correspondence and statements including statistical display and summaries.

## EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM: None.

#### N06320-4

#### SYSTEM NAME:

Blood Donor Files (September 9, 1996, 61 FR 47483).

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND

THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

Add to end of entry '**Note**: This system of records contains individually identifiable health information. The DoD Health Information Privacy Regulation (DoD 6025.18–R) issued pursuant to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, applies to most such health information. DoD 6025.18–R may place additional procedural requirements on the uses and disclosures of such information beyond those found in the Privacy Act of 1974 or mentioned in this system of records notice."

\* \* \* \* \*

#### N06320-4

#### SYSTEM NAME:

Blood Donor Files.

#### SYSTEM LOCATION:

Organizational elements of the Department of the Navy. Official mailing addresses are published as an appendix to the Navy's compilation of systems of records notices.

# CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

Personnel donating blood or seeking replacement of blood.

#### CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

Blood donation and blood replacement requirement records.

## AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

5 U.S.C. 301, Departmental Regulations; 21 U.S.C. 600–799; and E.O. 9397 (SSN).

#### PURPOSE(S):

To record emergency blood requests by blood type, identify donors, replace blood provided to cover individuals, and to meet regulatory requirements imposed by the Food and Drug Administration.

#### ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

In addition to those disclosures generally permitted under 5 U.S.C.

552a(b) of the Privacy Act, these records or information contained therein may specifically be disclosed outside the DoD as a routine use pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(b)(3) as follows:

The DoD "Blanket Routine Uses" that appear at the beginning of the Navy's compilation of systems notices apply to this system.

**Note:** This system of records contains individually identifiable health information. The DoD Health Information Privacy Regulation (DoD 6025.18–R) issued pursuant to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, applies to most such health information. DoD 6025.18–R may place additional procedural requirements on the uses and disclosures of such information beyond those found in the Privacy Act of 1974 or mentioned in this system of records notice.

#### POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

## STORAGE:

Computerized and paper records.

## RETRIEVABILITY:

Name and Social Security Number.

#### SAFEGUARDS:

Access provided on a need-to-know basis only. Computerized information is password protected and maintained is a locked and/or guarded office.

### RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

Records are destroyed when three years old or discontinuance of function, whichever is earlier.

#### SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

Policy Official: Chief, Bureau of Medicine and Surgery, 2300 E Street, NW., Washington, DC 20372–5300.

System manager: Commanding officer of the activity in question. Official mailing addresses are published as an appendix to the Navy's compilation of systems of records notices.

#### NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:

Individuals seeking to determine whether this system of records contains information about themselves should address written inquiries to the commanding officer of the activity where assigned.

The request should contain full name, Social Security Number, and must be signed.

#### **RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:**

Individuals seeking access to records contained in this system of records should address written inquiries to the commanding officer of the activity where assigned. The request should contain full name, Social Security Number, and must be signed.

## CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

The Navy's rules for accessing records, and for contesting contents and appealing initial agency determinations are published in Secretary of the Navy Instruction 5211.5; 32 CFR part 701; or may be obtained from the system manager.

#### **RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:**

Individual, American Red Cross, blood donors, hospitals, persons seeking replacement of blood.

## EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:

None.

[FR Doc. 03–15048 Filed 6–13–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–08–U

## DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

## Notice of Commission Meeting and Public Hearing

Notice is hereby given that the Delaware River Basin Commission will hold an informal conference followed by a public hearing on Thursday, June 26, 2003. The hearing will be part of the Commission's regular business meeting. Both the conference session and business meeting are open to the public and will be held at the Commission's offices at 25 State Police Drive, West Trenton, New Jersey.

