. The rebates at issue ranged from \$3 to \$100 in value.

Finally, the complaint alleges that, in the advertising and sale of computer peripheral products, CompUSA offered to deliver rebates within six to eight weeks if they purchased the advertised computer peripheral products and submitted valid rebate requests for CompUSA-funded rebate offers. After receiving rebate requests in conformance with these offers, CompUSA unilaterally extended the time period in which it would deliver

the rebates to consumers without consumers agreeing to this extension of time. According to the complaint, this constituted an unfair business practice.

The proposed order contains provisions designed to prevent CompUSA from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future. Part I applies to CompUSA Rebates, which are rebates that are designed and intended to be funded by CompUSA. Specifically, Part I.A. prohibits the company from representing the time in which it will mail any CompUSA Rebate, unless it possesses competent and reliable evidence substantiating the claim. Part I.B. prohibits CompUSA from failing to provide any CompUSA rebate within the time specified, or if no time is specified, within thirty days. Part I.C. requires that the company not "misrepresent, in any manner, expressly or by implication, any material terms of any CompUSA Rebate program."

Part II of the proposed order relates to CompUSA's advertising of Manufacturer Rebates, which are rebates that are designed and intended to be funded by a manufacturer or third party other than CompUSA. This provision prohibits the company from making any representation about the availability of any Manufacturer Rebate unless (1) it has an established record with the manufacturer demonstrating that the manufacturer has consistently paid rebates in a timely manner; or (2) if it does not have such an established record with the manufacturer, CompUSA has conducted a reasonable financial analysis of the manufacturer and that financial analysis demonstrates the manufacturer's ability to timely pay the rebates being offered.

Part III of the proposed order is a redress provision which requires CompUSA to pay all valid rebates requests to consumers who purchased QPS products at CompUSA and whose rebates are due or past due. This provision also requires CompUSA to send a rebate to any eligible QPS purchaser who contacts it or the FTC for a period of seventy-five (75) days after service of the order.

Parts IV through VIII of the proposed order are reporting and compliance provisions. Part IX is a provision "sunsetting" the order after twenty years, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order, and it is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and proposed order or to modify in any way their terms.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 05-5512 Filed 3-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 022 3278]

Priti Sharma and Rajeev Sharma, Individually and as Officers of Q.P.S., Inc.; Analysis To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed consent agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint that accompanies the consent agreement and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 11, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to "Priti Sharma and Rajeev Sharma, Individually and as Officers of Q.P.S., Inc., File No. 022 3278," to facilitate the organization of comments. A comment filed in paper form should include this reference both in the text and on the envelope, and should be mailed or delivered to the following address: Federal Trade Commission/Office of the Secretary, Room H-159, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580. Comments containing confidential material must be filed in paper form, as explained in the Supplementary Information section. The FTC is requesting that any comment filed in paper form be sent by courier or overnight service, if possible, because U.S. postal mail in the Washington area and at the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security precautions. Comments filed in electronic form (except comments containing any confidential material) should be sent to the following e-mail box: consentagreement@ftc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kerry O'Brien, Linda Badger, or Matthew Gold, FTC Western Regional Office, 901 Market St., Suite 570, San Francisco, CA. 94103. (415) 848-5189.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and § 2.34 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is