

owner becomes a member of a consolidated group;

(ii) A consolidated group that filed an agreement under this paragraph (g)(2) ceases to exist as a result of a transaction described in § 1.1502-13(j)(5)(i) (other than a transaction in which any member of the terminating group, or the successor-in-interest of such member, is not a member of the surviving group immediately after the terminating group ceases to exist).

(3) If the following requirements (as applicable) are satisfied, the events listed in paragraphs (g)(2)(iv)(B)(1) and (2) of this section shall not constitute triggering events requiring recapture under paragraph (g)(2)(vii) of this section.

(iii) The unaffiliated domestic corporation or new consolidated group must file, with its timely filed income tax return for the taxable year in which the event described in paragraph (g)(2)(iv)(B)(1) or (2) of this section occurs, an agreement described in paragraph (g)(2)(i) of this section (new (g)(2)(i) agreement), whereby it assumes the same obligations with respect to the dual consolidated loss as the corporation or consolidated group that filed the original (g)(2)(i) agreement with respect to that loss. The new (g)(2)(i) agreement must be signed under penalties of perjury by the person who signs the return and must include a reference to this paragraph (g)(2)(iv)(B)(3)(iii).

(D) Example. The following example illustrates the application of paragraph (g)(2)(iv)(B)(2)(ii) of this section:

*Example.* (i) Facts. C is the common parent of a consolidated group (the C Group) that includes DRC, a domestic corporation. DRC is a dual resident corporation and incurs a dual consolidated loss in its taxable year ending December 31, Year 1. The C Group elects to be bound by the provisions of this paragraph (g)(2) with respect to the Year 1 dual consolidated loss. No member of the C Group incurs a dual consolidated loss in Year 2. On December 31, Year 2, stock of C is acquired by D in a transaction described in § 1.1502-13(j)(5)(i). As a result of the acquisition, all the C Group members, including DRC, become members of a consolidated group of which D is the common parent (the D Group).

(ii) Acquisition not a triggering event. Under paragraph (g)(2)(iv)(B)(2)(ii) of this section, the acquisition by D of the C Group is not an event requiring the recapture of the Year 1 dual consolidated loss of DRC, or the payment of an interest charge, as described in paragraph (g)(2)(vii) of this section, provided that the D Group files the new (g)(2)(i) agreement described in paragraph (g)(2)(iv)(B)(3)(iii) of this section.

(iii) Subsequent event. A triggering event occurs on December 31, Year 3, that requires recapture by the D Group of the dual consolidated loss that DRC incurred in Year 1, as well as the payment of an interest charge, as provided in paragraph (g)(2)(vii) of this section. Each member of the D Group, including DRC and the other former members of the C Group, is severally liable for the additional tax (and the interest charge) due upon the recapture of the dual consolidated loss of DRC.

\* \* \* \* \*

(h) \* \* \*  
 (1) \* \* \* Paragraph (g)(2)(iv)(B)(2) of this section shall apply with respect to transactions otherwise constituting triggering events occurring on or after January 1, 2002.

\* \* \* \* \*

**PART 602—OMB CONTROL NUMBERS UNDER THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT**

■ 3. The authority citation for part 602 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 26 U.S.C. 7805.

■ 4. In § 602.101, paragraph (b) is amended by adding an entry for 1.1503-2 to read as follows:

**§ 602.601 OMB Control numbers.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

CFR part or section where identified and described	Current OMB control No.
* * * * *	* * * * *
1.1503-2 .....	1545-1583
* * * * *	* * * * *

**Robert E. Wenzel,**  
*Deputy Commissioner for Services and Enforcement.*

Approved: July 17, 2003.

**Pamela F. Olson,**  
*Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.*  
 [FR Doc. 03-19366 Filed 7-29-03; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4830-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

**Coast Guard**

**33 CFR Part 165**

[COTP Paducah-03-014]

RIN 1625-AA00

**Safety Zone; Upper Mississippi River, Mile Marker 51.5 to 52.5, Cape Girardeau, MO**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for all waters of the Upper Mississippi River from mile marker 51.5 to 52.5 near Cape Girardeau, MO. This safety zone is needed to protect vessels from the safety hazards associated with the construction operations on the Cape Girardeau Bridge. Entry into this zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port Paducah or a designated representative.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from 8 a.m. on July 13, 2003 until 5 p.m. on August 15, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket [COTP Paducah-03-014] and are available for inspection or copying at Marine Safety Office Paducah, 225 Tully, Paducah, KY 42003 between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lieutenant Junior Grade (LTJG) Patrick Mounsey, Marine Safety Office Paducah, Port Operations at (270) 442-1621 ext 350.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Regulatory Information**

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a NPRM, and under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Publishing a NPRM and delaying its effective date would be contrary to public interest because immediate action is needed to protect vessels and mariners from the hazards associated with construction operations on the Cape Girardeau Bridge.

