Service Type/Location: Janitorial/ Custodial Armed Forces Reserve Center, Yakima, Washington

NPA: Yakima Specialties, Inc., Yakima, Washington

Contract Activity: Naval Facilities Engineering Command—Everett, Everett, Washington

Service Type/Location: Janitorial/Custodial U.S. Geological Survey, Klamath Field Office, Klamath Falls, Oregon

NPA: Klamath County Mental Health, Klamath Falls, Oregon

Contract Activity: U.S. Geological Survey, Sacramento, California

Service Type/Location: Operation of Masking/Taping Service Tobyhanna Army Depot, Tobyhanna, Pennsylvania NPA: Burnley Workshop of the Poconos,

Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania Contract Activity: Tobyhanna Army Depot, Tobyhanna, Pennsylvania

G. John Heyer,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 03–8931 Filed 4–10–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

Procurement List; Additions

AGENCY: Committee for Purchase from People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

ACTION: Additions to procurement list.

SUMMARY: This action adds to the Procurement List products and services to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 11, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Jefferson Plaza 2, Suite 10800, 1421 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202–3259.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sheryl D. Kennerly, (703) 603–7740.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 23, November 1, December 6, December 20, December 27, 2002, January 24, January 31, and February 7, 2003 the Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled published notice (67 FR 54629, 66607, 72640, 77962, 79045, 68 FR 3508, 4985, and 6403) of proposed additions to the procurement list.

After consideration of the material presented to it concerning capability of qualified nonprofit agencies to provide the products and services and impact of the additions on the current or most recent contractors, the Committee has determined that the products and services listed below are suitable for

procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 46–48c and 41 CFR 51– 2.4.

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

- 1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the products and services to the Government.
- 2. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the products and services to the Government.
- 3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48c) in connection with the products and services proposed for addition to the procurement list.

Accordingly, the following products and services are added to the procurement list:

Products

 $\begin{array}{c} \textit{Product/NSN:} \ \text{MOLLE II Carrier Sleep} \\ \text{System} \end{array}$

8465-01-465-2124 8465-01-465-7508

NPA: Alabama Industries for the Blind, Talladega, Alabama

NPA: Raleigh Lions Clinic for the Blind, Inc., Raleigh, North Carolina

Contract Activity: Defense Supply Center Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Product/NSN: Windsock 8345–00–NSH–0001

NPA: Development Workshop, Inc., Idaho Falls, Idaho

Contract Activity: BLM National Interagency Fire Center, Boise, Idaho

Services

Service Type/Location: Facilities Maintenance, Mississippi Air National Guard, ANG CRTC/LGC, Gulfport, Mississippi

NPA: Mississippi Goodworks, Inc., Gulfport, Mississippi

Contract Activity: Mississippi ANG, Combat Readiness Training Center, Gulfport, Mississippi

Service Type/Location: Housekeeping Services, Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Clarksburg, West Virginia

NPA: Job Squad, Inc., Clarksburg, West Virginia

Contract Activity: Department of Veterans Affairs, Coatesville, Pennsylvania

Service Type/Location: Janitorial/Custodial, DuPage Air Traffic Control Tower, West Chicago, Illinois

NPA: Jewish Vocational Service and Employment Center, Chicago, Illinois Contract Activity: Federal Aviation Administration, Des Plaines, Illinois Service Type/Location: Janitorial/Custodial, U.S. Army Reserve Center, Marion, Illinois

NPA: Franklin-Williamson Human Services, Inc., West Frankfort, Illinois

Contract Activity: Headquarters, 88th Regional Support Command, Fort Snelling, Minnesota

Service Type/Location: Janitorial/Custodial, U.S. Geological Survey, Great Lakes Science Center, Ann Arbor, Michigan

NPA: Work Skills Corporation, Brighton, Michigan

Contract Activity: U.S. Geological Survey, Reston Virginia

Service Type/Location: Printer Toner Cartridge & Ribbons Management, Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Danville, Illinois

NPA: Thresholds Rehabilitation Inc., Chicago, Illinois

Contract Activity: Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Danville, Illinois

This action does not affect current contracts awarded prior to the effective date of this addition or options that may be exercised under those contracts.

G. John Heyer,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 03–8932 Filed 4–10–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

Procurement List: Addition and Deletions; Correction

In the document appearing on page 12339, FR Doc 03–6168, in the issue of March 14, 2003, in the third column the Committee published a deletion to the Procurement List for Janitorial/Custodial, U.S. Courthouse and Customhouse, Toledo, Ohio. This deletion is cancelled. The building was identified as no longer being a Federal building. We have since been advised that information was incorrect. The building will remain on the Procurement List with continuing service provided by a Nonprofit Agency.

G. John Heyer,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 03–8933 Filed 4–10–03; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

BIS Program Evaluation

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{ACTION:} & Proposed & collection; comment \\ & request. \\ \end{tabular}$

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing

effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before June 10, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, DOC Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482–0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Marna Dove, BIS ICB Liaison, (202) 482–5211, Department of Commerce, Room 6622, 14th & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

This form is used by BIS seminar instructors at seminar programs throughout the year. Seminar participants are asked to fill out the evaluation form during the program and turn it in at the end of the program. The responses to these questions provide useful and practical information that BIS can use to determine that it is providing a quality program and gives BIS information useful to making recommended improvements. It also shows attendees that BIS cares about their training experience and values their viewpoint. The gathering of performance measures is also essential in meeting the agency's responsibilities specified in the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA).

II. Method of Collection

Survey

III. Data

OMB Number: 0694–0125. Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Regular submission for extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Individuals, businesses or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 3,900.

Estimated Time Per Response: 10 minutes per response.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 650.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: No capital expenditures are required.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: April 7, 2003.

Madeleine Clayton,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–8865 Filed 4–10–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–33–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-412–803]

Industrial Nitrocellulose from the United Kingdom: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 11, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michele Mire or Howard Smith, AD/ CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4711 and (202) 482–5193, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose from the United Kingdom covering the period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002 (67 FR 44172, 44173).

On August 19, 2002, pursuant to requests by petitioner, Green Tree Chemical Technologies, Inc. (Green Tree), and respondent, Imperial Chemical Industries PLC (ICI), the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose from the United Kingdom for the period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002 (67 FR 55000, August 27, 2002). On October 1, 2002, Green Tree withdrew its request for an administrative review. On March 20, 2003, ICI withdrew its request for an administrative review.

Rescission of Review

Section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations provides that a party that requests an administrative review may withdraw the request within 90 days after the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested administrative review. Section 351.213(d)(1) also provides that the Department may extend the 90-day time limit for parties to withdraw their requests that the Department conduct administrative reviews. On October 1, 2002, and March 20, 2003, Green Tree and ICI, respectively, submitted letters withdrawing their requests that the Department conduct an administrative review of the period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002. Although ICI withdrew its request for the review after the 90-day period had expired, the Department is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose from the United Kingdom for the period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002, because both parties who requested administrative reviews have withdrawn their requests, and it is otherwise reasonable to rescind the review. This action is consistent with the Department's practice. See e.g., Frozen Concentrated Orange Juice From Brazil; Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 67 FR 40913 (June 14, 2002) where, pursuant to a request filed after the 90-day deadline, the Department rescinded the review with respect to one respondent because the review of that respondent had not progressed beyond a point where it would have been unreasonable to grant the request for rescission.

This notice is in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).