- (ii) The Commission may, on its own motion, require a certified state program to submit documentation demonstrating ongoing compliance with the Commission's minimum standards if, for example, the Commission received evidence that a state program may not be in compliance with the minimum standards.
- (2) Interstate TRS providers. (i) The Commission may suspend or revoke certification of an interstate TRS provider if, after notice of opportunity for hearing, the Commission determines that such certification is no longer warranted. If such certification has been suspended or revoked, the Commission shall take such steps as may be necessary, consistent with this subpart, to ensure continuity of TRS.
- (ii) The Commission may, on its own motion, require a certified interstate TRS provider to submit documentation demonstrating ongoing compliance with the Commission's minimum standards if, for example, the Commission receives evidence that a certified interstate TRS provider may not be in compliance with the minimum standards.
- (f) Notification of substantive change.
 (1) State TRS Programs. States must notify the Commission of substantive changes in their TRS programs within 60 days of when they occur, and must certify that the state TRS program continues to meet federal minimum standards after implementing the substantive change.

(2) Interstate TRS providers. Interstate TRS providers must notify the Commission of substantive changes in their TRS programs, services and features within 60 days of when such changes may occur, and must certify that the interstate TRS provider continues to meet federal minimum standards after implementing the substantive change.

[FR Doc. 03–21616 Filed 8–22–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MB Docket No. 03-130; DA 03-2639]

Definition of Radio Markets for Areas Not Located in an Arbitron Survey Area

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: This decision extends the period for filing public comments and

reply comments in this proceeding at the request of commenters.

DATES: Comments are now due on October 6, 2003, and Reply Comments are due on October 21, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amy Brett, Media Bureau, 202–418–2330.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Order in MB Docket No. 03-130, DA 03-2639, adopted August 11, 2003, and released August 12, 2003. The complete text of this Order is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Courtyard Level, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, DC, and also may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 202-863-2893, facsimile 202-863-2898, or via e-mail at qualexint@aol.com. Alternative formats (computer diskette, large print, audio cassette, and Braille) are available to persons with disabilities by contacting Brian Millin at 202–418–7426, TTY 202-418-7365, or at bmillin@fcc.gov.

Synopsis of the Order

- 1. On July 2, 2003, the Commission released its *Notice of Proposed Rule Making* ("NPRM") in conjunction with its *Report and Order* in this proceeding, completing its third biennial review of its broadcast ownership rules. (*See* NPRM at 68 FR 46359, August 5, 2003, and Report and Order at 68 FR 46286, August 5, 2003.) The current deadlines to file comments and reply comments in this proceeding are September 4, 2003 and September 19, 2003, respectively.
- 2. In the Report and Order, the Commission replaced its current contour-overlap methodology for defining radio markets with a geographic market approach in areas where such markets have been defined by Arbitron. These "Metro" markets are used to determine compliance with the local radio ownership rule. The Commission also initiated this proceeding to define radio markets for areas not covered by Metro markets. Until a new definition is developed, the Commission will use a modified contour-overlap methodology in non-Metro markets. The goal of the proceeding is to generate a map or a list of markets for radio stations across the entire country.
- 3. On August 8, 2003, the National Association of Broadcasters ("NAB") and Saga Communications, Inc. (collectively, "Petitioners") filed a joint motion asking the Commission to

- extend the comment and reply comment deadlines to October 20, 2003 and November 19, 2003, respectively. Petitioners assert that they need additional time to assess the impact of the options suggested by the Commission as well as to attempt to develop a market definition specific to radio. NAB has contracted with a consultant to study the Commission's options as well as others and requests additional time to accumulate and review the data. Petitioners also argue that additional time is needed for NAB's radio task force and Radio Board to act, and for staff to prepare comments reflecting the Board's decisions.
- 4. We believe that the public interest would be best served by granting a brief extension of the comment and reply comment filing deadlines so that commenters may assemble data and conduct studies that will inform our decision in this proceeding. At the same time, however, we recognize the importance of completing this proceeding in a timely fashion. Adopting a permanent definition of radio markets outside Metro areas will provide parties with long-term certainty as to the radio market definition and allow them to plan transactions accordingly. Accordingly, we will grant only a thirty-day extension. The new deadline for comments is October 6, 2003. The new deadline for replies is October 21, 2003.

Federal Communications Commission.

