

1) The cash deposit rate for TKN will be the rate established in the final results of review; 2) If the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and

3) If neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be the "all others" rate of 13.48 percent from the LTFV investigation. *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Germany*, 67 FR 15178 (March 29, 2002).

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act.

Dated: August 1, 2005.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-4260 Filed 8-5-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### **Dartmouth College, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes**

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, Franklin Court Building, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

*Docket Number:* 05-023. Applicant: Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Technai G<sup>2</sup> 20 U-TWIN with XL30 ESEM FEG. Manufacturer: FEI

Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 70 FR 38881, July 6, 2005. Order Date: February 17, 2004.

*Docket Number:* 05-027. Applicant: Beckman Research Institute of the City of Hope National Medical Center, Duarte, CA 91010. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Quanta 200 ESEM. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 70 FR 38881, July 6, 2005. Order Date: September 8, 2004.

*Docket Number:* 05-028. Applicant: University of Wisconsin, Madison, Madison, WI 53706-1544. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model Technai 12 TWIN. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 70 FR 38881, July 6, 2005. Order Date: October 1, 2004.

*Comments:* None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. Reasons: Each foreign instrument is a conventional transmission electron microscope (CTEM) and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring a CTEM. We know of no CTEM, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States either at the time of order of each instrument OR at the time of receipt of application by U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

**Gerald A. Zerdy,**

*Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.*

[FR Doc. E5-4248 Filed 8-5-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### **Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument**

Pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether an instrument of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instrument shown below is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S.

Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

*Docket Number:* 05-033. Applicant: Seton Hall University, 400 South Orange Avenue, South Orange, NJ 07079. Instrument: Excimer Laser, Model ThinFilmStar. Manufacturer: Tuilaser, AG, Germany. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to study the pulsed laser deposition of thin films and their subsequent characterization using high dielectric constant oxides and similar materials. It will also be used to investigate pulsed laser deposition as a tool to deposit metal nanoparticle thin films, colossal magnetoresistive materials and polymers.

Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: July 25, 2005.

**Gerald A. Zerdy,**

*Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.*

[FR Doc. E5-4250 Filed 8-5-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**[C-580-837]**

#### **Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order: Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate From Korea**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On January 3, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the countervailing duty ("CVD") order on certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate from Korea pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See *Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 75 (January 3, 2005). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties, as well as inadequate response from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited sunset review pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(B). As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the CVD order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies

at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 8, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tipten Troidl or David Goldberger, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-1767 or 202-482-4136, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

### Background

On January 3, 2005, the Department initiated a sunset review of the countervailing duty order on certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate from Korea pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. *See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 75 (January 3, 2005). On January 6, 2005, the Department received a notice of intent to participate on behalf of Nucor Corporation ("Nucor"), and on January 14, 2005, we received a notice of intent to participate on behalf of International Steel Group Inc. ("ISG"), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). On January 19, 2005, the Department received requests for a one-day extension of the deadline and notices of intent to participate on behalf of United States Steel Corporation ("U.S. Steel") and IPSCO Steel Inc. ("IPSCO"). Due to circumstances beyond their control, IPSCO and U.S. Steel were prevented from delivering and filing their notice of intent to participate with the Department within the 15-day deadline. Therefore, the Department determined it appropriate to grant their extension request. Each of the domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as domestic producers of a domestic like product. The Department received a complete substantive response on behalf of ISG,<sup>1</sup> IPSCO and Nucor (collectively, "domestic interested parties") within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of this CVD order.

The Department determined, pursuant to section 751(c)(5)(C) of the Act, that the sunset review of the CVD order on

certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate from Korea is extraordinarily complicated. Therefore, on April 25, 2005, the Department extended the time limit for completion of the final results of this review until not later than August 1, 2005.<sup>2</sup>

### Scope of the Order

The merchandises covered by the CVD order is certain hot-rolled carbon-quality steel: (1) Universal mill plates (*i.e.*, flat-rolled products rolled on four faces or in a closed box pass, of a width exceeding 150 mm but not exceeding 1250 mm, and of a nominal or actual thickness of not less than 4 mm, which are cut-to-length (not in coils) and without patterns in relief), of iron or non-alloy-quality steel; and (2) flat-rolled products, hot-rolled, of a nominal or actual thickness of 4.75 mm or more and of a width which exceeds 150 mm and measures at least twice the thickness, and which are cut-to-length (not in coils). Steel products to be included in the scope of this order are of rectangular, square, circular or other shape and of rectangular or non-rectangular cross-section where such non-rectangular cross-section is achieved subsequent to the rolling process (*i.e.*, products which have been "worked after rolling")--for example, products which have been beveled or rounded at the edges. Steel products that meet the noted physical characteristics that are painted, varnished or coated with plastic or other non-metallic substances are included within this scope. Also, specifically included in the scope of this order are high strength, low alloy ("HSLA") steels. HSLA steels are recognized as steels with micro-alloying levels of elements such as chromium, copper, niobium, titanium, vanadium, and molybdenum. Steel products to be included in this scope, regardless of Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") definitions, are products in which: (1) Iron predominates, by weight, over each of the other contained elements; (2) the carbon content is two percent or less, by weight; and (3) none of the elements listed below is equal to or exceeds the quantity, by weight, respectively indicated: 1.80 percent of manganese, or 1.50 percent of silicon, or 1.00 percent of copper, or 0.50 percent of aluminum, or 1.25 percent of chromium, or 0.30 percent of cobalt, or 0.40 percent of

