

to assure compliance with the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977.

Bureau Form Numbers: OSM-47, OSM-51.

Frequency of Collection: Once.

Description of Respondents: State governments and Indian Tribes.

Total Annual Responses: 10.

Total Annual Burden Hours: 199.

Dated: April 28, 2003.

Richard G. Bryson,

Chief, Division of Regulatory Support.

[FR Doc. 03-1081 Filed 5-1-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-486]

Certain Agricultural Tractors, Lawn Tractors, Riding Lawnmowers, and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation as to a Respondent on the Basis of a Consent Order; Issuance of Consent Order; and Request for Submissions on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ's") initial determination ("ID") terminating the above-captioned investigation as to a respondent on the basis of a consent order. In connection with final disposition of the investigation, the Commission is requesting briefing on remedy, the public interest, and the appropriate bond during the period of Presidential review.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Clara Kuehn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3012. Copies of the ALJ's ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public

record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on February 10, 2003, based on a complaint and motion for temporary relief filed by New Holland North America, Inc. ("complainant") of New Holland, PA. 68 FR 6772 (Feb. 10, 2003). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain tractors and components thereof by reason of misappropriation of New Holland's trade dress. The notice of investigation identified three respondents: Beiqi Futian Automobile Co., Ltd. ("Futian") of Beijing, China; Cove Equipment, Inc. ("Cove") of Conyers Georgia; and Northwest Products, Inc. ("Northwest") of Auburn, Washington. *Id.* On March 19, 2003, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 6) finding respondent Futian in default. On March 31, 2003, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 8) amending the complaint and notice of investigation to clarify the identity of Cove and to add Brian Navalinsky of Conyers, Georgia as an additional respondent. On April 1, 2003, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 9) terminating respondents Cove and Navalinsky on the basis of a consent order. Those IDs were not reviewed by the Commission.

On March 26, 2003, complainant and Northwest moved pursuant to Commission rule 210.21(c)(1)(ii) to terminate the investigation with respect to Northwest based upon a settlement agreement and consent order. On March 28, 2003, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response supporting the joint motion. On April 2, 2003, complainant filed a declaration pursuant to section 337(g)(1) and Commission rule 210.16(c)(1) seeking immediate entry of permanent relief against respondent Futian.

On April 8, 2003, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 10) granting the motion to terminate the investigation as to respondent Northwest. In his ID, the ALJ noted that all respondents in the investigation had been found to be in default or had reached settlements with complainant. He stated that "[i]f the Commission adopts this Initial Determination or otherwise terminates the investigation as to Northwest and

also terminates the investigation as to the other respondents, no respondent will remain in this investigation. Therefore, any outstanding motions (including Complainant's Motion for temporary relief) will be moot, and this investigation will be terminated in its entirety." ID at 5. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

Section 337(g)(1), 19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1) and Commission rule 210.16(c), 19 CFR 210.16(c), authorize the Commission to order limited relief against a respondent found in default unless, after consideration of public interest factors, it finds that such relief should not issue. In this investigation, respondent Futian has been found in default and complainant has requested issuance of a limited exclusion order that would deny entry to certain agricultural tractors, lawn tractors, and riding lawn mowers, and components thereof manufactured by or for Futian. Complainant also requests issuance of a cease and desist order. If the Commission decides to issue remedial orders against Futian, it must consider what the amount of the bond should be during the Presidential review period.

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may issue remedial orders. The requested remedies are (1) a limited exclusion order that could result in the exclusion from entry into the United States of certain agricultural tractors, lawn tractors, and riding lawn mowers, and components thereof manufactured by or for Futian, and (2) a cease and desist order that could result in prohibiting Futian and its United States affiliates or agents from importing, marketing, distributing, displaying, assembling, installing, servicing, or selling certain agricultural tractors, lawn tractors, and riding lawn mowers, and components thereof within the United States. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address whether either or both such orders should be issued. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, it should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or likely to do so. For background, see *Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines*, Inv. No. 337-TA-360, USITC Pub. No. 2843 (December 1994) (Commission Opinion).

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider in this investigation

include the effect that remedial orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the President has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under a bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed.

Written Submissions: The parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Complainant and the Commission investigative attorney are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. Written submissions including proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than close of business on May 16, 2003. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on May 23, 2003. No further submissions on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file with the Office of the Secretary the original document and 14 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above. Any person desiring to submit a document (or portion thereof) to the Commission in confidence must

request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See § 201.6 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and §§ 210.16 and 210.42 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, 19 CFR 210.16 and 210.42.

By order of the Commission.
Issued: April 28, 2003.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 03-10812 Filed 5-1-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

**Submission for OMB Review;
Comment Request**

April 23, 2003.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation, contact Darrin

King on (202) 693-4129 or E-Mail: king.darrin@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for MSHA, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 (202) 395-7316), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA).

Title: Miner Operator Dust Cards.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

OMB Number: 1219-0011.

Frequency: On occasion; annually; and bi-monthly.

Type of Response: Recordkeeping; reporting; and third party disclosure.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 1,049.

Requirement	Annual responses	Frequency	Average response time (hours)	Annual burden hours
Mine Operator Duct Data Cards	39,000	Bi-monthly	see below	see below
Prepare and Approve Sampler Unit (28,000):	Bi-monthly	0.8333	23,332
Operational Checks/Monitoring (39,000):	Bi-monthly	0.17	6,630
Complete Data Card (39,000)	Bi-monthly	0.25	9,750
Sampling by Contractor	2,600	Bi-monthly	0	0
Reporting of Sampling Dates	400	On occasion	1	400
Dust Sampling Certification:				
Training and Exam	160	On occasion	8	1,280
Exam Only	40	On occasion	1.5	60
Status Change Reports	3,800	On occasion	0.42	1,596
Dust Control Plan (30 CFR 71.300):				
New Plans	24	On occasion	3	72
Revised Plans	5	On occasion	1.25	6
Copy and Mailing Plan	n/a	On occasion	0.17	5
Posting of Dust Control Plan	29	On occasion	0.25	7
Dust Control Plan (30 CFR 90.300):				