

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of (a)(2)(B) (shift in production) of Section 222 have been met.

TA-W-52,413; *Honeywell International, Inc., Automation and Control Solutions-Sensing & Control, including leased workers of Manpower, Inc., Mars Hill, NC: July 21, 2002.*

TA-W-52,368; *Fasco Motors, Automotive Div., Hillsdale, MI: July 16, 2002.*

TA-W-52,354 & A; *Molex, Inc., Fiber Optics Div., Downers Grove, IL and Bolingbrook, IL: July 21, 2002.*

TA-W-52,280; *Stone County Ironworks, a/k/a Metal Arts, Inc., Mountain View, AR: May 6, 2002.*

TA-W-52,461; *Fishing Vessel (F/V) Alert, Veronia, OR: July 22, 2002.*

TA-W-52,366; *Marge Carson, Rosemead, CA: July 21, 2002.*

TA-W-52,360; *Coats North America, Coats American Sylvan Plant Div., Rosman, NC: July 18, 2002.*

TA-W-52,351; *Waterbury Companies, Inc., Randolph, VT: July 18, 2002.*

TA-W-52,315; *Murphy's Custom Canvas, Central Point, OR: July 14, 2002.*

TA-W-52,312; *Rotarex, Inc., North America, including Stopfill, Inc., Div. and Ceodux, Inc., Div. and including leased workers of Sperion (Ruggieri Enterprises), Manpower, Carol Harris Agency, and Select Personnel, Mt. Pleasant, PA: July 2, 2002.*

TA-W-52,309; *B.A.G. Corporation, Pennington Gap, VA: July 10, 2002.*

TA-W-52,234; *Kellwood Co., Menswear-Midwestern Div., Calhoun City, MS: June 26, 2002.*

TA-W-52,219; *Geo-Form, Inc., Girard, PA: June 20, 2002.*

TA-W-52,170; *Hill-Rom Co., Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Hill-Rom, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Hillenbrand Industries, Batesville, IN: July 18, 2002.*

TA-W-52,162; *The Oilgear Co., Longview Div., Longview, TX: June 26, 2002.*

TA-W-52,114; *Kalpak USA, Hillside, NJ: May 23, 2002.*

TA-W-52,011; *Fishing Vessel (F/V) Nanesse, Skagway, AK: June 11, 2002.*

The following certification has been issued. The requirement of upstream supplier to a trade certified primary firm has been met.

TA-W-52,317; *Onamac Industries, Inc., Everett, WA: July 14, 2002.*

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were

issued during the months of July and August. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: August 8, 2003.

Linda G. Poole,

Acting Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-21017 Filed 8-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-51,883]

Culp, Inc; Rossville Division, Chattanooga, TN; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on May 28, 2003, in response to a worker petition filed on behalf of workers at Culp Inc., Rossville Division, Chattanooga, Tennessee.

The petition is a copy of petition number TA-W-51,355. That petition resulted in a negative determination issued on April 28, 2003. Since this petition is a duplicate, further investigation would serve no purpose and the investigation is terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 5th day of August 2003.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-21020 Filed 8-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,838]

Fishing Vessel (F/V) Windy Sea, Kodiak, AK; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated May 9, 2003, the petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm.

The denial notice was signed March 27, 2003, and published in the **Federal Register** on April 11, 2003 (68 FR 17831).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition was filed by the company official for workers producing salmon. The denial of TAA for the workers of F/V Windy Sea, Kodiak, Alaska, was based on the finding that the subject firm did not fish for salmon during 2002.

The petitioner, in the request for reconsideration, states that the worker group did not fish for salmon in 2002 because of the possibility of losing money due to intense foreign competition. As vessel owner, the petitioner explains that he and the crew would have lost money. The subject firm instead fished for halibut. The petitioner also provided information regarding his adjusted gross income, which included fishing halibut only in 2002, adding that fishing salmon in that year would not have increased income.

Since the petition was filed on behalf of workers producing salmon, and the workers did not fish for salmon during the relevant time period, the petition was denied.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 5th day of August, 2003.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-21021 Filed 8-15-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-13-P