

Board of Supervisors held discussions at regular meetings in 2003 regarding the need for rehabilitating Indian Creek Site 2. A meeting to present the initial results of the NRCS assessment and preliminary rehabilitation ideas was held on August 6, 2003. The meeting was attended by representatives of the SWCD, County Board of Supervisors, City of Council Bluffs, and the Council Bluffs Chamber of Commerce.

The NRCS planning staff met with the County Board of Supervisors at their regular meeting on August 20, 2003. As a result of this meeting, sponsors firmly supported proceeding with the development of a plan to rehabilitate Site 2.

A public meeting conducted jointly by sponsors and the NRCS was held in Council Bluffs the evening of September 17, 2003. Preliminary alternatives were presented and public input was requested. Forty-five local residents attended the meeting. Most of the attendees live near the Site 2 pool and along Mudhollow Road. Following the presentation, many questions and much discussion occurred about the breach inundation area, property values, and preliminary alternatives.

Forty letters of invitation were sent to representatives of state and federal agencies, conservation groups, agricultural groups, and others for a "scoping meeting" on September 18, 2003. No representatives of the target audience attended except for two representatives of the sponsors who attended the public meeting the night before and earlier meetings. The two declined the opportunity for further discussions.

The tri-agency biology review for Site 2 was initiated by a field review on September 30, 2003. The Iowa DNR, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, consulted with NRCS on the likely impacts of the project on wildlife habitat, wetlands, and T&E species.

Eight Indian tribal contacts and the local county historical society were notified of this intended action in accordance with 36CFR800. They were consulted about their knowledge of historical properties in the project area. No response was received from the tribal contacts. The local historical society responded that they knew of no historic properties in the project area.

NRCS planning staff members met with sponsors at a Pottawattamie County Board of Supervisors meeting on May 12, 2004. The staff presented initial study results of the alternatives. The sponsors requested further study of outflow rates from Site 2 for various storm events. They also requested discontinuing study of an alternative

that would reduce the pool size by over 50 percent. Reducing the pool size was not acceptable to the sponsors or the local residents of the watershed. The NRCS agreed to follow up on these requests and meet again with the sponsors.

The follow up meeting was held on August 11, 2004. Sponsors received updates from NRCS planning staff studies. The sponsors agreed they would select an alternative and respond to NRCS by September 1, 2004. The sponsors agreed to coordinate a public information meeting in the early fall.

The sponsors selected Alternative F on August 25, 2004. They asked NRCS to proceed with plan development following a public information meeting in October 2004.

A public informational meeting was held in Council Bluffs on October 20, 2004. The alternative plans, their effects, and their costs were presented by NRCS to the 26 local people present. Most of the attendees live in proximity to Site 2. Representatives of the sponsors publicly endorsed Alternative F as their selection. Members of the public present supported the plan and did not present any new information to consider in plan development. No public controversy was evident.

Conclusion

The Environmental Assessment summarized above indicates that this Federal action will not cause significant impacts on the environment. Therefore, based on the above findings, I have determined that an environmental impact statement for the Indian Creek Watershed Draft Supplemental Watershed Plan and Environmental Assessment Number 1 is not required.

Dated: July 29, 2005.

Richard Van Klaveren,
State Conservationist.

[FR Doc. 05-15635 Filed 8-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Notice of Proposed Changes to Section IV of the Field Office Technical Guide (FOTG) of the Natural Resources Conservation Service in Indiana

AGENCY: Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS).

ACTION: Notice of availability of proposed changes in Section IV of the FOTG of the NRCS in Indiana for review and comment.

SUMMARY: It is the intention of NRCS in Indiana to issue eight revised conservation practice standards in Section IV of the FOTG. The revised standards are: Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Treatment Lagoon (359), Irrigation Storage Reservoir (436), Irrigation Storage, Microirrigation, (441) Irrigation System, Sprinkler (442), Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface (443), Irrigation Water Management (449), and Irrigation Regulating Reservoir (552).

These practices may be used in conservation systems that treat highly erodible land and/or wetlands.

DATES: Comments will be received for a 30-day period commencing with this date of publication.

ADDRESSES: Address all requests and comments to Jane E. Hardisty, State Conservationist, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), 6013 Lakeside Blvd., Indianapolis, Indiana 46278. Copies of these standards will be made available upon written request. You may submit your electronic requests and comments to darrell.brown@in.usda.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jane E. Hardisty, 317-290-3200.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 343 of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996 states that after enactment of the law, revisions made to NRCS state technical guides used to carry out highly erodible land and wetland provisions of the law, shall be made available for public review and comment. For the next 30 days, the NRCS in Indiana will receive comments relative to the proposed changes. Following that period, a determination will be made by the NRCS in Indiana regarding disposition of those comments and a final determination of changes will be made.

