

as part of the EIS. Individual respondents may request confidentiality. If you wish to withhold your name or street address from public review or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your written comment. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by law. All submissions from organizations and businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials or organizations or businesses, will be available for public inspection in their entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: For further information and/or to have your name added to our mailing list, contact Bruce Rogers, telephone (907) 822-3217.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Currently, a Management Framework Plan (MFP) completed in 1980 guides the use of these lands. A new RMP is necessary to respond to increasing tourism and recreational use in the area, and new issues that have developed since 1980. Preliminary issues and management concerns have been identified by BLM personnel, other agencies, and in meetings with individuals and user groups. They represent the BLM's knowledge to date on the existing issues and concerns with current management. The major issue themes that will be addressed in the plan effort include: How will people's uses and activities be managed; how to we protect and conserve lands having special critical or unique features or resource values; and how will the natural resources of the East Alaska planning area be managed and conserved? After gathering public comments on what issues the plan should address, the suggested issues will be placed in one of three categories: 1. Issued to be resolved in the plan; 2. Issues resolved through policy or administrative action; or 3. Issues beyond the scope of this plan.

Rationale will be provided in the plan for each issue placed in category two or three. In addition to these major issues, a number of management question and concerns will be addressed in the plan. The public is encouraged to help identify these questions and concerns during the scoping phase.

An interdisciplinary approach will be used to develop the plan in order to consider the variety of resource issues and concerns identified. Disciplines involved in the planning process will include specialists with expertise in outdoor recreation, minerals and geology, forestry, archaeology, paleontology, wildlife and fisheries,

lands and realty, hydrology, soils, vegetation, sociology and economics.

K. J. Mushovic,

Glennallen Field Office, Bureau of Land Management.

[FR Doc. 03-6273 Filed 3-17-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-AG-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Renewal

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces that the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) is seeking a renewal of the following information collection:

Diversions, Return Flow, and Consumptive Use of Colorado River Water in the Lower Colorado River Basin, OMB No. 1006-0015.

Before submitting the information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget for approval, Reclamation is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the information collection.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by May 19, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Address all comments concerning this notice to Nancy DiDonato, BCOO-4445, Bureau of Reclamation, P.O. Box 61470, Boulder City, Nevada 89006-1470. A copy of the information collection form can be obtained by writing to the above address or calling Nancy DiDonato at (702) 293-8532.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy DiDonato, Contracts and Repayment Specialist, (702) 293-8532.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of Reclamation's functions, including whether the information will have practical use; (b) the accuracy of Reclamation's estimated time and cost burdens of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, use, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of

automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Information on water diversions is reported on four different types of forms. The base form (Form LC-72) was developed for use by respondents in the State of Nevada and variations of the form have been used by other respondents. Some respondents choose to use their own format to report diversions and return flows. Water delivery contracts regulation some respondents to supply both monthly and annual reports on the same form.

Title: Diversions, Return Flow, and Consumptive Use of Colorado River Water in the Lower Colorado River Basin.

OMB No.: 1006-0015.

Abstract: Reclamation delivers Colorado River water to water users for diversion and beneficial consumptive use in the States of Arizona, California, and Nevada. Under Supreme Court order, the United States is required, at least annually, to prepare and maintain complete, detailed, and accurate records of diversions of water, return flow, and consumptive use. This information is needed to ensure that a State or a water user within a State does not exceed its authorized use of Colorado River water. Water users are obligated to provide information on diversions and return flows to Reclamation by provisions in their water delivery contracts. Reclamation determines the consumptive use by subtracting return flow from diversions or by other engineering means. Without the information collected, Reclamation could not comply with the order of the United States Supreme Court to prepare and maintain detailed and accurate records of diversions, return flow, and consumptive use.

Description of respondents: The Lower Basin States (Arizona, California, and Nevada), local and tribal entities,

water districts, and individuals that use Colorado River water.

Frequency: Annually, or otherwise as determined by the Secretary of the Interior.

Estimated total number of respondents: 54.

Estimated hours per form:

LC-72: 54 hours.

LC72A: 30 hours.

LC72B: 78 hours.

Custom forms: 128 hours.

Estimated total burden hours: 290.

Dated: February 25, 2003.

Jayne Harkins,

Area Manager, Boulder Canyon Operations Office, Lower Colorado Region.

[FR Doc. 03-6457 Filed 3-17-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—J Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on February 25, 2003, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), J Consortium, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Azarkhish, Tehran, IRAN; Stephen Cory (individual member), Cambridge, UNITED KINGDOM; Mahaanta, Karnataka, INDIA; and Becca Matthews (individual member), Amarillo, TX have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and J Consortium, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On August 6, 1999, J Consortium, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 21, 2000 (65 FR 15175).

The last notification was filed with the Department on August 28, 2002. A notice was published in the **Federal**

Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 6, 2002 (67 FR 67648).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 03-6389 Filed 3-17-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,824]

Fort Dearborn Company, Coldwater, MI; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By letter dated July 11, 2002, an employee on behalf of petitioners requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination issued on June 11, 2002, based on the finding that imports of paper labels used in the food and beverage industry did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the Coldwater plant. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on June 24, 2002 (67 FR 42583).

During the period that the Department was reviewing allegations made in the request for reconsideration, a petition on behalf of the same subject firm workers for NAFTA-Transitional Adjustment Assistance was certified on the basis of increased customer imports (NAFTA-6425) for the same worker group and the same time period as that which was established in the trade adjustment assistance petition. Therefore, workers of Fort Dearborn Company, Coldwater, Michigan meet criterion (3) of section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced at Fort Dearborn Company, Coldwater, Michigan, contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

"All workers of Fort Dearborn Company, Coldwater, Michigan, who became totally or

partially separated from employment on or after January 8, 2001, through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed in Washington, DC, this 19th day of February, 2003.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-6403 Filed 3-17-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-41,368]

Komtek, Worcester, MA; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of December 1, 2002, the United Steelworkers of America, District #4, Local Union No. 2936, requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice was signed on November 1, 2002 and published in the **Federal Register** on November 22, 2002 (67 FR 70460).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances: (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous; (2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or (3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition, filed on behalf of workers at Komtek, Worcester, Massachusetts engaged in the production of forged aerospace products (such as fuel combustion swirlers, fuel nozzles, blades, vanes, and fittings) and medical devices, was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers' firm's customers. The Department conducted a survey of the subject firm's major customers regarding their purchases of forged aerospace products and medical devices in 2000, 2001 and January through August 2002.