Robert Ratcliffe,

Deputy Chief, Media Bureau. [FR Doc. 03–21652 Filed 8–22–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 648

[ID 081403B]

RIN 0648-AP57

Magnuson-Stevens Fishery
Conservation and Management Act
Provisions; Fisheries of the
Northeastern United States; Atlantic
Surf Clam and Ocean Quahog Fishery;
Amendment 13 to the Surf Clam and
Ocean Quahog Fishery Management
Plan

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice of availability of a fishery management plan amendment; request for comments.

SUMMARY: NMFS announces that the Mid-Atlantic Council (Council) has submitted Amendment 13 to the Atlantic Surf Clam and Ocean Quahog Fishery Management Plan (FMP) for Secretarial review and is requesting comments from the public. Amendment 13 to the FMP (Amendment 13) would establish: A new surf clam overfishing definition; multi-year fishing quotas; a mandatory vessel monitoring system (VMS), when such a system is economically viable; the ability to suspend or adjust the surf clam minimum size limit through a framework adjustment; and an analysis of fishing gear impacts on Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) for surf clams and ocean quahogs. The primary purpose of this proposed action is to rectify the disapproved surf clam overfishing definition and the EFH analysis and rationale contained in Amendment 12 to the FMP in order to comply with the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act), and to simplify the regulatory requirements of the FMP.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before October 23, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the FMP should be sent to Patricia A. Kurkul, Regional Administrator, NMFS, Northeast Regional Office, One Blackburn Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930. Mark the outside of the envelope, "Comments on Surf Clam Amendment 13." Comments may also be sent via facsimile (fax) to (978) 281–9135. Comments will not be accepted if submitted via e-mail or the Internet.

Copies of the Amendment, the Final Supplementary Environmental Impact Statement (FSEIS), Regulatory Impact Review (RIR), and the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) are available from Daniel Furlong, Executive Director, Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, Room 2115 Federal Building, 300 S. New Street, Dover, DE 19904— 6790

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan A. Murphy, Senior Fishery Policy Analyst, 978–281–9252, fax 978–281– 9135.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Amendment 12 to the FMP was prepared by the Council to bring the FMP into compliance with the Magnuson-Stevens Act, as amended by the Sustainable Fisheries Act of 1996. On April 28, 1999, the Council was notified that NMFS partially approved Amendment 12. Specifically, two Amendment 12 measures were disapproved, the surf clam overfishing definition and the analysis and rationale for the status quo alternative for addressing fishing gear impacts to EFH. To rectify these diapprovals, the Council prepared, and NMFS published, a Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) in the Federal Register, officially beginning the Council's scoping process for Amendment 13 (66 FR 13694, March 7, 2001). The Council held a scoping hearing on March 21, 2001, and accepted scoping comments on the amendment during the period March 7 through April 6, 2001. In addition to the surf clam overfishing definition and EFH alternatives, other issues identified for inclusion in the FSEIS were multiyear quotas, a mandatory VMS requirement and a permanent suspension of the surf clam minimum size limit. The Council identified a range of alternatives for each of these five issues and approved the alternatives in a public hearing document at its May, 2002 meeting. A Notice of Availability (NOA) on the DSEIS was published in the **Federal** Register on August 30, 2002 (67 FR 55838), with a comment period ending October 15, 2002. There were a series of three public hearings held (one each in

the states of Maine, New Jersey and Delaware). After consideration of all public comments, the Council chose the following alternatives at its January, 2003 meeting and voted to submit the Amendment 13 document, including the draft final supplemental environmental impact statement to NMFS. Amendment 13 would establish: A new surf clam overfishing definition; multi-year fishing quotas; a mandatory vessel monitoring system (VMS), when such a system is economically viable; the ability to suspend or adjust the surf clam minimum size limit through a framework adjustment; and an analysis of fishing gear impacts on Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) for surf clams and ocean quahogs.

Public comments are being solicited on Amendment 13 through the end of the comment period stated in this notice of availability. A proposed rule that would implement Amendment 13 may be published in the Federal Register for public comment, following NMFS evaluation of the proposed rule under the procedures of the Magnuson-Stevens Act. Public comments on the proposed rule must be received by the end of the comment period on the Amendment 13 to be considered in the approval/ disapproval decision on the Amendment 13. All comments received by the end of the comment period on Amendment 13, whether specifically directed to Amendment 13 or the proposed rule, will be considered in the approval/disapproval decision on Amendment 13. Any comments received after that date will not be considered in the decision to approve or disapprove Amendment 13.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.

Dated: August 18, 2003.

Bruce C. Morehead,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. 03–21609 Filed 8–22–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S