Dated: July 25, 2005.

Jane E. Hardisty,

State Conservationist, Indianapolis, Indiana.

[FR Doc. 05-15626 Filed 8-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-U

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Notice of Meeting of the Agricultural Air Quality Task Force

AGENCY: Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Agricultural Air Quality Task Force (AAQTF) will meet to

continue discussions on critical air quality issues in relation to agriculture. Special emphasis will be placed on obtaining a greater understanding about the relationship between agricultural production and air quality.

DATES: The meeting will convene on Thursday, September 22, 2005, from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., and resume on Friday, September 23, 2005, from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Individuals with written materials, and those who have requests to make oral presentations, should contact NRCS, at the address below, on or before August 29, 2005.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Holiday Inn Ithaca Downtown, 222 South Cayuga Street, Ithaca, New York 14850; telephone: (607) 272-1000. Written material and requests to make oral presentations should be sent to Dr. Diane Gelburd, Designated Federal Official, NRCS, Post Office Box 2890, Room 6158-S, Washington, DC 20013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT: Questions or comments should be directed to Dr. Diane Gelburd, Designated Federal Official; telephone: (202) 720-2587; fax: (202) 720-2646, or (202) 720-1814; e-mail: Diane.Gelburd@wdc.usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice of this meeting is given under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. 2. Additional information concerning AAQTF may be found on the World Wide Web at <http://aaqtf.tamu.edu/>.

Draft Agenda of the September 22-23, 2005, Meeting of the AAQTF:

- A. *Welcome to Ithaca, New York*
Local and NRCS officials
- B. *Discussion and Approval of Minutes from Previous Meeting*
- C. *Federal Agency and Other Update Reports*
- D. *Subcommittee Presentations*
 - 1. Emerging Issues Committee Report
 - 2. Research Committee Report
 - 3. Policy Committee Report
 - 4. Education/Technology Transfer Committee Report
- E. Local Research Presentations
- I. Next Meeting, Time and Place
- J. Public Input

(Time will be reserved in the morning and afternoon of each daily session to receive public comment. Individual presentations will be limited to 5 minutes.)

Procedural:

This meeting is open to the public. At the discretion of the Chair, members of the public may give oral presentations during the meeting. Oral comments must be germane to the meeting agenda

and committee discussions. Those persons wishing to make oral presentations should contact Dr. Gelburd no later than August 29, 2005. A person submitting written material that would like a copy distributed to each member in advance of the meeting should submit 50 copies to Dr. Gelburd no later than August 29, 2005.

Information on Services for Individuals with Disabilities:

For information on facilities or services for individuals with disabilities, or to request special assistance at the meeting, please contact Dr. Gelburd. The Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, sexual orientation, or disability. Discrimination on the basis of political beliefs and marital or family status is also prohibited by statutes enforced by USDA (not all prohibited bases apply to all programs). Persons with disabilities who require alternate means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audio tape, etc.) should contact the USDA's Target Center at (202) 720-2000 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Signed in Washington, DC on July 27, 2005.

Bruce I. Knight,
Chief.

[FR Doc. 05-15634 Filed 8-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-603, A-122-601, A-427-602, A-475-601, A-588-704]

Brass Sheet and Strip from Brazil, Canada, France, Italy and Japan; Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On April 1, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on brass sheet and strip from Brazil, Canada, France, Italy and Japan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). The Department conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews for these orders. As a result of these sunset reviews, the

Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. The dumping margins are identified in the *Final Results of Reviews* section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 8, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Audrey Twyman or David Goldberger, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3534 and (202) 482-4136, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 1, 2005, the Department published the notice of initiation of the second sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on brass sheet and strip from Brazil, Canada, France, Italy and Japan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. *See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 16800 (April 1, 2005). The Department received the Notice of Intent to Participate from Heyco Metals, Inc., Olin Corporation - Brass Group, Outokumpu American Brass, PMX Industries, Inc., Revere Copper Products, Inc., Scott Brass, International Association of Machinist and Aerospace Workers, United Auto Workers (Local 2367 and Local 1024), and United Steelworkers of America AFL-CIO/CLC (collectively "the domestic interested parties"), within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's Regulations ("Sunset Regulations"). The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) and (D) of the Act, as manufacturers of a domestic-like product in the United States, and unions whose workers are engaged in the production of a domestic-like product in the United States.

We received complete substantive responses from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received no responses from respondent interested parties with respect to any of the orders covered by these sunset reviews.¹ As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(4)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of these orders

¹ On April 21, 2005, we received a notification on behalf of Nikko Metal Manufacturing Co., Ltd. in Japan (which claims to be the successor-in-interest to Nippon Mining Co., Ltd.) that it would not be submitting a substantive response.