

TABLE 2.—ESTIMATED ANNUAL RECORDKEEPING BURDEN¹—Continued

21 CFR Section	No. of Recordkeepers	Annual Frequency of Recordkeeping	Total Annual Records	Hours per Record	Total Hours
Original	600	0.5	300	10	3,000
Supplemental	600	7	4,200	1	4,200
Nonsignificant	600	1	600	6	3,600
Total					10,800

¹ There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

II. Reporting

Section 812.10 estimates are based on the fact that FDA has received very few, if any, waiver requests in the past, and estimates that very few will be submitted in the future. Therefore, FDA estimates a minimal burden to account for waiver requests.

Sections 812.20, 812.25, and 812.27 estimates are based on the average of IDE's submitted from fiscal years 1995 through 2002. FDA estimates the annual reporting burden for one IDE original application to be approximately 80 hours, and the annual reporting burden for one IDE supplement to be approximately 6 hours.

Sections 812.35 and 812.150 estimates are based on the average of IDE supplements submitted from fiscal years 1995 through 2002 for significant risk device studies. FDA estimates the annual reporting burden for one IDE supplement to be approximately 6 hours.

The reporting burden for nonsignificant risk device studies (§ 812.150) is negligible. Nonsignificant risk device studies are not reported to FDA unless a problem is reported such as an unanticipated adverse device reaction, failure to obtain informed consent, withdrawal of IRB approval, or a recall of a device. In the past, an average of 10 incidences or less annually have been reported to FDA.

Section 812.36(c) and (f) estimates are based on FDA's experience with the treatment use of drugs and knowledge of the types of devices that may meet the treatment use criteria. FDA estimates that an average of 6 treatment use applications will be submitted each year. FDA estimates that it will take approximately 120 hours to prepare a treatment IDE and the total annual burden for preparing applications will be 720 hours. FDA also estimates that it will take approximately 20 hours to prepare a semiannual report, resulting in a total annual burden of 240 hours for annual reports.

III. Recordkeeping

Section 812.40 estimates are based on conversations with manufacturers, industry trade association groups, and businesses over the last 3 years. For significant risk device investigations, FDA has estimated that the recordkeeping burden for preparing an original IDE submission averages 10 hours for each original IDE submission. Similarly, through the same conversations mentioned above, FDA has estimated recordkeeping for each supplement requires 1 hour. The recordkeeping burden for nonsignificant risk device investigations is difficult to estimate because nonsignificant risk device investigations are not required to be submitted to FDA. The IDE staff estimates that the number of recordkeepers for nonsignificant risk device investigations is equal to the number for active significant risk device investigations. The recordkeeping burden, however, is reduced for nonsignificant risk device studies. It is estimated that 600 recordkeepers will spend 6 hours each in maintaining these records.

Dated: March 4, 2003.

William K. Hubbard,

Associate Commissioner for Policy and Planning.

[FR Doc. 03-5791 Filed 3-11-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-S

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 01D-0195]

“Guidance for FDA Staff: The Leveraging Handbook, An Agency Resource for Effective Collaborations”

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the availability of a document entitled “Guidance for FDA Staff: The

Leveraging Handbook, An Agency Resource for Effective Collaborations,” dated February 2003. The guidance document is intended to provide information to assist FDA staff in creating and implementing effective collaborations consistent with relevant legal, ethical, and policy considerations. FDA and its stakeholders use collaborations to take advantage of and amplify the unique resources possessed by each to address a variety of public health issues. The guidance document enumerates factors that FDA employees should consider, and the procedures they should follow, when planning a leveraged collaboration. This guidance finalizes the draft guidance under the same title dated November 2001 that was announced in the **Federal Register** on November 13, 2001.

DATES: Submit written or electronic comments at any time.

ADDRESSES: Submit written requests for single copies of the guidance to the Office of Communication, Training, and Manufacturers Assistance (HFM-40), Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER), Food and Drug Administration, 1401 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-1448. Send one self-addressed adhesive label to assist the office in processing your requests. The guidance may also be obtained by mail by calling the CBER Voice Information System at 1-800-835-4709 or 301-827-1800. Submit written comments on the guidance to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. Submit electronic comments to <http://www.fda.gov/dcokets/ecomments>. See the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for electronic access to the guidance.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen M. Ripley, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (HFM-17), Food and Drug Administration, 1401 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-1448, 301-827-6210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

FDA is announcing the availability of a document entitled "Guidance for FDA Staff: The Leveraging Handbook, An Agency Resource for Effective Collaborations," dated February 2003. The guidance announced in this notice finalizes the draft guidance of the title dated November 2001 (67 FR 56831, November 13, 2001).

