

Park, P.O. Box 129, Grand Canyon, AZ 86023, Telephone 928/638-7709.

Dated: March 3, 2003.

Cindy Orlando,

Associate Director, Administration, Business Practices and Workforce Development.

[FR Doc. 03-8496 Filed 4-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Final Environmental Impact Statement/ Primary Restoration Plan, Santa Cruz Island, Channel Islands National Park, Santa Barbara County, CA; Notice of Approval of Record of Decision

Summary: Pursuant to § 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91-190, as amended) and the regulations promulgated by the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR part 1505.2), the Department of the Interior, National Park Service has prepared, and the Regional Director, Pacific West Region has approved, the Record of Decision for the Primary Restoration Plan for Santa Cruz Island at Channel Islands National Park. The formal no-action period was initiated October 18, 2002, with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's **Federal Register** notification of the filing of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Decision: As soon as practicable the National Park Service will begin to implement the Primary Restoration Plan described and analyzed as Alternative Four (Proposed Action) contained in the Final EIS. The selected plan features a deliberate, long-term (approximately six years) strategy which entails construction of fenced areas for managing pig eradication efforts, and coordinated use of prescribed burns and herbicide applications to control the highly invasive fennel (a non-native weed species).

This course of action and three alternatives were identified and analyzed in the Final EIS, and previously in the Draft EIS (the latter was distributed in March 2001). The full spectrum of foreseeable environmental consequences was assessed, and appropriate mitigation measures identified, for each alternative. Beginning with early scoping, through the preparation of the Draft and Final EIS, and including numerous public meetings, approximately 50 written comments were received and duly considered. No substantive or adverse comments were received during the no-action period, which ended on

November 17, 2002. Key consultations which aided in the preparation of the Draft and Final EIS involved (but were not limited to) the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, California Dept. of Fish and Game, State Historic Preservation Office, Native American Tribes, Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, The Nature Conservancy, and the Santa Cruz Island Foundation.

Copies: Interested parties desiring to review the Record of Decision may obtain a complete copy by contacting the Acting Superintendent, Channel Islands National Park, 1901 Spinnaker Dr., Ventura, California 93001; or via telephone request at (805) 658-5700.

Dated: February 10, 2003.

Arthur E. Eck,

Deputy Regional Director, Pacific West Region.

[FR Doc. 03-8501 Filed 4-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement; General Management Plan Amendment for Visitor Learning Center; Great Basin National Park, White Pine County, NV; Notice of Availability

Summary: Pursuant to § 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91-190, as amended), the National Park Service, Department of the Interior, has prepared and distributed a supplemental environmental impact statement (SEIS) for a proposed amendment of the General Management Plan (which was approved in 1993). The SEIS assesses the potential impacts of a proposal to construct a Visitor Learning Center in the townsite of Baker, Nevada (rather than on National Park Service park lands north of Baker, known locally as Baker Ridge). This conservation planning and environmental impact analysis effort identified and analyzed three alternatives (and foreseeable environmental consequences and appropriate mitigation strategies) for constructing the park's new Visitor Learning Center.

Proposal and Alternatives: The Final SEIS identifies and analyzes three alternatives, including "no action" (to document existing conditions and provide an environmental baseline for comparing "action" alternatives). The No Action Alternative (Alternative 1) assumes that the Baker Ridge location for the Visitor Learning Center would

remain unchanged, thus implementing the existing General Management Plan (GMP). The Proposed Action (Alternative 2) amends the GMP to allow the construction to occur outside of the main park area within the townsite of Baker, Nevada. The approximately 7,000 ft² facilities identified are consistent with the concepts approved in the GMP. The Third Alternative (Alternative 3) amends the GMP to eliminate the Baker Ridge Visitor Learning Center and to maintain the current Lehman Caves Visitor Center as the only orientation facility.

Public Involvement: Notice of initiation of the conservation planning and environmental impact analysis process was published in the **Federal Register** on December 2, 1999; and information regarding the proposal was mailed to the park's GMP mailing list, and press release was distributed to local and regional media. A notice of availability of the Draft SEIS was published in the **Federal Register** on April 19, 2002; and on April 21, 2002 local announcements were published in the Ely Times. Copies of the document were distributed by direct mailings, posting in public libraries, and through electronic media. Copies were provided to Federal, state, and local agencies, interested organizations, and private individuals. Several scoping and consultation meetings were conducted throughout; fewer than a dozen written comments were received from these combined phases. Several letters of support were received. All substantive comments on the Draft SEIS, and specific responses, are included in the Final SEIS. Besides editorial revisions, changes from the Draft SEIS made in the Final SEIS were derived from clarifications prompted by comments received as a result of the 60 day comment period, as follows:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency raised two concerns. First centered upon "Greening the Government" opportunities (Executive Order 13101 "Greening the Government Through Waste Prevention, Recycling, and Federal Acquisition"; Executive Order 13123 "Greening the Government Through Efficient Energy Management"; and, Executive Order 13148 "Greening the Government Through Leadership in Environmental Management"). Details on the park's compliance with these Federal "greening the government" policies are included in section 3.0 (p. 29) of the Final SEIS. The second concern was in regards to exclusion of water quality (including permitting under section 402 and 404 of the Clean Water Act) as a impact issue topic.

