

## PART 123—RULES OF PRACTICE GOVERNING PROCEEDINGS UNDER THE VIRUS-SERUM-TOXIN ACT

73. The authority citation for part 123 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 8301–8317; 21 U.S.C. 151–159; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.4.

## PART 124—PATENT TERM RESTORATION

74. The authority citation for part 124 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 35 U.S.C. 156; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.4.

75. In § 124.2, the definition of *informal hearing* is revised to read as follows:

### § 124.2 Definitions.

\* \* \* \* \*

*Informal Hearing.* A hearing that is not subject to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 554, 556, and 557 and that is conducted as provided in 21 U.S.C. 321(x).

\* \* \* \* \*

## PART 130—USER FEES

76. The authority citation for part 130 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 5542; 7 U.S.C. 1622 and 8301–8317; 21 U.S.C. 136 and 136a; 31 U.S.C. 3701, 3716, 3717, 3719, and 3720A; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.4.

### § 130.51 [Amended]

77. In § 130.51, paragraph (d) is amended by removing the citation “30 U.S.C. 3717” and adding the citation “31 U.S.C. 3717” in its place.

## PART 145—NATIONAL POULTRY IMPROVEMENT PLAN

78. The authority citation for part 145 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 8301–8317; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.4.

## PART 147—AUXILIARY PROVISIONS ON NATIONAL POULTRY IMPROVEMENT PLAN

79. The authority citation for part 147 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 8301–8317; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.4.

## PART 160—DEFINITION OF TERMS

80. The authority citation for part 160 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 8301–8317; 15 U.S.C. 1828; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.4.

## PART 161—REQUIREMENTS AND STANDARDS FOR ACCREDITED VETERINARIANS AND SUSPENSION OR REVOCATION OF SUCH ACCREDITATION

81. The authority citation for part 161 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 8301–8317; 15 U.S.C. 1828; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.4.

### § 161.4 [Amended]

82. In § 161.4, paragraph (d) is amended by removing the citation “18 U.S.C. 1001, 21 U.S.C. 117, 122, 127, and 134e” and adding the citation “7 U.S.C. 8313, 18 U.S.C. 1001” in its place.

## PART 162—RULES OF PRACTICE GOVERNING REVOCATION OR SUSPENSION OF VETERINARIANS’ ACCREDITATION

83. The authority citation for part 162 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 8301–8317; 15 U.S.C. 1828; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.4.

## PART 166—SWINE HEALTH PROTECTION

84. The authority citation for part 166 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 3801–3813; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.8, and 371.4.

### § 166.14 [Amended]

85. In § 166.14, paragraph (a)(3) is amended by removing the citation “(7 U.S.C. 135 *et seq.*)” and adding the citation “(7 U.S.C. 136 *et seq.*)” in its place.

Done in Washington, DC, this 4th day of February 2003.

**Peter Fernandez,**

*Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. 03–3058 Filed 2–6–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–34–P**

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

### 11 CFR Part 110

[Notice 2002–27–A]

### Coordinated and Independent Expenditures; Correction

**AGENCY:** Federal Election Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rules; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Election Commission published final rules on January 3, 2003, regarding payments for communications that are coordinated with a candidate, a candidate’s authorized committee, or a political

party committee. The final rules also addressed expenditures by political party committees that are made either in coordination with, or independently from, candidate. The final rules implemented several requirements of the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002 (“BCRA”). Two amendatory instructions were incorrect. This document corrects the amendatory instructions. There is no substantive change to the final rules.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 3, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. John Vergelli, Acting Assistant General Counsel, 999 E Street, NW., Washington, DC, 20463, (202) 694–1650 or (800) 424–9530.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In rule FR Doc 03–90 published on January 3, 2003 (68 FR 421), make the following corrections. On page 457, first and second columns, correct the amendatory instructions 11 and 12, and correct the amendments to §§ 110.8 and 110.14, to read as follows:

11. In section 110.8, paragraph (a) is amended as follows:

(a) Paragraph (a)(1) is redesignated as paragraph (a)(1)(i);

(b) The introductory text is redesignated as paragraph (a)(1);

(c) Paragraph (a)(2) is redesignated as paragraph (a)(1)(ii);

(d) A new paragraph (a)(2) is added; and

(e) A new paragraph (a)(3) is added. The revised text reads as follows:

Sec. 110.8 Presidential candidate expenditure limitations.

(a) \* \* \*

(2) The expenditure limitations in paragraph (a)(1) of this section shall be increased in accordance with 11 CFR 110.17.

(3) Voting age population is defined at 11 CFR 110.18.

\* \* \* \* \*

12. Section 110.14 is amended as follows:

(a) Paragraph (f)(2)(i) introductory text is revised;

(b) Paragraphs (f)(2)(ii) introductory text and (f)(2)(ii)(B) are revised;

(c) Paragraph (f)(3)(iii) is revised;

(d) Paragraph (i)(2)(i) introductory text is revised;

(e) Paragraph (i)(2)(ii) is revised;

(f) Paragraph (i)(3)(iii) is revised.