lead, or 1.25 percent of nickel, or 0.30 percent of tungsten, or 0.10 percent of molybdenum, or 0.10 percent of niobium, or 0.41 percent of titanium, or 0.15 percent of vanadium, or 0.15 percent zirconium. All products that meet the written physical description, and in which the chemistry quantities do not equal or exceed any one of the levels listed above, are within the scope of this order unless otherwise specifically excluded. The following products are specifically excluded from this order: (1) Products clad, plated, or coated with metal, whether or not painted, varnished or coated with plastic or other non-metallic substances; (2) SAE grades (formerly AISI grades) of series 2300 and above; (3) products made to ASTM A710 and A736 or their proprietary equivalents; (4) abrasion-resistant steels (*i.e.*, USS AR 400, USS AR 500); (5) products made to ASTM A202, A225, A514 grade S, A517 grade S, or their proprietary equivalents; (6) ball bearing steels; (7) tool steels; and (8) silicon manganese steel or silicon electric steel. The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable in the HTSUS under subheadings: 7208.40.3030, 7208.40.3060, 7208.51.0030, 7208.51.0045, 7208.51.0060, 7208.52.0000, 7208.53.0000, 7208.90.0000, 7210.70.3000, 7210.90.9000, 7211.13.0000, 7211.14.0030, 7211.14.0045, 7211.90.0000, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, 7212.50.0000, 7225.40.3050, 7225.40.7000, 7225.50.6000, 7225.99.0090, 7226.91.5000, 7226.91.7000, 7226.91.8000, 7226.99.0000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise covered by this order is dispositive.

### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memorandum") from Barbara E. Tillman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated August 1, 2005, which is hereby adopted by this notice. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendation in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://>

<sup>2</sup> See *Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from France, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan and Korea; Extension of Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 70 FR 22843 (May 3, 2005).

<sup>1</sup> On April 20, and May 6, 2005, ISG notified the Department that as a result of a name change, ISG's official name is now Mittal Steel USA ISG Inc.

ia.ita.doc.gov/frn. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Final Results of Review

The Department determines that revocation of the CVD order on certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate from Korea would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy at the rate listed below:

Manufacturer/exporters	Net Countervailable Subsidy (percent)
Dongkuk Steel Mill, Ltd.	2.36
All others <sup>3</sup> .....	2.36

<sup>3</sup> Pohang Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. ("POSCO") was excluded from the order on the basis of a *de minimis* net subsidy rate of 0.82 percent. See *Notice of Amended Final Determinations: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plated From India and the Republic of Korea; and Notice of Countervailing Duty Orders: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate From France, India, Indonesia, Italy, and the Republic of Korea*, 65 FR 6587 (February 10, 2000).

### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order:

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 1, 2005.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-4253 Filed 8-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-533-818]

### Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order: Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate From India

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On January 3, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the countervailing duty ("CVD") order on certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate from India pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See *Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 75 (January 3, 2005). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties, as well as inadequate response from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited sunset review pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(B). As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the CVD order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the level indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 8, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tipten Troidl or David Goldberger, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-1767 or 202-482-4136, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On January 3, 2005, the Department initiated a sunset review of the CVD order on certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate from India pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See *Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 75 (January 3, 2005). On January 6, 2005, the Department received a notice of intent to participate on behalf of Nucor Corporation ("Nucor"), and on January 14, 2005, we received a notice of intent to participate on behalf of International Steel Group Inc. ("ISG"), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). On January 19, 2005, the Department received requests for a one-day extension of the deadline and notices of intent to participate on behalf of United States Steel Corporation ("U.S. Steel") and IPSCO Steel Inc. ("IPSCO"). Due to circumstances beyond their control, IPSCO and U.S. Steel were prevented from delivering and filing their notice of intent to participate with the Department within the 15-day deadline. Therefore, the Department determined it appropriate to grant their extension request. Each of the domestic interested parties claimed interested party status

under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as domestic producers of a domestic like product. The Department received a complete substantive response on behalf of ISG,<sup>1</sup> IPSCO and Nucor (collectively, "domestic interested parties") within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). On February 25, 2005, subsequent to the Department granting an extension to the Government of India ("GOI"),<sup>2</sup> the Department received a substantive response on behalf of the GOI. The Department did not receive a substantive response from any other respondent interested parties. On March 7, 2005, the Department received rebuttal comments from the domestic interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of this CVD order.

The Department determined, pursuant to section 751(c)(5)(C) of the Act, that the sunset review of the CVD order on certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate from India is extraordinarily complicated. Therefore, on April 25, 2005, the Department extended the time limit for completion of the final results of this review until not later than August 1, 2005.<sup>3</sup>

### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the CVD order is certain hot-rolled carbon-quality steel: (1) Universal mill plates (*i.e.*, flat-rolled products rolled on four faces or in a closed box pass, of a width exceeding 150 mm but not exceeding 1250 mm, and of a nominal or actual thickness of not less than 4 mm, which are cut-to-length (not in coils) and without patterns in relief), of iron or non-alloy-quality steel; and (2) flat-rolled products, hot-rolled, of a nominal or actual thickness of 4.75 mm or more and of a width which exceeds 150 mm and measures at least twice the thickness, and which are cut-to-length (not in coils). Steel products to be included in the scope of this order are of rectangular, square, circular or other shape and of rectangular or non-rectangular cross-section where such non-rectangular cross-section is achieved subsequent to the rolling

<sup>1</sup> On April 20, and May 6, 2005, ISG notified the Department that as a result of a name change, ISG's official name is now Mittal Steel USA ISG Inc.

<sup>2</sup> See Letter from Kelly Parkhill, Director Industry and Support Analysis, to Mr. V.S. Seshadri, Minister Counselor, Embassy of India, February 14, 2005.

<sup>3</sup> See *Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from France, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan and Korea; Extension of Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 70 FR 22843 (May 3, 2005).