4. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at your estimate.

5. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns.

6. Offer alternatives.

7. Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

8. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, identify the appropriate docket identification number in the subject line on the first page of your response. It would also be helpful if you provided the name, date, and **Federal Register** citation related to your comments.

# F. What Information Is EPA Particularly Interested In?

Pursuant to section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, EPA specifically solicits comments and information to enable it to:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collections of information are necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility.

2. Evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimates of the burdens of the proposed collections of information.

3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.

4. Minimize the burden of the collections of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated or electronic collection technologies or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

# **II. Technical Information**

*Title:* Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements for 40 CFR part 258— Solid Waste Disposal Facilities and Practices, OMB Control No. 2050–0122. This information collection is an extension of a currently approved collection that is scheduled to expire on August 31, 2003. Under the PRA regulations, the agency may continue to conduct or sponsor the collection of information while this submission is pending at OMB.

Abstract: In order to effectively implement and enforce final changes to 40 CFR part 258 on a State level, owners/operators of municipal solid waste landfills have to comply with the final reporting and recordkeeping requirements. Respondents include owners or operators of new municipal solid waste landfills (MSWLFs), existing MSWLFs, and lateral expansions of existing MSWLFs. These owners or operators could include Federal, State, and local governments, and private waste management companies. Facilities in SIC codes 922, 495, 282, 281, and 287 may be affected by this rule. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15, and are identified on the form and/or instrument, if applicable

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for the current collection of information averages 97 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Current ICR:

*Respondents/Affected Entities:* Owners/Operators of Municipal Solid Waste Landfills.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 2300.

Frequency of Response: On Occasion. Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 239,858 Hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Capital and Operating & Maintenance Cost Burden: 0.

#### Robert Springer,

*Director, Office of Solid Waste.* [FR Doc. 03–6581 Filed 3–18–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-2003-0105; FRL-7298-6]

# Notice of Availability of Regional Pesticide Environmental Stewardship Program (PESP) Grants

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is announcing the availability of approximately \$500,000

in fiscal year 2003 grant/cooperative agreement funds under section 20 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) as amended. This funding is for grants to States and federally recognized Native American Tribes for research, public education, training, monitoring, demonstrations, and studies that advance pesticide risk reduction.

**DATES:** Applications must be received by the appropriate EPA Regional Office on or before May 16, 2003. EPA will make its award decisions by June 20, 2003.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Your EPA Regional PESP Coordinator listed under Unit V. of this notice.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# I. General Information

#### A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

This action is directed to the public in general but will be of particular interest to eligible applicants which include the 50 States, District of Columbia, U.S. Virgin Islands, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, any territory or possession of the United States, any agency or instrumentality of a State including State universities, and all federally recognized Native American Tribes. Since other entities may also be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult your EPA **Regional PESP Coordinator listed under** Unit V. of this notice.

## B. How Can I Get Additional Information, Including Copies of this Document and Other Related Documents?

1. Docket. EPA has established an official public docket for this action under docket identification (ID) number OPP-2003-0105. The official public docket consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received, and other information related to this action. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA. This docket facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal

holidays. The docket telephone number is (703) 305–5805.

2. *Electronic access.* You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the "**Federal Register**" listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/. Additional information is available on EPA's PESP website at http:// www.epa.gov/oppbppd1/PESP/ regional grants.htm.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may use EPA Dockets at http://www.epa.gov/edocket/ to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the docket facility identified in Unit I.B.1. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the appropriate docket ID number.

3. *By mail or in person*. Contact your EPA Regional PESP Coordinator listed under Unit V. of this notice.

### II. Availability of FY'03 Funds

With this publication, EPA is announcing the availability of approximately \$500,000 in grant/ cooperative agreement funds for FY'03. The Agency has delegated grant making authority to the EPA Regional Offices. Federal funding is limited to \$40,000 per project and matching funds are not required. Projects which leverage funding from other sources are encouraged.

#### **III. Eligible Applicants**

In accordance with the Act, "... Federal agencies, universities, or others as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of the act, . . ." are eligible to receive funding. Restrictions on the funds appropriated for this program limit the eligible applicants to the 50 States, District of Columbia, U.S. Virgin Islands, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, any territory or possession of the United States, any agency or instrumentality of a State including State universities, and all federally recognized Native American Tribes. The term "State" in this notice refers to all eligible applicants.

<sup>1</sup> Local governments, private universities, private nonprofit entities, private businesses, and individuals are not eligible. EPA encourages organizations excluded from applying directly to work with eligible applicants in developing proposals that include them as participants in the projects. Contact your EPA Regional PESP Coordinator for assistance in identifying and contacting eligible applicants.

