### Week of February 3, 2003—Tentative

Tuesday, February 4, 2003

10 a.m.—Briefing on Status of Office of the Chief Information Officer (OCIO) Programs, Performance, and Plans (Public Meeting) (Contact: Jackie Silber, 301–415–7330)

This Meeting Will Be Webcast Live at the Web Address—http://www.nrc.gov.

Wednesday, February 5, 2003

1 p.m.—Discussion of Governmental Issues (Closed—Ex. 1 & 9)

### Week of February 10, 2003—Tentative

Monday, February 10, 2003

10 a.m.—Briefing on Status of Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) Programs, Performance, and Plans (Public Meeting) (Contact: Michael Case, 301–415–1275)

This Meeting Will Be Webcast Live at the Web Address—http://www.nrc.gov.

Tuesday, February 11, 2003

10 a.m.—Briefing on Status of Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO) Programs, Performance, and Plans (Public Meeting) (Contact: Patrice Williams-Johnson, 301–415–5732)

This Meeting Will Be Webcast Live at the Web Address—http://www.nrc.gov.

### Week of February 17, 2003—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of February 17, 2003.

#### Week of February 24, 2003—Tentative

Monday, February 24, 2003

2 p.m.—Meeting with National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) (Public Meeting)

This Meeting Will Be Webcast Live at the Web Address—http://www.nrc.gov.

\* The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings call (recording)—(301) 415–1292. Contact person for more information: David Louis Gamberoni (301) 415–1651.

### **Additional Information**

By a vote of 5–0 on January 15, the Commission determined pursuant to U.S.C. 552b(e) and § 9.107(a) of the Commission's rules that "Discussion of Security Issues (Closed—Ex. 1)" be held on January 21, and on less than one week's notice to the public.

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: http://www.nrc.gov/what-we-do/ policy-making/schedule.html.

This notice is distributed by mail to several hundred subscribers; if you no

longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301–415–1969). In addition, distribution of this meeting notice over the Internet system is available. If you are interested in receiving this Commission meeting schedule electronically, please send an electronic message to dkw@nrc.gov.

Dated: January 16, 2003.

### David Louis Gamberoni,

Technical Coordinator, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–1537 Filed 1–17–03; 2:55 pm]

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Correction to Biweekly Notice Applications and Amendments to Operating Licenses Involving No Significant Hazards Consideration

On January 7, 2003, the **Federal Register** published the "Biweekly
Notice of Applications and
Amendments to Operating Licenses
Involving No Significant Hazards
Considerations." On page 808, for GPU
Nuclear Corporation and Saxton
Nuclear Experimental Corporation,
Table 1, last column total "2.70e+05"
should read "3.23e–04."

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of January, 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

### John A. Zwolinski,

Director, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 03–1331 Filed 1–21–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

### **Notice of Meeting**

Board Meeting: February 24 and 25, 2003—Las Vegas, Nevada: panels of the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board will meet to review (1) seismic issues and (2) waste management system operations associated with a Yucca Mountain repository.

Pursuant to its authority under section 5051 of Public Law 100–203, Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1987, on Monday, February 24, 2003, and Tuesday, February 25, 2003, panels of the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board will meet in Las Vegas, Nevada, to review matters associated with a potential Yucca Mountain repository.

The meetings are open to the public, and opportunities for public comment will be provided. The Board is charged by Congress with reviewing the technical and scientific validity of U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) activities related to managing spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste.

The Board panel meetings will be held at the Best Western Tuscany Suites and Casino, 255 East Flamingo Road, Las Vegas, NV 89109. The telephone number is (702) 947–5918; the fax number is (702) 732–2564. The meeting will start at 8 a.m. each day.

On February 24, the Board's Panel on the Repository and Panel on Site Characterization will meet jointly to discuss seismic issues. Following he call to order and introductory statements, the panel will review how probabilistic earthquake ground motions were developed by the DOE. Project ground motions developed for the Yucca Mountain Project (YMP) will be discussed. The DOE will outline the YMP approach to both preclosure design and postclosure performance analyses for seismic events. The evaluation will include very low probability site-specific seismic ground motions, plans and/or conclusions, and the significance of geological observations on limiting ground motions. The presentations will include consideration of a general approach to postclosure seismic events on the response of waste package, drip shield, and the in-drift configuration as incorporated into performance and drift stability analyses. Following the technical presentations, a round-table will specifically address: Are ground motions realistic and/or appropriate in light of their intended use? If not, what might be an alternate approach? are the approaches to seismic preclosure and postclosure issues appropriate? If not, what might be some alternate approaches? Representatives of the State of Nevada will also participate in the meeting.

