

eventual geographical distribution of Oriental mealybug in the United States. The biological characteristics of the organisms under consideration preclude any possibility of harmful effects on human health.

APHIS' review and analysis of the potential environmental impacts associated with each of the possible alternatives are documented in detail in an environmental assessment entitled "Control of Oriental Mealybug, *Planococcus lilacinus* (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae)" (October 2002). We are making this environmental assessment available to the public for review and comment. We will consider all comments that we receive on or before the date listed under the heading **DATES** at the beginning of this notice.

You may request copies of the environmental assessment by calling or writing to the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. Please refer to the title of the environmental assessment when requesting copies. The environmental assessment is also available for review in our reading room (information on the location and hours of the reading room is listed under the heading **ADDRESSES** at the beginning of this notice).

The environmental assessment has been prepared in accordance with: (1) The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), (2) regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality for implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), (3) USDA regulations implementing NEPA (7 CFR part 1), and (4) APHIS' NEPA Implementing Procedures (7 CFR part 372).

Done in Washington, DC, this 30th day of December 2002.

Peter Fernandez,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 03–213 Filed 1–3–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Food Safety and Inspection Service

[Docket No. 02–014N]

Residue Testing Procedures; Response to Comments

AGENCY: Food Safety and Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) is issuing this notice to address comments that it received on its August 6, 2001 **Federal**

Register notice, "Residue Testing Procedures." That notice announced that FSIS was changing the action that it would take when livestock or poultry that are presented for slaughter come from producers and others who have previously marketed such animals that contain violative levels of chemical residues. FSIS will now post on its website, the names and addresses of the sellers of livestock and poultry who the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has determined are responsible for the repeated sale of livestock or poultry that contain violative levels of chemical residues. FSIS instituted this action partly in response to a petition submitted by a number of trade associations. The repeat violators alert list (RVAL) may be found at <http://www.fsis.usda.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carole Thomas, Technical Analysis Staff, Office of Policy, Program Development, and Evaluation, FSIS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Room 405, Cotton Annex, Washington, DC 20250–3700, (202) 205–0210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

FSIS conducts both ante-mortem and post-mortem inspection of all livestock and poultry presented for slaughter at each official establishment. As part of ante-mortem inspection, FSIS personnel inspect animals to determine whether they exhibit behaviors or conditions that are indicative of illegal chemical use. If such behaviors or symptoms are exhibited the animals are tagged "U.S. Suspect" and are further examined at post-mortem inspection.

During post-mortem inspection, FSIS veterinarians examine carcasses and their organs to determine whether the animals they came from had pathological diseases or other conditions that could have warranted the use of drugs or other chemicals and whether there are any indications of illegal chemical use. In addition, FSIS conducts laboratory analysis of sample organ tissues that have been taken from carcasses that have pathologies or other conditions indicative of chemical use to determine whether they contain violative chemical residues.

On August 6, 2001, FSIS issued a **Federal Register** notice entitled, "Residue Testing Procedures" (66 FR 40965). The notice announced that, in cooperation with FDA, FSIS would make publicly available a list of repeat chemical residue violators by posting the list on the FSIS Homepage (<http://www.fsis.usda.gov>). The Agency stated

that the list would contain the names and addresses of the sellers of livestock and poultry that FDA had investigated and determined to be responsible for more than one chemical residue violation in a 12-month period. The names and addresses of violators will remain on the list for a year from the time that the violation is confirmed by FDA. For any subsequent violation, the time period would be extended for a year from the date that the violation is confirmed by FDA.

This new procedure replaces FSIS' previous policy of testing livestock and poultry carcasses derived from animals marketed by producers or sellers who were previously the source of an animal with a violative chemical residue at an official establishment (*i.e.*, FSIS "5/15" policy).

FSIS received several comments about the policy change that it made effective on September 5, 2001. FSIS has carefully considered the comments and is now responding to them.

One commenter asked FSIS to evaluate the role that livestock markets play in the marketing chain and to provide the necessary resources to ensure that only the actual violator is identified.

FSIS will work closely with the Food and Drug Administration, Center for Veterinary Medicine, to identify the source of an animal that contains a violative chemical residue. If testing shows that a carcass contains a violative chemical residue, the Slaughter Operations Staff at FSIS' Technical Service Center (TSC) will open a case file and attempt to determine the source of the livestock or poultry. The source is the farmer, hauler, or auction market that sold the animal for slaughter.

The TSC staff will try to obtain from the official establishment the name of the seller (*e.g.*, farmer, hauler, producer or auction house) of the livestock or poultry. If the source of the animal is identified, FSIS will send an "FSIS Violation Notification Letter" to the identified entity. The letter will provide the results of the residue tests taken.

Additionally, pursuant to an October 1984, Memorandum of Understanding, FSIS will transmit to FDA information about the violative chemical residue found, including the name of the official establishment where the livestock or poultry was presented for slaughter. Transmission to FDA is through the Residue Violation Information System (RVIS).

FDA uses the information that it receives from RVIS to conduct an investigation to confirm a violation and to determine whether the source of the violative livestock or poultry is a repeat

violator. A repeat violator is an individual or firm who repeatedly (*i.e.*, on more than one occasion) within a 12-month period sells an animal for slaughter whose carcass is found to contain a violative level of a drug, pesticide, or other chemical residue.

One commenter requested that FSIS work closely with the U.S. Animal Health Association to develop a quality assurance and food safety certification program that could be used by federal and state agencies to assist producers in developing certification and compliance procedures. The commenter also requested that FSIS develop and implement a national animal identification program to facilitate rapid traceback for animal disease and food safety issues.

FSIS believes that quality assurance programs can have significant value. Thus, through its Animal and Egg Production Food Safety Staff, it encourages States and private groups like the U.S. Animal Health and Education Association to develop them. Moreover, packers may want to require that their suppliers provide food safety certifications to ensure that the packers do not receive animals with violative residues.

FSIS, in February 2002, issued a notice, FSIS Notice 5-02, to its field personnel that emphasized the importance of animal identification and current regulatory requirements (9 CFR 310.2) on this subject. Section 310.2 requires that establishments handle severed parts of a carcass that are to be used in the preparation of meat food products in a manner that identifies them with the rest of the carcass and as being derived from the particular animal involved until the post mortem examination of the carcass and its parts have been completed. Thus, establishments are required to remove and present to FSIS program personnel, ear tags, backtags, implants, and other identifying devices in a manner that will provide a ready means of identifying a specific carcass until post-mortem examination has been completed, or to have alternative measures in place that accomplish the required identification. Additionally, 9 CFR 310.2(b)(5)(i) and (ii) require inspection program personnel to collect all IDs associated with animals to obtain the traceback information necessary for the proper disposition of an animal or carcass.

Two commenters asked whether FSIS has conducted studies that correlate target tissue collection with the actual source of the sample or correct carcass identification.

FSIS is not aware of any problems with its collection practices for target tissue samples and carcass identification. Thus, it has not conducted a study of the type described in the comment.

Some commenters asked whether FSIS would issue instructions or conduct training for all inspectors. They suggested that the instructions or training address such issues as standardized sample collection procedures for both monitoring and enforcement residue testing, and a standardized protocol for what tissue samples should be collected from each carcass to be tested.

FSIS conducts training for its personnel. The training for sample collection and sample identification that FSIS personnel receive is sufficient and provides the proper collection and sample identification methodologies. FSIS' Center for Learning conducts training for FSIS personnel that are responsible for sample collection, particularly on aseptic techniques and tissue collection for chemical residue testing. The TSC has provided hands-on, in-plant correlation training sessions with FSIS personnel that are responsible for sample collection and identification. Additionally, a computer-based training program is available to assist the in-plant inspection team on sample collection procedures.

Several commenters raised questions concerning the FSIS Web site presentation of the list of repeat violators, the residue violators alert list (RVAL). Questions included who has the responsibility for updating the list on the Web site, and how frequently FSIS will update it. Commenters also asked when the 12-month identification period on the RVAL begins if a seller is found to be a repeat violator.

The 12-month listing period on the RVAL will begin once a second violation has been confirmed by FDA, FDA, or a state government acting under FDA's authority, will conduct an on-site investigation. If FDA finds that a seller is responsible for a second violative sample, it will notify FSIS. The TSC will then notify the FSIS Webmaster to post the name and address of the repeat violator on the FSIS Web site. The Web site will be updated as violations are confirmed, and the names of the violators will be deleted once the 12-month period has passed. For subsequent violations, the time period will be extended by a year from the time the additional violation is confirmed by FDA.

One commenter asked whether there was an appeal process available to a

producer who is assigned the responsibility of a violation.

An appeal can be made to FSIS and, if necessary, FSIS will consult with FDA about the appeal.

Two commenters asked what type of economic impact there would be on the average pork producer and on current marketing practices from the posting of repeat violators on the FSIS Web site.

FSIS cannot anticipate what precise economic impact might be for pork producers. FSIS anticipates, however, that the impact will be minimal because, historically, FSIS has found few chemical residue violations in pork products. Also, if drugs are used properly and the proper withdrawal time is followed, there will be no residue violation.

One commenter suggested that FSIS change the number of violations to five instead of two. The commenter argued that a repeat violation by a livestock market is not the same as a repeat violation by a single, individual producer.

FSIS believes that when there is a second chemical residue violation, regardless of who is responsible for it, there is just cause to make information about the violation available to help better ensure that meat and poultry products distributed in commerce are not adulterated with violative chemical residues.

Additional Public Notification

Public awareness of all segments of rulemaking and policy development is important. Consequently, in an effort to better ensure that minorities, women, and persons with disabilities are aware of this notice, FSIS will announce it and provide copies of this **Federal Register** publication in the FSIS Constituent Update. FSIS provides a weekly FSIS Constituent Update, which is communicated via fax to over 300 organizations and individuals. In addition, the update is available on-line through the FSIS webpage located on the Internet at <http://www.fsis.usda.gov>. The update is used to provide information regarding FSIS policies, procedures, regulations, **Federal Register** notices, public meetings, recalls, and any other types of information that could affect or would be of interest to our constituents/stakeholders. The constituent fax list consists of industry, trade, farm groups, and consumer interest groups, allied health professionals, scientific professionals, and other individuals that have requested to be included on the list. Through these various channels, FSIS is able to provide information to a much broader, more diverse audience.

For more information and to be added to the constituent fax list, fax your request to the Congressional and Public Affairs Office, at (202) 720-5704.

Done at Washington, DC, on: December 30, 2002.

Garry L. McKee,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 03-212 Filed 1-3-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-DM-P

BROADCASTING BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Sunshine Act Meeting

DATE AND TIME: January 8, 2003; 11:30 a.m.–2:30 p.m.

PLACE: RFE/RL Headquarters, 1201 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Suite 400, Washington, DC 20036.

CLOSED MEETING: The members of the Broadcasting Board of Governors (BBG) will meet in closed session to review and discuss a number of issues relating to U.S. Government-funded non-military international broadcasting. They will address internal procedural, budgetary, and personnel issues, as well as sensitive foreign policy issues relating to potential options in the U.S. international broadcasting field. This meeting is closed because if open it likely would either disclose matters that would be properly classified to be kept secret in the interest of foreign policy under the appropriate executive order (5 U.S.C. 552b. (c)(1)) or would disclose information the premature disclosure of which would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of a proposed agency action. (5 U.S.C. 552b. (c)(9)(B)). In addition, part of the discussion will relate solely to the internal personnel and organizational issues of the BBG or the International Broadcasting Bureau. (5 U.S.C. 552b. (c) (2) and (6))

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Persons interested in obtaining more information should contact either Brenda Hardnett or Carol Booker at (202) 401-3736.

Dated: December 30, 2002.

Carol Booker,
Legal Counsel.

[FR Doc. 03-274 Filed 1-2-03; 12:10 pm]

BILLING CODE 8230-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-831]

Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation of new shipper antidumping duty reviews: fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 6, 2003.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce has received requests to conduct four new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China. In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.214(d), we are initiating three new shipper reviews and not initiating one new shipper review.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeffrey Frank or Mark Ross at (202) 482-0090 and (202) 482-4794, respectively; Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 26, 2002, we received a request for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China from Shandong Heze International Trade and Developing Company ("Shandong Heze"). In its request for review, Shandong Heze submitted copies of the invoice and bill of lading associated with the first sales that it made to the United States. However, the dates of sale and entry listed in the submitted documentation indicate that Shandong Heze's first sale to the United States was made more than one year before its November 26, 2002, request for a new shipper review. Thus, Shandong Heze's request was untimely filed pursuant to the deadline established in 19 CFR 351.214(c) and we will not initiate a new shipper review based on that request.

Instead, pursuant to its request in the alternative, we have initiated an administrative antidumping duty review of sales of subject merchandise made by Shandong Heze during the period of review, November 1, 2001 through October 31, 2002. See § 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). See

Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 67 FR 78772 (December 26, 2002).

On November 21, 2002, we received a request for a new shipper review from Zhengzhou Harmoni Spice Co., Ltd. ("Zhengzhou"). On November 27, 2002, the Department received a request for a new shipper review from Xiangcheng Yisheng Foodstuffs Co., Ltd. ("Xiangcheng"). Also on November 27, 2002, we received a request for a new shipper review from Jining Trans-High Trading Co., Ltd. ("Jining Trans-High"). Zhengzhou identified itself as a Chinese producer and exporter of fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China. Xiangcheng and Jining Trans-High are Chinese exporters of fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China. The garlic exported by Xiangcheng was produced by Henan Yuyu Fruits & Vegetables Products Co., Ltd. ("Henan"). The garlic exported by Jining Trans-High was produced by Jining Yun Feng Agricultural Products Co., Ltd. ("Jining Yun Feng").

Initiation of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i), Zhengzhou provided certifications that it had not exported subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(ii)(A), Xiangcheng and Jining Trans-High provided certifications that they had not exported subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(ii)(B), Henan and Jining Yun Feng, producers of garlic for Xiangcheng and Jining Trans-High, respectively, provided certifications that they had not exported subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(A), each of the three exporters, Zhengzhou, Xiangcheng, and Jining Trans-High, certified that, since the initiation of the original investigation, it has never been affiliated with any exporter or producer who exported the subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation, including those not individually examined during the investigation. Also, each of the two producers, Henan and Jining Yun Feng, certified that, since the initiation of the original investigation, it has never been affiliated with any exporter or producer who exported the subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation, including those not individually examined during the investigation.