The conference among the commissioners and staff will begin at 9:30 a.m. Topics of discussion will include: an update on development of the Commission's new comprehensive plan, including a proposed resolution for implementation of the proposed Basin Plan and assessments by the states of how their current programs align with the proposed Basin Plan; a presentation by Janet Bowers of the Chester County Water Resources Authority on Watersheds, the Chester County watersheds management plan; an update on development of the TMDLs for PCBs in the Delaware Estuary; a report on formation of the PCB TMDL Implementation Advisory Committee ("IAC"), including proposed revision of Resolution No. 2001-11 authorizing formation of the IAC; a report of the Monitoring Advisory Committee; and a proposal to adopt a revised grade classification and compensation system and amend the Administrative Manual: By-Laws, Management and Personnel.

The subjects of the public hearing to be held during the 1 p.m. business meeting include, in addition to the dockets listed below, a proposed resolution to revise the Commission's fee schedule for the review of projects under Section 3.8 and Article 10 of the Delaware River Basin Compact and institute fees for docket transfers.

1. Exelon Generating Co., LLC D-69-210 CP, Final (Revision 11). A demonstration mine pool withdrawal project to supply up to 519 million gallons per 30 days (17.3 mgd) of augmentation flow to tributaries of the Schuylkill River for downstream withdrawal directly from the main stem Schuylkill River. Exelon proposes to modify its operations to establish criteria for utilization of mine pool water for periodic augmentation of the East Norwegian Creek, a tributary of the Schuvlkill River, in accordance with an existing Pennsylvania Department of **Environmental Protection National** Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit. The water will be pumped from the Wadesville Mine Pool on properties of the Reading Anthracite Company located in New Castle and Norwegian Townships and St. Clair Borough, Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania. Exelon Generating Co., LLC proposes to withdraw from the augmented flow of the Schuylkill River at its Limerick Generating Station (LGS) in Limerick Township, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, approximately 72 river miles downstream of the augmentation source. The water will be used as an alternate source of cooling water makeup for the LGS nuclear powered generation facility. The withdrawal from the Schuylkill River at the LGS site is to proceed during times when natural low flow or temperature conditions in the Schuylkill River otherwise restrict Exelon from withdrawal, provided that augmentation of the flow precedes the withdrawal. The revised operations are projected to maintain a flow regime during withdrawal equivalent to the natural flow regime without the augmentation and withdrawal. The project is designed both to provide an alternate source of water to the LGS and to improve the water quality of mine pool drainage.

2. Borough of Westville D–79–86 CP Renewal. A ground water withdrawal renewal project to decrease withdrawal from 37.5 mg/30 days to 28.5 mg/30 days of water to the applicant's public water distribution system from Wells Nos. 4, 5 and 6 in the Potomac-Raritan-Magothy (PRM) aquifer. The project is located in Westville Borough, Gloucester County, New Jersey.

3. FiberMark North America, Inc. D– 82–31 Renewal 3. A ground water withdrawal renewal project to increase withdrawal from 2.4 mg/30 days to 2.85 mg/30 days to supply the applicant's paper manufacturing facility from existing Well No. 1, and return non-contact cooling water to ground water via injection Well No. 2 in the Tohickon Creek Watershed. The project is located in Quakertown Borough, Bucks County, Pennsylvania and is located in the Southeastern Pennsylvania Ground Water Protected Area.

4. Town of Newton D-90-111 CP Renewal. A ground water withdrawal renewal project to continue withdrawal of 10.85 mg/30 days to supply the applicant's public water distribution system from existing Well No. PW-1 in the Kittatinny formation. The project is located in the Paulin's Kill Watershed in the Town of Newton, Sussex County, New Jersey.

5. South Whitehall Township Authority D-91-82 CP Renewal. A ground water withdrawal renewal project to continue withdrawal of 60 mg/30 days to supply the applicant's water distribution system from existing Wells Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 13, and 14 in the Cedar Creek and Jordan Creek Watersheds. The project is located in South Whitehall Township, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania.

6. Borough of Orwigsburg D-92-05 CP Renewal. A ground water withdrawal renewal project to continue withdrawal of 11.6 mg/30 days to supply the applicant's public water supply system from existing Wells Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4 in the Mahannon Creek Watershed. The project is located in Orwigsburg Borough, Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania.