#### **IV. Activities and Criteria**

#### A. Activities

The goal of PESP is to reduce the risks associated with pesticide use in agricultural and non-agricultural settings in the United States. The purpose of the grant program is to support projects that address this goal. Pesticide pollution prevention, integrated pest management (IPM), IPM in schools, children's health issues related to pesticides, and those research methods for documenting IPM adoption or the reduction of risks associated with changes in pesticide use will receive priority consideration. Other projects will be considered as they complement these goals through public education, training, monitoring, demonstrations, and other activities.

EPA specifically seeks to build IPM capacities or to evaluate the economic feasibility of new IPM approaches at the local level (i.e., innovative approaches and methodologies that use application or other strategies to reduce the risks associated with pesticide use). Projects might focus on, for example:

• Researching the effectiveness of multimedia communication activities for; including but not limited to: promoting local IPM activities, providing technical assistance to pesticide users; collecting and analyzing data to target outreach and technical assistance opportunities; developing measures to determine and document progress in pollution prevention; and identifying regulatory and non-regulatory barriers or incentives to pollution prevention.

• Researching methods for establishing IPM as an environmental management priority, establishing prevention goals, developing strategies to meet those goals, and integrating the ethic within both governmental and non-governmental institutions of the State or region.

• Initiating research or other projects that test and support: Innovative techniques for reducing pesticide risk or using pesticides in a way to reduce risk, and innovative application techniques to reduce worker and environmental exposure.

• Implementing reduced-risk pest management programs with special considerations of the impact to human health, water and/or air quality, or ecosystem processes. A list of projects funded since FY'98 and their proposals may be obtained at http://www.epa.gov/ oppbppd1/PESP/regional\_grants.htm or from your Regional PESP Coordinator.

#### B. Criteria

EPA Regional Offices are responsible for the solicitation, screening, and selection of proposals for funding. A generic request for proposal will be available on EPA's website on or before March 26, 2003, at http://www.epa.gov/ oppbppd1/PESP/regional\_grants.htm. Interested applicants must contact the appropriate EPA Regional PESP Coordinator to obtain specific instructions, regional criteria, guidance, and format for submitting proposals. Proposals will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

1. Qualifications and experience of the applicant relative to the proposed project.

• Does the applicant demonstrate experience in the field of the proposed activity?

• Does the applicant have the properly trained staff, facilities, or infrastructure in place to conduct the project?

2. Consistency of proposal with the risk reduction goals of PESP.

3. Does the project provide for a quantitative or qualitative evaluation of achieving the stated goals?

• Is the project designed in such a way that it is possible to measure and document the results quantitatively and qualitatively?

• Does the applicant identify the method that will be used to measure and document the results quantitatively and qualitatively?

4. Likelihood the project can be replicated to benefit other communities or the product may have broad utility to a widespread audience. Can this project, taking into account typical staff and financial restraints, be replicated by similar organizations in different locations to address the same or similar problem?

#### C. Program Management

The awarding of FY'03 funds will be managed through the EPA Regional Offices. Quality Assurance/Quality Control plans may be required, depending on the nature of the project and the data collected. Contact your Regional PESP Coordinator for more information about this requirement.

#### V. Regional PESP Coordinators

Region I: (Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont), Andrea Szylvian, 1 Congress St., Suite 1100, (CPT), Boston, MA 02114–2023; telephone: (617) 918– 1198; e-mail: szylvian.andrea@epa.gov.

Region II: (New Jersey, New York, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands), Tara Masters, Raritan Depot, 2890 Woodbridge Ave., (MS-500), Edison, NJ 08837–3679; telephone: (732) 906–6183; e-mail: masters.tara@epa.gov.

Region III: (Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, District of Columbia), Fatima El-Abdaoui, 1650 Arch St., (3WC32), Philadelphia, PA 19103–2029; telephone: (215) 814–2129; e-mail: elabdaoui.fatima@epa.gov.

Region IV: (Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee), Troy Pierce, 61 Forsyth St., SW., Atlanta, GA 30303– 8960; telephone: (404) 562–9016; e-mail: pierce.troy@epa.gov.

Region V: (Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, Wisconsin), Heather McDonald, 77 W Jackson Blvd., (DT-8J), Chicago, IL 60604–3507; telephone: (312) 886–3572; e-mail: mcdonald.heather@epa.gov.