On February 25, the Board's Waste Management System Panel will meet to review the DOE's plans for operating the waste management system associated with a Yucca Mountain repository. Following the call to order and introductory statements, the panel will review the DOE's plans for waste acceptable at nuclear power plants and other points of origin. The DOE will then discuss its efforts to develop a transportation plan for transporting spent fuel and high-level radioactive waste to a Yucca Mountain repository. A presentation on industry experience in transporting highly radioactive materials will be followed by

presentation giving the view of state and local governments potentially affected by transportation to the repository. The meeting will conclude with DOE presentations of its plans for designing and operating the surface facilities and the underground emplacement areas of a Yucca Mountain repository.

At the conclusion of each meeting, a public comment period has been scheduled. Those wanting to speak during the public comment periods are encouraged to sign the "Public Comment Register" at the check-in table. A time limit may have to be set on individual remarks, but written comments of any length may be submitted for the record.

A detailed agenda will be available approximately one week before each meeting. Copies of the agendas can be requested by telephone or obtained from the Board's Web site at www.nwtrb.gov. Beginning about March 31, 2003, transcripts of the meetings will be available on the Board's Web site, via email, on computer disk, and on a library-loan basis in paper format from Davonya Barnes of the Board staff.

A block of rooms has been reserved at the Best Western Tuscany Hotel.
Reservations must be made by February 3, 2003, to obtain the meeting rate.
When making a reservation, please state that you are attending the Nuclear
Waste Technical Review meeting. For more information, contact the NWTRB;
Karyn Severson, External Affairs; 2300
Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1300;
Arlington, VA 22201–3367; telephone 703–235–4473; fax 703–235–4495; or by "contact form" at http://www.nwtrb.gov.

The Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board was created by Congress in the Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1987. The Board's purpose is to evaluate the technical and scientific validity of activities undertaken by the Secretary of Energy related to disposal of the nation's spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste. In the same legislation, Congress directed the DOE to characterize a site at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, to determine its suitability as the location of a potential repository for permanently disposing of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste.

Dated: January 15, 2003.

### William D. Barnard,

Executive Director, Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board.

[FR Doc. 03-1258 Filed 1-21-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-AM-M

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

#### Extension:

Rule 9b–1, SEC File No. 270–429, OMB Control No. 3235–0480; Rule 15c2–7, SEC File No. 270–420, OMB Control No. 3235–0479.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

### Rule 9b-1 Options Disclosure Document

Rule 9b-1 (17 CFR 240.9b-1) sets forth the categories of information required to be disclosed in an options disclosure document ("ODD") and requires the options markets to file an ODD with the Commission 60 days prior to the date it is distributed to investors. In addition, Rule 9b-1 provides that the ODD must be amended if the information in the document becomes materially inaccurate or incomplete and that amendments must be filed with the Commission 30 days prior to the distribution to customers. Finally, Rule 9b–1 requires a broker-dealer to furnish to each customer an ODD and any amendments, prior to accepting an order to purchase or sell an option on behalf of that customer.

There are 5 options markets that must comply with Rule 9b–1. These 5 respondents work together to prepare a single ODD covering options traded on each market, as well as amendments to the ODD. These respondents file no more than one amendment per year, which requires approximately 8 hours per year for each respondent. Thus, the total compliance burden for options markets per year is 40 hours. The approximate cost per hour is \$100, resulting in a total cost of compliance for these respondents of \$4,000 per year (40 hours @ \$100).

In addition, approximately 2,000 broker-dealers must comply with Rule 9b–1. Each of these respondents will process an average of three new customers for options each week and,

therefore, will have to furnish approximately 156 ODDs per year. The postal mailing or electronic delivery of the ODD takes respondents no more than 30 seconds to complete for an annual compliance burden for each of these respondents of 78 minutes, or 1.3 hours. Thus, the total compliance burden per year is 2,600 hours (2,000 broker-dealers X 1.3 hours). The approximate cost per hour to these respondents is \$10 per hour, resulting in a total cost of compliance for these respondents of \$26,000 per year (2,600 hours @ \$10).

The total compliance burden for all respondents under this rule (both options markets and broker-dealers) is 2640 hours per year (40 + 2,600), and total compliance costs of \$30,000 (\$4,000 + \$26,000).

# Rule 15c2-7 Identification of Quotations

Rule 15c2–7 (17 CFR 240.15c2–7) enumerates the requirements with which all brokers and dealers must comply when submitting a quotation for a security (other than a municipal security) to an inter-dealer quotation system.

It is estimated that there are 8,500 brokers and dealers. Industry personnel estimate that approximately 900 notices are filed pursuant to Rule 15c2-7 annually. Based on industry estimates that respondents complying with Rule 15c2-7 spend 30 seconds to add notice of an arrangement and 1 minute to delete notice of an arrangement, the staff estimates that, on an annual basis, respondents spend a total of 11.25 hours to comply with Rule 15c2-7, based upon past submissions. The average cost per hour is approximately \$35. Therefore, the total cost of compliance for brokers and dealers is approximately

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Kenneth A. Fogash, Acting Associate