7. Mantua Township Municipal Utilities Authority D-2000-04 CP. A ground water withdrawal renewal project to supply up to 57 mg/30 days of water to the applicant's public water distribution system from existing Wells Nos. 2-8 in the Potomac-Raritan-Magothy and the Mt. Laurel-Wenonah Aquifers. Commission approval on September 19, 1996 was limited to 10 years and will expire unless renewed. The applicant requests that the total withdrawal from all wells be increased from 47 mg/30 days to 57 mg/30 days. The project is located in Mantua Township, Gloucester County, New Iersev.

8. Upper Hanover Municipal Authority D-2001-61 CP. A project to expand a 0.02 mgd STP to process 0.15 mgd while maintaining tertiary level of treatment. The project will continue to serve the predominantly residential area of Upper Hanover Township, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, where the STP is located off the intersection of Frey and Buck Roads. STP effluent will be discharged to Macoby Creek in the Perkiomen Creek Watershed through the existing outfall.

9. Thornbury Township D–2003–04 CP. A project to expand a 0.12 million gallons per day Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) to process 0.18 mgd, while continuing to provide secondary treatment via an extended aeration activated sludge treatment process. The STP is located between Thornton and Cheyney Roads in Thornbury Township, Delaware County, Pennsylvania and will continue to serve the predominantly residential customers in that municipality. STP effluent will continue to be discharged to the nontidal portion of Chester Creek via the existing force main.

10. Upper Saucon Sewage Treatment Authority D-2003-05 CP. A project to rerate a 2.0 million gallon per day (mgd) sewage treatment plant (STP) to process 2.5 mgd, while continuing to provide advanced secondary level of treatment via the A/O Process. The project is located just southwest of the intersection of Old Bethlehem Pike and Pennsylvania Route 78 in Upper Saucon Township, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania. The project will continue to serve the predominantly residential population of Coopersburg Borough and Upper Saucon Township. No new treatment facilities are proposed, and the plant will continue to discharge to Saucon Creek in the Lehigh River Watershed via the existing outfall.

11. City of Bordentown D–2003–11 CP. A ground water withdrawal project to provide up to 31.97 million gallons per 30 days of water to the applicant's public water supply system from New Well No. 5 and to retain the total combined allocation of 90 mg/30 days from all wells, Wells 1, 2, 3, and 5 (proposed). Well No. 5 is screened in the Raritan Formation and is located in the Crosswicks Creek Watershed in Hamilton Township, Mercer County, New Jersey. All wells will continue to serve the applicant's existing service area of the City of Bordentown, the Township of Bordentown, and via bulk water sale, the Borough of Fieldsboro, all in Burlington County, New Jersey.

In addition to the public hearing items, the Commission will address the following at its 1 p.m. business meeting: Minutes of the May 8, 2003 business meeting; announcements; a report on Basin hydrologic conditions; a report by the executive director; a report by the Commission's general counsel; a resolution amending Resolution No. 2001–11 concerning a TMDL Implementation Advisory Committee ("IAC"); a resolution adopting a revised grade classification and compensation system and amending the Administrative Manual: By-Laws, Management and Personnel; and a resolution providing for election of the Commission Chair, Vice Chair and Second Vice Chair for the year 2003– 2004, commencing July 1, 2003.

Draft dockets scheduled for public hearing on June 26, 2003 are posted on the Commission's Web site, *http:// www.drbc.net*, where they can be accessed through the Notice of Commission Meeting and Public Hearing. Additional documents relating to the dockets and other items may be examined at the Commission's offices. Please contact Thomas L. Brand at 609– 883–9500 ext. 221 with any docketrelated questions.

Persons wishing to testify at this hearing are requested to register in advance with the Commission Secretary at 609–883–9500 ext. 203. Individuals in need of an accommodation as provided for in the Americans with Disabilities Act who wish to attend the hearing should contact the Commission Secretary directly at 609–883–9500 ext. 203 or through the Telecommunications Relay Services (TRS) at 711, to discuss how the Commission may accommodate your needs.

Dated: June 9, 2003.