Region VI: (Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas), Jerry Collins, 1445 Ross Ave., Suite 1200, (6PD-P), Dallas, TX 75202–2733; telephone: (214) 665–7562; e-mail: collins.jerry@epa.gov.

Region VII: (Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska), Brad Horchem, 901 N 5th St., (WWPDPEST), Kansas City, KS 66101; telephone: (913) 551–7137; e-mail: horchem.brad@epa.gov.

Region VIII: (Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, Wyoming), Peg Perreault, 999 18th St, Suite 300, (8P-P3T), Denver, CO 80202– 2466; telephone: (303) 312–6286; e-mail: perreault.peg@epa.gov.

Region IX: (Arizona, California, Hawaii, Nevada, American Samoa, Guam), Paul Feder, 75 Hawthorne St., (CMD-1), San Francisco, CA 94105; telephone: (415) 947–4160; e-mail: feder.paul@epa.gov.

Region X: (Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, Washington), Sandy Halstead, 24106 North Bunn Road, Prosser, WA 99350; telephone: (509) 786–9225; e-mail: halstead.sandra@epa.gov.

#### List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides, Risk reduction.

Dated: March 13, 2003.

# Janet L. Andersen,

Director, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 03–6586 Filed 3–18–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7469-9]

Notice of Request for Initial Proposals (IP) for Projects To Be Funded From the Water Quality Cooperative Agreement Allocation (CFDA 66.463— Water Quality Cooperative Agreements)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** EPA Region 6 is soliciting Initial Proposals (IP) from State water pollution control agencies, interstate agencies, other public or nonprofit agencies, institutions, organizations, and other entities as defined by the Clean Water Act (CWA) interested in applying for Federal assistance for Water Quality Cooperative Agreements under the CWA section 104(b)(3) in the States of Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma and Texas. Region 6 EPA will award an estimated \$1 million to eligible applicants through assistance agreements ranging in size, on average, from \$40,000 up to \$200,000 (Federal) for innovative projects/demonstrations/ studies that can be used as models relating to the prevention, reduction, and elimination of water pollution. From the IPs received, EPA estimates up to10 to 12 projects may be selected to submit full applications. The Agency reserves the right to reject all IPs and not make awards. A request for proposals for tribal governments will be issued under a separate notice. DATES: EPA will consider all proposals received on or before 12 p.m. midnight central standard time May 5, 2003. IPs received after the due date will not be considered for funding.

ADDRESSES: IPs should be mailed to: Terry Mendiola (6WQ–AT), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Water Quality Protection Division, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733. Overnight delivery may be sent to the same address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Terry Mendiola by telephone at 214– 665–7144 or by e-mail at mendiola.teresita@epa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Purpose of This Request for Initial Proposals

EPA Region 6's Water Quality Protection Division is requesting proposals from State water pollution control agencies, interstate agencies, other public or nonprofit agencies, institutions, organizations, and other entities as defined by the CWA for unique and innovative projects that address the National Pollutant **Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)** program with special emphasis on concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFO) permitting, sanitary sewer overflow (SSO) impact studies, watershed integration through NPDES, homeland security, and promotion of "good data" efforts to support NPDES decisions, as well as, water quality projects relating to water quality standards, assessment methods, and reporting, ecoregion and subregion delineation, and improved approaches to total maximum daily load (TMDL) modeling.

An organization whose IP is selected for Federal assistance must complete an EPA Application for Assistance, including the Federal SF-424 form (Application for Federal Assistance, *see* 40 CFR 30.12 & 31.10). Organizations who have an existing agreement under this program are eligible to compete for new awards.

### EPA Region 6 Has Identified the Following High Priority Areas for Consideration

WQCAs awarded under section 104(b)(3) may only be used to conduct and promote the coordination and acceleration of activities such as research, investigations, experiments, training, education, demonstrations, surveys, and studies relating to the causes, effects, extent, prevention, reduction, and elimination of water pollution. These activities, while not defined in the statute, advance the state of knowledge, gather information, or transfer information. For instance, "demonstrations" are generally projects that demonstrate new or experimental technologies, methods, or approaches and the results of the project will be disseminated so that others can benefit from the knowledge gained. A project that is accomplished though the performance of routine, traditional, or established practices, or a project that is simply intended to carry out a task rather than transfer information or advance the state of knowledge, however worthwhile the project may be, is not a demonstration. Research projects may include the application of the practices when they contribute to learning about an environmental concept or problem.

EPA Region 6 has identified several subject areas for priority consideration. EPA will award WQCAs for research, investigations, experiments, training, demonstrations, surveys and studies related to the causes, effects, extent, prevention, reduction, and elimination