on August 23, 2002.² The BSE again amended the proposal on October 9, 2002.³ The proposed rule change, as amended, was published for notice and comment in the **Federal Register** on October 29, 2002.⁴ The Commission received no comments on the proposal.

The Commission has reviewed carefully the proposed rule change and finds it is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange 5 and, in particular, the requirements of section 6 of the Act 6 and the rules and regulations thereunder. The Commission finds specifically that the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b)(6) of the Act 7 in that it will provide a procedure whereby member organizations can be disciplined appropriately in those instances when a rule violation is minor in nature, but a sanction more serious than an admonition letter is appropriate. Additionally, the Commission finds the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of sections 6(b)(7)8 and $6(d)(1)^9$ of the Act. Section 6(b)(7)requires the rules of an exchange to be in accordance with the provisions of Section 6(d) of the Act, and, in general, to provide a fair procedure for the disciplining of members and persons associated with members. Section 6(d)(1) requires an exchange to bring specific charges, notify such member or person of, and give him an opportunity to defend against, such charges, and keep a record, in any proceeding to determine whether a member or person associated with a member should be disciplined. Finally, the Commission finds the proposal is consistent with

Rule 19d–1(c)(2) under the Act, ¹⁰ which governs minor rule violation plans.

In approving this proposal, the Commission in no way minimizes the importance of compliance with these rules, and all other rules subject to the imposition of fines under the Plan. The Commission believes that the violation of any self-regulatory organization's rules, as well as Commission rules, is a serious matter. However, in an effort to provide the Exchange with greater flexibility in addressing certain violations, the Plan provides a reasonable means to address rule violations that do not rise to the level of requiring formal disciplinary proceedings. The Commission expects that the BSE will continue to conduct surveillance with due diligence, and make a determination based on its findings whether fines of more or less than the recommended amount are appropriate for violations of rules under the Plan, on a case by case basis, or if a violation requires formal disciplinary action.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act,¹¹ that the proposed rule change (SR–BSE–2002–04), as amended, be, and it hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority, 12

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–1052 Filed 1–16–03; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-47171; File No. SR-CBOE-2002-71]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated Relating to Reducing Certain Telecommunication Fees

January 13, 2003.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), and rule 19b–4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on December 26, 2002, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission" or "SEC") the proposed

rule change as described in items I, II and III below, which items have been prepared by the Exchange. CBOE has designated this proposal as one establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange under section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,³ which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to make a change to its fee schedule to reduce certain of its telecommunications fees.⁴ The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Office of the Secretary, CBOE and at the Commission.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for its proposal and discussed any comments it received regarding the proposal. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in item IV below. CBOE has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Fee Schedule to reduce certain of its telecommunications fees effective January 1, 2003, due to its decision to defer a previously planned purchase of a new trading floor telephone system, for which these telecommunications rates had been raised by approximately 50% at the start of calendar year 2002 (this increase had previously been reduced by approximately 60% in May 2002). The new rates reduce the fees to a level approximately 10% higher than they were at the end of calendar year 2001, which will help offset increasing Exchange costs in this area. The

² See August 21, 2002 letter from John A. Boese, Assistant Vice President, Legal and Regulatory, BSE, to Nancy Sanow, Assistant Director, Division of Market Regulation ("Division"), Commission, and attachments ("Amendment No. 1"). Amendment No. 1 completely replaced and superseded the original proposed rule change.

³ See October 8, 2002 letter from John A. Boese, Assistant Vice President, Legal and Regulatory, BSE, to Nancy Sanow, Assistant Director, Division, Commission ("Amendment No. 2"). In Amendment No. 2, the BSE added language to set a standard by which violations of certain provisions of the Plan will be determined.

 $^{^4}$ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 46705 (October 22, 2002), 67 FR 66029. The notice contained the text of the proposed rule change, as well as an explanation of the purpose for the proposed rule change.

⁵In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

^{7 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(6).

^{8 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(7).

^{9 15} U.S.C. 78f(d)(1).

^{10 17} CFR 240.19d-1(c)(2).

^{11 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

^{12 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

^{3 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

⁴ All telecommunications fees referred to herein are applicable only to members of the Exchange. Telephone conversation between Chris Hill, Attorney II, CBOE, and Gordon Fuller, Counsel to the Assistant Director, Division of Market Regulation, Commission and Ian Patel, Attorney, Division of Market Regulation, Commission (January 9, 2003).

Exchange has informed the Commission that it also intends to file a separate proposed rule filing that will rebate the increased telecommunications fees that were collected during 2002 to the members and member organizations that paid them.⁵

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with section 6(b) of the Act ⁶ in general and furthers the objectives of section 6(b)(4) of the Act ⁷ in particular in that it is designed to provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among CBOE members.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing rule change establishes or changes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange, it has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and subparagraph (f) of rule 19b–4 thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposal is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549–0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of CBOE. All submissions should refer to file number SR-CBOE-2002-71 and should be submitted by February 7, 2003.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁸

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–1106 Filed 1–16–03; 8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–47170; File No. SR–CBOE– 2002–72]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated Relating to Exchange Fees for options on the Russell 2000® Index

January 13, 2003.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),1 and rule 19b-4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on December 26, 2002, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission" or "SEC") the proposed rule change as described in items I, II and III below, which items have been prepared by the Exchange. CBOE has designated this proposal as one establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange under section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,3 which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to

solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to make a change to its fee schedule related to options on the Russell 2000® Index ("RUT"). The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Office of the Secretary, CBOE and at the Commission.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for its proposal and discussed any comments it received regarding the proposal. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in item IV below. CBOE has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to impose a new per contract fee on the Designated Primary Market-Maker ("DPM") for RUT options. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to impose an additional fee of \$0.16 per contract to be charged to the DPM for options on the RUT for all RUT option transactions in which the DPM trades for its proprietary account. Currently, all DPMs are charged \$0.19 per contract for transactions for their proprietary accounts. The charge to the DPM for the options on the RUT, therefore, now will be \$0.35 per contract when the new \$0.16 fee is combined with the \$0.19 fee which is currently in effect for all DPMs. This fee will be used to assist the Exchange in offsetting a new per contract license fee that is being paid to Russell by CBOE.

The Exchange believes this fee is reasonable and justified because the DPM for the RUT has been awarded special status for the product (*i.e.* the DPM status) and thus, stands to gain the most from continued CBOE listing of the product, which is dependent upon payment of the per contract license fee. In addition, the current DPM for the RUT applied for the DPM status with full knowledge that the Exchange intended to impose a per contract license fee on the DPM to recoup some,

⁵Telephone conversation between Chris Hill, Attorney II, CBOE, and Gordon Fuller, Counsel to the Assistant Director, Division of Market Regulation, Commission and Ian Patel, Attorney, Division of Market Regulation, Commission (January 9, 2003).

^{6 15} U.S.C. 78f(b).

^{7 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

^{8 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

^{1 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

^{3 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).