ornament candles are within the scope of the order; requested February 21, 20032.

A–570–827: Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China

Requestor: Designs by Skaffles Inc.; whether a stationary set is within the scope of the order; requested March 6, 2003

A-570-504: Petroleum Wax Candles from the People's Republic of China Requestor: Dollar Tree Stores, Inc.; whether various floral, autumn leaf, and Christmas "floater" candles are within the scope of the order; requested March 7, 2003.

A-570-504: Petroleum Wax Candles from the People's Republic of China Requestor: Illuminations Stores, Inc.; whether spherical Christmas ornament candles are within the scope of the order; requested March 7, 2003.

A–570–506: Porcelain-on Steel Cooking Ware from the People's Republic of China

Requestor: Target Corporation; whether enamel-clad beverage holders and dispensers are outside the scope of the order; requested March 18, 2003. *A–570–504: Petroleum Wax Candles*

from the People's Republic of China Requestor: Access Business Group; whether various "bowl" and jar candles are within the scope of the order; request March 25, 2003.

Russian Federation

A–821–802: Antidumping Suspension Agreement on Uranium

Requestor: USEC, Inc. and its subsidiary, United States Enrichment Corporation; whether enriched uranium located in Kazakhstan at the time of the dissolution of the Soviet Union is within the scope of the order; requested August 6, 1999.

Multiple Countries

A-475-820: Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Italy, C-475-821; Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Italy, A-588-843: Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Japan, A-469-805: Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Spain, A-469-807: Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Spain, A-583-828: Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Taiwan, A-533-810: Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rod from India, A-588-833: Stainless Steel Wire Rod from India, A-351-825: Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, A-533-808: Stainless Steel Wire Rod from India, C-469-004: Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Spain

Requestor: Ishar Bright Steel Ltd.; whether stainless steel bar that is manufactured in the United Arab Emirates from stainless steel wire rod imported form multiple subject countries is within the scope of the orders; requested December 22, 1998.

Anticircumvention Inquires Pending as of March 31, 2003

Italy

A-475-818 & C-475-819: Certain Pasta From Italy

Requestor: Pastificio Fratelli Pagani S.p.A. (Pagani); whether imports of certain pasta from Italy, falling within the physical dimensions outlined in the scope of the order, are circumventing the antidumping and countervailing duty orders; initiated April 27, 2000.

Japan

A-588-824: Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Japan Requestor: USS-Posco Industries;

Requestor: USS-Posco Industries; whether imports of boron-added hotdipped and electrolytic corrosionresistant carbon steel sheet are circumventing the order; pending.

Interested parties are invited to comment on the completeness of this list of pending scope inquiries. Any comments should be submitted to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/GVD Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Room 1870, Washington, DC 20230.

This notice is published in accordance with section 351.225(o) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: June 12, 2003.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.

[FR Doc. 03–15522 Filed 6–18–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Finance and Accounting Service Board of Advisors

AGENCY: Department of Defense, Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: On Wednesday, May 28, 2003 (68 FR 31691), the Department of Defense published a notice of meeting of the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) Board of Advisors scheduled for Thursday, June 19, 2003. The meeting has been postponed and will be rescheduled and announced at a later date.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Contact Beverly A. Lemon, Corporate

Planning, DFAS, Crystal Mall 3 (room 206), 1931 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22240. Telephone: (703) 607–3829.

Dated: June 12, 2003.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 03-15413 Filed 6-18-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Science Board

AGENCY: Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice of advisory committee meetings.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on Enabling Joint Force Capabilities will, tentatively meet in closed session August 26, 2003, at the U.S. Strategic Command; September 2, 2003, at Joint Forces Command; and September 22, 2003, at SAIC, 4001 N. Fairfax Drive, Arlington, VA. This Task Force will review the current state of assigned responsibilities and accountability for joint capabilities to quickly bring combat forces together and focus them on joint objectives across a wide spectrum of possible contingencies and will help identify unfilled needs and areas where assigned responsibility and accountability calls for further clarification and/or organizational arrangements.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology & Logistics on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At these meetings, the Defense Science Board Task Force will identify specific characteristics and examples of organizations that could be capable of accepting responsibility and accountability for delivering the capability with needed responsiveness, and will recommend further steps to strengthen the joint structure ability to quickly integrate service-provided force capabilities into effective joint forces.

In accordance with section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92–463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II), it has been determined that the Defense Science Board Task Force meetings concern matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1) and that, accordingly, the meetings will be closed to the public. Dated: June 12, 2003.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 03–15414 Filed 6–18–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Arbitration Panel Decision Under the Randolph-Sheppard Act

AGENCY: Department of Education. **ACTION:** Notice of arbitration panel decision under the Randolph-Sheppard Act.