Further information to address this concern has been included in section 1.8 (pp. 18–19) of the Final SEIS.

The Nevada Health Division requested further information on the potential for the construction of new water and sewage treatment facilities. Clarifications and new information regarding this element of the proposed action has been included in section 3.0 (pp. 29 and 35) of the Final SEIS.

Printed or CD-ROM copies of the Final SEIS may be obtained upon request to the Park Superintendent, Great Basin National Park, Baker, Nevada, 89311. The telephone number for the park is (775) 234–7331. In addition the document may be obtained via the Internet at <http://www.nps.gov/grba>.

If individuals responding to release of the Final SEIS request that their name or/and address be withheld from public disclosure, it will be honored to the extent allowable by law. Such requests must be stated prominently in the beginning of the comments. There may also be circumstances wherein the NPS will withhold a respondent's identity as allowable by law. As always: NPS will make available to public inspection all submissions from organizations and businesses; and, anonymous comments may not be considered.

Decision Process: The Proposed Alternative would amend the General Management Plan to allow the placement of a Visitor Learning Center outside of the main park area, on an administrative site located within the Baker townsite. A Record of Decision may be executed no sooner than 30 (thirty) days after publication of EPA's notice of filing of this Final SEIS in the **Federal Register**. As a delegated EIS, the official with responsibility for approving the Final SEIS is the Regional Director, Pacific West Region; subsequently the official responsible for implementation is the Superintendent, Great Basin National Park.

Dated: February 3, 2003.

Arthur E. Eck,

Deputy Regional Director, Pacific West Region.

[FR Doc. 03–8495 Filed 4–7–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Final Environmental Impact Statement/Lake Management Plan, Lake Mead National Recreation Area, Nevada and Arizona; Notice of Approval of Record of Decision

Summary: Pursuant to § 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91–190, as amended) and the implementing regulations promulgated by the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR part 1505.2), the Department of the Interior, National Park Service has prepared, and the Regional Director, Pacific West Region has approved, the Record of Decision for the Lake Management Plan for Lake Mead National Recreation Area, in southeast Nevada and southwest Arizona. The requisite 30 days no-action period was officially initiated January 17, 2003, with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's **Federal Register** notification of the filing of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Decision: As soon as practicable the NPS will begin to implement the Lake Management Plan described and analyzed as Alternative C (the Preferred Alternative) contained in the Final EIS. The selected plan features a deliberate, long-term strategy to protect significant cultural and natural resources, while allowing for a spectrum of recreational use. Boating carrying capacity is established at 5055 boats, waters are zoned for a variety of recreational settings including a shoreline flat wake zone to improve shoreline safety, and new sanitation and public education measures will be initiated. Carbureted two-stroke engines will be prohibited beginning December 31, 2012, and personal watercraft use is authorized in specified zones (the final rulemaking to authorize personal watercraft use is scheduled to be published immediately, while separate rulemaking will be pursued to implement other components of the selected plan). This plan was deemed to be the “environmentally preferred” alternative, and it was further determined that no impairment of park values would ensue based on its implementation.

This course of action and three alternative plans were identified and analyzed in the Final EIS, and previously in the Draft EIS (the latter was distributed in April 2002). The full spectrum of foreseeable environmental consequences were assessed, and appropriate measures to minimize harm were identified, for each alternative. Beginning with early scoping, through

the preparation of the Draft and Final EIS, numerous public meetings were conducted, and media (local and regional) and Web site updates were regularly produced. All written comments responding to the Draft and Final EIS were duly considered, and are maintained in the administrative record of the overall conservation planning and environmental impact analysis process. Key collaborations which aided in the preparation of the Draft and Final EIS involved (but were not limited to) numerous county and city agencies and boards in Arizona and Nevada, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Arizona Game and Fish Dept., Nevada Div. of Wildlife, all interested native American Tribes, affected Colorado River law enforcement agencies, Nevada and Arizona State Historic Preservation Offices, and the U.S. Geological Survey.

Copies: Interested parties desiring to review the Record of Decision may obtain a complete copy by contacting the Superintendent, Lake Mead National Recreation Area, 601 Nevada Way, Boulder City, NV 89005; or via telephone request at (702) 293–8986.

Dated: March 18, 2003.

Patricia L. Neubacher,

Acting Regional Director, Pacific West Region.

[FR Doc. 03–8547 Filed 4–7–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Draft General Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement (GMP/EIS), Saguro National Park, AZ

AGENCY: National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement for a general management plan, Saguro National Park, Arizona.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act, the National Park Service is preparing an environmental impact statement (EIS) for a general management plan for Saguro National Park. The environmental impact statement will be approved by the Director, Intermountain Region.

The general management plan (GMP) will establish the overall direction for the park, setting broad management goals for managing the area over the next 15 to 20 years. The plan will prescribe desired resource conditions and visitor experiences that are to be achieved and maintained throughout the park. Based on the desired