## Christopher M. Roberts,

Public Information Officer. [FR Doc. 03–15117 Filed 6–13–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6360–01–P

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

## Elementary and Secondary Education Act; Unsafe School Choice Option; Final Deadlines for Implementation

**AGENCY:** Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, Department of Education. **ACTION:** Notice of final deadlines for implementation.

SUMMARY: The Deputy Under Secretary for Safe and Drug-Free Schools establishes deadline dates for implementation of the Unsafe School Choice Option (USCO) requirements, under section 9532 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965, as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001. This notice establishes deadlines by which each State must identify persistently dangerous schools, as well as offer students attending a persistently dangerous school and students who are victims of a violent criminal offense while on school property the opportunity to transfer to a safe school.

The notice of final deadlines for implementation is effective June 16, 2003.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kristen Hayes, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Ave., SW., Room 3E340, Washington, DC 20202– 6123. Telephone: (202) 708–9431. Or via Internet: *Kristen.Hayes@ed.gov.* 

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternative format (*e.g.*, Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** These final deadlines implement the Unsafe School Choice Option (USCO), section 9532 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (Pub. L. 107–110), enacted January 8, 2002.

On April 7, 2003, the Deputy Under Secretary published a notice of proposed deadlines for final implementation (notice of proposed deadlines) for this provision in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 16789).

In the notice of proposed deadlines, the Deputy Under Secretary proposed two deadlines for timely implementation of the USCO provision. The notice of proposed deadlines proposed (1) requiring each State to identify those schools that meet its definition of a persistently dangerous school by July 1, 2003, and each July 1st thereafter; and (2) requiring each State to allow students attending a persistently dangerous public elementary or secondary school and students who are victims of a violent criminal offense the opportunity to transfer to a safe school by the start of the 2003–2004 school year and each start of the school year thereafter.

This notice of final deadlines for implementation contains significant changes that are fully explained in the Analysis of Comments and Changes elsewhere in this notice.

#### Analysis of Comments and Changes

In response to the Deputy Under Secretary's invitation to comment in the notice of proposed deadlines, 13 parties submitted comments. Six of the commenters addressed the issue of the deadlines proposed in the notice of proposed deadlines. Following is an analysis of the comments and changes the Department has made in the deadlines since publication of the notice of proposed deadlines. We group major issues according to subject. Generally, we do not address technical and other minor changes. We also do not address suggested changes that the law does not authorize the Secretary to make.

## Deadline for Identifying Persistently Dangerous Schools

*Comments:* Six commenters requested the July 1, 2003 and each July 1st thereafter deadline for labeling schools persistently dangerous be reconsidered. Four commenters proposed deadlines between July 15 and August 15; one commenter proposed a flexible timeframe of July 1–September 30, and the other commenter felt that the July 1 deadline was too soon, but did not propose a specific date.

Some of these commenters objected to the July 1st deadline because they believed it would not provide States with a sufficient amount of time to collect and analyze the most recent school year's data by July 1st. Others contended that the deadline might force a State to omit its most recent school year's data in identifying persistently dangerous schools, and therefore not recognize improvements in the school's environment that were made in the most recent school year.

Discussion: We agree that the July 1 implementation date could have had a negative impact on the States' ability to utilize the most recent school year's data in identifying persistently dangerous schools. It could also have been difficult for some States to complete analysis of the data by July 1.

*Changes:* In response to these comments, the Deputy Under Secretary establishes a final deadline requiring each State to identify schools that meet its definition of a persistently dangerous school in sufficient time to permit local educational agencies (LEAs) to offer students in schools identified as persistently dangerous the option to transfer to a safe school at least 14 calendar days before the start of the 2003–2004 school year, and each school year thereafter.

## Deadline for Offering Students Opportunity To Transfer

*Comments:* We received three comments pertaining to the proposed start of school year deadline for transferring students who attend a persistently dangerous school or students who are victims of a violent criminal offense to transfer to a safe school. Two commenters stated that this deadline was feasible. One commenter proposed the effective date of student transfers be changed to October 1st of each year, at the earliest, to allow