The revised text reads as follows:

Sec. 110.14 Contributions to and expenditures by delegates and delegate committees.

(f) \* \* \*

(2) \* \* \*

(i) Such expenditures are independent expenditures under 11 CFR 100.16 if they are made for a communication

expressly advocating the election or defeat of a clearly identified Federal candidate that is not a coordinated communication under 11 CFR 109.21.

\* \* \* \* \*

(ii) Such expenditures are independent expenditures under 11 CFR 100.16 if they are made for a communication expressly advocating the election or defeat of a clearly identified Federal candidate that is not a coordinated communication under 11 CFR 109.21.

\* \* \* \* \*

(B) The delegate shall report the portion of the expenditure allocable to the Federal candidate as an independent expenditure in accordance with 11 CFR 109.10.

(3) \* \* \*

(iii) Such expenditures are not chargeable to the presidential candidate's expenditure limitation under 11 CFR 110.8 unless they were coordinated communications under 11 CFR 109.21.

\* \* \* \* \*

(i) \* \* \*

(2) \* \* \*

(i) Such expenditures are in-kind contributions to a Federal candidate if they are coordinated communications under 11 CFR 109.21.

\* \* \* \* \*

(ii) Such expenditures are independent expenditures under 11 CFR 100.16 if they are made for a communication expressly advocating the election or defeat of a clearly identified Federal candidate that is not a coordinated communication under 11 CFR 109.21.

(A) Such independent expenditures must be made in accordance with the requirements of 11 CFR part 100.16.

(B) The delegate committee shall report the portion of the expenditure allocable to the Federal candidate as an independent expenditure in accordance with 11 CFR 109.10.

(3) \* \* \*

(iii) Such expenditures are not chargeable to the presidential candidate's expenditure limitation under 11 CFR 110.8 unless they were coordinated communications under 11 CFR 109.21.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: February 4, 2003.

**Rosemary C. Smith,**

*Acting Associate General Counsel, Federal Election Commission.*

[FR Doc. 03-3127 Filed 2-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6715-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002-NM-326-AD; Amendment 39-13048; AD 2003-03-23]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### **Airworthiness Directives; Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (EMBRAER) Model EMB-135 and -145 Series Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain EMBRAER Model EMB-135 and -145 series airplanes. This action requires replacement of the horizontal stabilizer control units (HSCUs) with new upgraded HSCUs, and corrective actions if necessary. This action is necessary to prevent reversal of the pilot's pitch trim command for the horizontal stabilizer, which could result in reduced controllability of the airplane. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

**DATES:** Effective February 24, 2003.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of February 24, 2003.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before March 10, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2002-NM-326-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227-1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: *9-anm-iarcomment@faa.gov*. Comments sent via the Internet must contain "Docket No. 2002-NM-326-AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via fax or the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 for Windows or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (EMBRAER), P.O. Box 343—CEP 12.225,

Sao Jose dos Campos—SP, Brazil. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Robert D. Breneman, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-1263; fax (425) 227-1149.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Departamento de Aviacao Civil (DAC), which is the airworthiness authority for Brazil, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain EMBRAER Model EMB-135 and -145 series airplanes. The DAC advises that, during EMBRAER production flight tests on a Model EMB-145 airplane, there were two occurrences of pitch trim system malfunction. Such malfunction resulted in reversed actuation of the horizontal stabilizer surface in response to nose down pitch trim command through the yoke switches. Investigation has revealed that the pitch trim system malfunction is due to failure of an internal component of the horizontal stabilizer control unit (HSCU). Reversal of the pilot's pitch trim command for the horizontal stabilizer could result in reduced controllability of the airplane.

#### **Issuance of Brazilian Airworthiness Directives**

The DAC issued emergency Brazilian airworthiness directive 2001-12-04, dated December 21, 2001, to address the identified unsafe condition on airplanes of Brazilian registry. As interim action to alleviate the identified unsafe condition, EMBRAER and Parker Hannifin (the manufacturer of the subject HSCUs) had developed a "burn-in" test designed to identify discrepant HSCUs. The "burn-in" test had already been accomplished on six airplanes of U.S. registry, and no discrepant HSCUs were found. Therefore, the FAA did not issue a corresponding AD.

Subsequently, the DAC issued two Brazilian airworthiness directives: 2001-12-04R1, dated March 11, 2002, and 2001-12-04R2, dated May 27, 2002, which require replacement of certain HSCUs with new upgraded HSCUs.

#### **Explanation of Relevant Service Information**

EMBRAER has issued the following service bulletins:

- Service Bulletin 145-27-0091, Change 01, dated June 17, 2002; and