"Leveraging," as used by FDA, describes formal or informal relationships or agreements with others outside FDA that enhance the agency's ability to meet its public health mission. Leveraged collaborations between FDA and non-FDA partners, such as industry, academia, consumer groups, scientific experts, public health providers, states and other Government agencies, are not new to the agency. For many years, FDA has used collaborations to accomplish a wide variety of tasks related to fulfilling its public health mission. FDA is careful to structure its collaborations so that the agency's regulatory independence, impartiality, and integrity are preserved. Successful collaborations used by FDA and its partners range in size and complexity from simple daylong workshops and training sessions to the creation of cooperatively administered centers that provide critical product-related safety information and expertise, i.e., the National Center for Food Safety and Technology, the Joint Initiative for Food Safety and Nutrition, and the Product Quality Research Institute. Other collaborations involve conducting research to improve the safety, efficacy, purity, or potency of regulated products and convening experts to evaluate emerging public health issues and to recommend actions that should be taken to address the issues.

This guidance is being issued consistent with FDA's good guidance practice regulation (21 CFR 10.115). The guidance document represents the agency's current thinking on this topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. An alternative approach may be used if such approach satisfies the requirement of the applicable statutes and regulations.

II. Comments

Interested persons may, at any time, submit to the Dockets Management Branch (see **ADDRESSES**) written or electronic comments regarding the guidance document. Two copies of mailed comments are to be submitted, except individuals may submit one copy. Comments should be identified with the docket number found in the

brackets in the heading of this document. A copy of the guidance and received comments are available for public examination in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

III. Electronic Access

Persons with access to the Internet may obtain the guidance document at <http://www.fda.gov/cber/guidelines.htm> or <http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/default.htm>.

Dated: February 19, 2003.

William K. Hubbard,

Associate Commissioner for Policy and Planning.

[FR Doc. 03-5793 Filed 3-11-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-S

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 03D-0044]

Medical Devices: Draft Guidance for Industry and FDA Reviewers; Statistical Guidance on Reporting Results From Studies Evaluating Diagnostic Tests; Availability

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the availability of a draft guidance for industry and FDA reviewers entitled "Statistical Guidance on Reporting Results from Studies Evaluating Diagnostic Tests; Draft Guidance for Industry and FDA Reviewers." This draft guidance is regarding the submission of premarket notification and premarket approval applications (PMAs) for diagnostic tests. The draft guidance describes some statistically appropriate practices for reporting results from different studies evaluating diagnostic tests and identifies some common practices that may not provide sufficient information to support submission. Special attention is given to describing a practice called discrepant resolution and its associated problems. This draft guidance is neither final, nor is it in effect at this time.

DATES: Submit written or electronic comments on this draft guidance by June 10, 2003. General comments on agency guidance documents are welcome at any time.

ADDRESSES: Submit written requests for single copies of the draft guidance on a 3.5" diskette to the Division of Small

Manufacturers, International, and Consumer Assistance (HFZ-220), Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Food and Drug Administration, 1350 Piccard Dr., Rockville, MD 20850. Send two self-addressed adhesive labels to assist that office in processing your request, or fax your request to 301-443-8818. Submit written comments concerning this draft guidance to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. Submit electronic comments to <http://www.fda.gov/dockets/ecomments>. See the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for information on electronic access to the draft guidance.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kristen L. Meier, Center for Devices and Radiological Health (HFZ-542), Food and Drug Administration, 1350 Piccard Dr., Rockville, MD 20850, 301-594-0616.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On February 11, 1998, the Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) convened a joint meeting of the Microbiology Devices Panel, Hematology and Pathology Devices Panel, Clinical Chemistry and Toxicology Devices Panel, and Immunology Devices Panel of the Medical Devices Advisory Committee. The purpose of the meeting was to obtain recommendations on

* * * appropriate data collection, analysis, and resolution of discrepant results, using sound scientific and statistical analysis to support indications for use of the in vitro diagnostic devices * * * when the new device is compared to another device, a recognized reference method or 'gold standard,' or other procedures not commonly used, and/or clinical criteria for diagnosis * * *

(63 FR 4458, January 29, 1998). Based on discussions from that meeting, this draft guidance describes some statistically appropriate practices for reporting results from different studies evaluating diagnostic tests and identifies common inappropriate practices. The draft guidance also describes a practice called discrepant resolution and its associated problems.

This level 1 draft guidance is being issued consistent with FDA's good guidance practices regulation (21 CFR 10.115). The draft guidance document represents the agency's current thinking on statistically appropriate practices for reporting results from different studies evaluating diagnostic tests. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. An alternative