SUMMARY: The Department gives notice that on August 2, 2002, an arbitration panel rendered a decision in the matter of Alabama Department of Rehabilitation Services v. U. S. Department of Veterans Affairs, Veterans Canteen Service (Docket No. R-S/01-6). This panel was convened by the U.S. Department of Education, under 20 U.S.C. 107d-1(b), after the Department received a complaint filed by the petitioner, the Alabama Department of Rehabilitation Services. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under section 6(c) of the Randolph-Sheppard Act (the Act), 20 U.S.C. 107d-2(c), the Secretary publishes in the Federal **Register** a synopsis of each arbitration panel decision affecting the administration of vending facilities on Federal and other property.

Background

This dispute concerns the alleged denial by the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (DVA), Veterans Canteen Service (VCS), of a request by the Alabama Department of Rehabilitation Services, the State licensing agency (SLA), to establish Randolph-Sheppard vending facilities at DVA Medical Centers in Alabama, in violation of the Act (20 U.S.C. 107 et seq.) and the implementing regulations in 34 CFR part 395.

A summary of the facts is as follows: In 1998 the SLA filed an arbitration complaint with the U.S. Department of Education. The SLA's complaint alleged that DVA/VCS had failed to comply with the provisions of the Act and implementing regulations regarding permit applications submitted by the SLA for four Federal properties maintained and operated by DVA/VCS. A Federal arbitration panel was convened to hear this matter and rendered a decision on October 20, 2000.

The panel ruled that DVA/VCS had not complied with the Act and

implementing regulations regarding the establishment of Randolph-Sheppard vending facilities on Federal property. At the instruction of the arbitration panel, the SLA submitted to DVA/VCS, during the arbitration proceedings, permit applications requesting the establishment of blind vending facilities in 33 separate buildings located in Alabama. However, at the time of the SLA's filing of this second arbitration complaint, the SLA had not received a response to these requests.

Later, the SLA alleges that it learned DVA/VCS had contracted with private companies to operate vending machines on DVA/VCS property in Alabama subsequent to January 1, 1975, which is in violation of the Act and implementing regulations. Further, the SLA also contends that it has never received any disbursement of vending machine income from the operation of these vending machines operated by DVA/VCS on Federal property in violation of the income-sharing provisions of the Act and implementing regulations.

As a result of this dispute, the SLA requested the Secretary of Education to convene a Federal arbitration panel to hear this complaint. A panel was convened, and a hearing on this matter was held on April 23, 2002.

Arbitration Panel Decision

The arbitration panel heard the following three issues: (1) Whether DVA/VCS had violated the Act and implementing regulations by failing to take action necessary to carry out the decision of the arbitration panel in Alabama Department of Rehabilitation Services v. Department of Veterans Affairs, Veterans Canteen Service, Case No. R–S/98–7; (2) whether DVA/VCS' failure to approve or disapprove the applications for permits submitted by the SLA in March 2000 to establish vending facilities on Federal property in Alabama was in violation of the Act and implementing regulations; and (3) whether the operation of vending machines by private companies and the receipt of vending machine income from those machines by DVA/VCS without sharing a percentage of the income with the SLA was in violation of the incomesharing provisions of the Act and implementing regulations.

After considering the evidence presented, the panel made the following decision and award: Concerning the first issue, the panel concurred with the first arbitration panel's findings and award in Case No. R–S/98–7 in which that panel ruled that DVA/VCS had violated the Act. Therefore, the panel ruled that

DVA/VCS should take all proper corrective action necessary.

Regarding the second issue, the majority of the panel ruled that DVA/VCS had failed to properly respond to the applications for permits submitted by the SLA in March 2000. Accordingly, the panel directed DVA/VCS to review, investigate, and determine which permit applications submitted by the SLA should have been approved and then to issue those permits. Also, the panel ordered DVS/VCS to determine the amount of monies lost as the result of its failure to timely grant and issue those permits and to compensate the SLA with interest at the lawful rate.

Finally, as to the third issue, the majority of the panel concluded that testimony showed that DVA/VCS performed every activity involved in the vending of beverages, thus establishing that DVA/VCS, not a private vending company, operated the vending machines. Therefore, the panel ruled that profits made by DVA/VCS were exempt from the vending machine income-sharing provisions of the Act as alleged by the SLA.

One panel member dissented. The views and opinions expressed by the panel do not necessarily represent

the views and opinions of the U.S. Department of Education.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: You may obtain a copy of the full text of the arbitration panel decision from Suzette E. Haynes, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., room 3232, Mary E. Switzer Building, Washington, DC 20202–2738. Telephone: (202) 205–8536. If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call the TDD number at (202) 205–8298.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternative format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed in the preceding paragraph.

Electronic Access to This Document

You may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: www.ed.gov/legislation/FedRegister.

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1–888–293–6498; or in the Washington, DC, area at (202) 512–1530.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal**