additional comment on the proposed action or any of the possible alternatives so that any revisions or additions to these alternatives may be considered.

Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review

A draft environmental impact statement will be prepared for comment. The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal, and will be available for public inspection. (Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, section 21)

Dated: June 10, 2003.

Nora B. Rasure,

Forest Supervisor, Coconino National Forest. [FR Doc. 03–15092 Filed 6–13–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

Sunshine Act Meeting

The U.S. Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board announces that it will convene a Public Meeting beginning at 10 a.m. local time on June 25, 2003, at the Wyndham City Center Hotel, 1143 New Hampshire Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20037. The Board will consider: a staff update on current investigations, review a bulletin on the dangers of nitrogen asphyxiation; review the status of recommendations made in prior CSB investigations; discuss the possibility of future hazard studies; hear a status report on the CSB redesign of its web site; discuss the reactives roundtable meeting held on June 10, 2003; and finally, review and possibly vote on the agency's revised five-year Strategic Plan.

Recommendations are issued by a vote of the Board and address an identified safety deficiency uncovered during the investigation, and specify how to correct the situation. Safety recommendations are the primary tool used by the Board to motivate implementation of safety improvements and prevent future incidents. The CSB uses its unique independent accident investigation perspective to identify trends or issues that might otherwise be overlooked. CSB recommendations may be directed to corporations, trade associations, government entities, safety organizations, labor unions and others. For an update on the status of all outstanding recommendations, go to the CSB Web site at *http://www.csb.gov.*

All staff presentations are preliminary and are intended solely to allow the Board to consider in a public forum the relevant issues and factors.

The meeting is open to the public. Please notify the CSB if a translator or interpreter is needed 5 business days prior to the public meeting. For more information, please contact Dan Horowitz at 202–261–7613.

Christopher W. Warner,

General Counsel. [FR Doc. 03–15276 Filed 6–12–03; 2:36 pm] BILLING CODE 6350–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1278]

Grant of Authority for Subzone Status, Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC (Motor Vehicles), Montgomery, AL

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Foreign-Trade Zones Act provides for "* * * the establishment

* * * of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes," and authorizes the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs ports of entry;

Whereas, the Board's regulations (15 CFR part 400) provide for the establishment of special-purpose subzones when existing zone facilities cannot serve the specific use involved, and when the activity results in a significant public benefit and is in the public interest;

Whereas, the Montgomery Area Chamber of Commerce, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 222, has made application for authority to establish special-purpose subzone status at the motor vehicle manufacturing plant of Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC, located in Montgomery, Alabama (FTZ Docket 55–2002, filed 11–27–2002);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 72914, 12–9–2003);

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby grants authority for subzone status at the motor vehicle manufacturing plant of Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC, located in Montgomery, Alabama (Subzone 222A), at the location described in the application, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including section 400.28. Signed at Washington, DC, this 29th day of May 2003.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

[FR Doc. 03–15151 Filed 6–13–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 24-2003]

Foreign-Trade Zone 43—Battle Creek, MI; Application for Subzone, Perrigo Company (Pharmaceutical Products), Allegan and Muskegon Counties, MI; Correction

The **Federal Register** notice (68 FR 27985–27986, 5/22/2003) describing the application by the City of Battle Creek, Michigan, grantee of FTZ 43, requesting special-purpose subzone status for the pharmaceutical manufacturing and distribution facilities of Perrigo Company (Perrigo) at locations in Allegan and Muskegon Counties, Michigan, is corrected as follows:

Paragraph 6 should read "The closing period for their receipt is July 21, 2003."

Dated: June 6, 2003.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary. [FR Doc. 03–15152 Filed 6–13–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-427-801, A-428-801, A-475-801, A-588-804, A-559-801]

Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof From France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and Singapore: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Rescission of Administrative Review in Part, and Determination Not To Revoke Order in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative reviews, rescission of administrative review in part, and determination not to revoke order in part.

SUMMARY: On February 7, 2003, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings and parts thereof from France, Germany, Italy, and Singapore. On March 10, 2003, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary result of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ball bearings from Japan. The reviews cover 14 manufacturers/exporters. The period of review is May 1, 2001, through April 30, 2002.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes, including corrections of certain programming and other clerical errors, in the margin calculations. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weightedaverage dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Reviews."

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 16, 2003. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:** Please contact the appropriate case analysts for the various respondent firms, as listed below, at Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4733.

France

Minoo Hatten (SNR Roulements), Dunyako Ahmadu (SKF), Mark Ross, or Richard Rimlinger.

Germany

Dunyako Ahmadu (FAG), Sochieta Moth (SKF), Catherine Cartsos (Paul Mueller), Jeffrey Frank (Torrington), Mark Ross, or Richard Rimlinger.

Italy

Fred Aziz (FAG), Janis Kalnins (SKF), Mark Ross, or Richard Rimlinger.

Japan

Thomas Schauer (Koyo), Lyn Johnson (NTN), David Dirstine (NPBS), Dmitry Vladimirov (Sapporo), Kristin Case (NSK), Mark Ross, or Richard Rimlinger.

Singapore

Yang Jin Chun (NMB/Pelmec) or Richard Rimlinger.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 7, 2003, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings and parts thereof (ball bearings) from France, Germany, Italy, and Singapore (68 FR 6404) (*Preliminary Results for France, et al*). On March 10, 2003, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ball bearings from Japan (68 FR 11357) (*Preliminary Results for Japan*). The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2001, through April 30, 2002. We invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results. At the request of certain parties, we held hearings for Germany-specific issues on April 2, 2003, and for Japan-specific issues on April 22, 2003. The Department has conducted these administrative reviews in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of Reviews

The products covered by these reviews are ball bearings and parts thereof. These products include all antifriction bearings that employ balls as the rolling element. Imports of these products are classified under the following categories: Antifriction balls, ball bearings with integral shafts, ball bearings (including radial ball bearings) and parts thereof, and housed or mounted ball bearing units and parts thereof.

Imports of these products are classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedules (HTSUS) subheadings: 3926.90.45, 4016.93.00, 4016.93.10, 4016.93.50, 6909.19.5010, 8431.20.00, 8431.39.0010, 8482.10.10, 8482.10.50, 8482.80.00, 8482.91.00, 8482.99.05, 8482.99.2580, 8482.99.35, 8482.99.6595, 8483.20.40, 8483.20.80, 8483.50.8040, 8483.50.90, 8483.90.20, 8483.90.30, 8483.90.70, 8708.50.50, 8708.60.50, 8708.60.80, 8708.70.6060, 8708.70.8050, 8708.93.30, 8708.93.5000, 8708.93.6000, 8708.93.75, 8708.99.06, 8708.99.31, 8708.99.4960, 8708.99.50, 8708.99.5800, 8708.99.8080, 8803.10.00, 8803.20.00, 8803.30.00, 8803.90.30, and 8803.90.90.

The size or precision grade of a bearing does not influence whether the bearing is covered by the order. For a listing of scope determinations which pertain to the orders, *see* the Scope Determinations Memorandum (Scope Memorandum) from the Antifriction Bearings Team to Laurie Parkhill, dated April 1, 2002, and hereby adopted by this notice. The Scope Memorandum is on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), Main Commerce Building, Room B–099, in the General Issues record (A– 100–001) for the 01/02 reviews.

Although the HTSUS item numbers above are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written descriptions of the scope of these proceedings remain dispositive.

Analysis of the Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to these concurrent administrative reviews of the