as well as individuals and organizations are aware of how they may participate and contribute to the final decision. The information received will be used in preparing a final EIS.

Permits or Licenses Required: In order to implement the project, the proponent, Twin Mining Corporation, must obtain approval or conduct consultation with several other federal, state, and local regulatory agencies. These agencies include: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Environmental Protection Agency, Army Corps of Engineers, Idaho Department of Environmental Quality, Idaho Department of Water Resources, Idaho State Historic Preservation Officer and Elmore County, Idaho.

Comments Requested: This notice of intent initiates the scoping process, which guides the development of the environmental impact statement. The Forest Services invites written comments and suggestions on the scope of the analysis. Initial comments should be postmarked within 30 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes this announcement in the Federal Register.

Early Notice on Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review: A draft environmental impact statement will be prepared. The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the **Environmental Protection Agency** publishes the notice of availability in the Federal Register.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions (Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978)). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts (City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980)). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 days comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time

when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

Dated: July 1, 2003.

Jeff Schramm,

Acting District Ranger. [FR Doc. 03-17168 Filed 7-9-03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of the Census

[Docket Number 030619157-0157-01]

Discontinuance of the Whole-Block Count Program for Certifying Population and Housing Unit Counts Resulting from Boundary Changes Since Census 2000

AGENCY: Bureau of the Census. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of termination of

temporary program.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of the Census (Census Bureau) publishes this notice to announce the termination of the Whole-Block Count Program, which was the temporary method of certification offered from June 1, 1998, to January 3, 2003. The Census Bureau terminates the Whole-Block Count Program due to the implementation of the Geographically **Updated Population Certification** Program. This new program is the Census Bureau's update service for certifying population and housing unit counts for areas where the boundaries have changed from those used to tabulate the immediately preceding decennial census.

DATES: The Census Bureau terminates the Whole-Block Count Program as of July 10, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dorothy Stroz, Geography Division, U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, DC 20233, telephone (301) 763-9050, or e-mail dorothy.louise.stroz@census.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Since the 1970 decennial census, and following every decennial census thereafter, the Census Bureau has provided the opportunity for county, local, and tribal governments to obtain certified population and housing unit counts for areas where their boundaries have changed from those used to tabulate the immediately preceding decennial census. Such boundary changes are the result of annexations, incorporations, or mergers of existing governmental units, which typically include counties, boroughs, cities, towns, villages, townships, and federally recognized American Indian reservations.

The Census Bureau earlier issued a notice of final rulemaking in the Federal Register on this subject (67 FR 72095; December 4, 2002). This rule established the Geographically Updated Population Certification Program as the official process for providing geographically updated population certifications (see Title 15 CFR §50.60). It also amended Title 15, Code of Federal Regulations, to provide further detail on the subject of intercensal geographic certifications. Since this new program replaces the Whole-Block Count Program in its entirety, the Census Bureau is terminating the Whole-Block Count Program. The program was temporary in nature and not required by any law.

Dated: July 2, 2003.

Charles Louis Kincannon,

Director, Bureau of the Census. [FR Doc. 03-17195 Filed 7-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-412-822]

Stainless Steel Bar From the United **Kingdom: Notice of Partial Rescission** of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of partial rescission of antidumping duty administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 10, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kate Johnson or Rebecca Trainor, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4929 or (202) 482–4007, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 3, 2003, the Department published in the Federal Register (68 FR 9974) a notice of "Opportunity To Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from the United Kingdom for the period August 2, 2001, through February 28, 2003. On March 28 and 31, 2003, Firth Rixson Special Steels Limited (FRSS) and the petitioners,1 respectively, requested an administrative review of FRSS's sales for the above-mentioned period. Also on March 31, 2003, Corus Engineering Steels Limited (CES) requested an administrative review of its sales for this period. On April 21, 2003, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from the United Kingdom with respect to these companies. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 68 FR 19498.

Partial Rescission of Review

On June 26, 2003, CES timely withdrew its request for an administrative review of its sales during the above-referenced period. Section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations stipulates that the Secretary may permit a party that requests a review to withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. In this case, CES has withdrawn its request for review within the 90-day period. We have received no other submissions regarding CES's withdrawal of its requests for review. Therefore, we are rescinding in part this review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from the United Kingdom with respect to CES. This review will continue with respect to

This notice is published in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: July 3, 2003.

Jeffrey May,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–17515 Filed 7–9–03; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-856]

Synthetic Indigo From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, United States Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 10, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David J. Goldberger or Margarita Panayi at (202) 482–4136 or (202) 482–0049, respectively, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Postponement of Final Results of Administrative Review

On March 10, 2003, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on synthetic indigo from the People's Republic of China with respect to Liyang Skyblue Chemical Co., Ltd. The current deadline for the final results in this review is July 8, 2003. In accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), as amended, the Department finds that it is not practicable to complete this administrative review within the original time frame due to complex surrogate value issues. Thus, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the final results by 60 days. The final results will now be due by September 8, 2003.

Dated: July 3, 2003.

Jeffrey May,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–17514 Filed 7–9–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 070703B]

Pacific Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meetings.

SUMMARY: The Pacific Fishery Management Council's (Council) Model Evaluation Workgroup (MEW) will hold a work session, which is open to the public, to discuss documentation of the chinook Fishery Regulation Assessment Model (FRAM).

DATES: The work session will be held Thursday, July 24, 2003 from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The work session will be held at the National Marine Fisheries Service, 510 Desmond Drive SE, Room 261, Lacey, WA 98503; telephone: (360) 753–9580.

Council address: Pacific Fishery Management Council, 7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 200, Portland, OR 97220–1384.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Chuck Tracy, (503) 820–2280.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the work session is to establish a process for developing model documentation for the chinook FRAM, and prioritize and schedule upcoming tasks.

Although nonemergency issues not contained in the meeting agenda may come before the MEW for discussion, those issues may not be the subject of formal MEW action during this meeting. MEW action will be restricted to those issues specifically listed in this notice and any issues arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, provided the public has been notified of the MEW's intent to take final action to address the emergency.

Special Accommodations

This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Ms. Carolyn Porter at (503) 820–2280 at least 5 days prior to the meeting date.

¹The petitioners are Carpenter Technology Corporation; Crucible Specialty Metals Division, Crucible Materials Corporation; Electralloy Corporation, a Division of G.O. Carlson, Inc.; and Slater Steels Corporation, Specialty Alloys Division.