**Background and Purpose**

The Captain of the Port Paducah is establishing a temporary safety zone for all waters of the Upper Mississippi River from mile marker 51.5 to 52.5 near Cape Girardeau, MO. This safety zone is needed to protect vessels and mariners from the safety hazards associated with the construction operations on the Cape Girardeau Bridge. Construction operations have been ongoing for several months with an average of one closure a week. Scheduled meetings between the contractor, industry, the Eighth Coast Guard District Bridge Branch and the Captain of the Port

Paducah led to a defined process for scheduling multiple closures a week from July 13, 2003 through August 15, 2003. All closures shall be limited to one 9-hour period, typically from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. Entry into this zone is prohibited to all vessels unless authorized by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port Paducah or a designated representative.

The Captain of the Port Paducah will announce enforcement periods via marine information broadcasts.

#### **Regulatory Evaluation**

This rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not "significant" under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

This rule will only be in effect for a short period of time and notifications to the marine community will be made through broadcast notice to mariners. The impacts on routine navigation are expected to be minimal as the zone will only be enforced for a nine-hour period three days a week.

#### **Small Entities**

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule will affect the following entities, some of which may be small entities: the owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the Upper Mississippi River, from Mile Marker 51.5 to 52.5 from 8 a.m. through 5 p.m. up to three days a week from July 13, 2003 through August 15, 2003. This safety zone will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because this rule will be in effect for only a short period of time.

If you are a small business entity and are significantly affected by this regulation please contact, LTJG Patrick

Mounsey, Marine Safety Office Paducah representative, at (270) 442–1621 ext. 350.

#### **Assistance for Small Entities**

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121), we offered to assist small entities in understanding the rule so they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

#### **Collection of Information**

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

#### **Federalism**

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

#### **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### **Taking of Private Property**

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

#### **Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### **Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

#### **Indian Tribal Governments**

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

#### **Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that Order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

#### **Environment**

We have analyzed this rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, this rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation. A final "Environmental Analysis Check List" and a final "Categorical Exclusion Determination" are available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

**List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165**

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

**PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Pub. L. 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. A new temporary § 165.T08–082 is added to read as follows:

**§ 165.T08–082 Safety Zone; Upper Mississippi River, Mile Marker 51.5 to 52.5, Cape Girardeau, MO.**

(a) *Location.* The following area is a safety zone: all waters of the Upper Mississippi River from mile marker 51.5 to 52.5, extending the entire width of the river.

(b) *Effective date.* This rule is effective from 8 a.m. on July 13, 2003 until 5 p.m. on August 15, 2003.

(c) *Enforcement period.* This section will be enforced from 8 a.m. through 5 p.m. up to 3 days a week from July 13, 2003 through August 15, 2003. The Captain of the Port Paducah will inform mariners of enforcement periods by a broadcast notice to mariners.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entry into this zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Paducah.

(2) Persons or vessels requiring entry into or passage through must request permission from the Captain of the Port Paducah, or a designated representative. They may be contacted on VHF Channel 13 or 16, or by telephone at (270) 442–1621 ext 350.

(3) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the

Captain of the Port Paducah and designated on-scene U.S. Coast Guard patrol personnel. On-scene U.S. Coast Guard patrol personnel include commissioned, warrant, and petty officers of the U.S. Coast Guard.

Dated: July 13, 2003.

**R.C. Johnson,**

*Commander, Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Paducah.*

[FR Doc. 03–19405 Filed 7–29–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–15–P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Parts 51 and 52**

[Docket No. A–90–37; FRL –7538–1, E–Docket ID No. A–2001–0004 (Legacy Docket ID No. A–90–37)]

**Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) and Non-Attainment New Source Review (NSR): Reconsideration**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of reconsideration of final rule; request for public comment; notice of public hearing.

**SUMMARY:** On December 31, 2002 and March 10, 2003, EPA revised regulations governing the major New Source Review (NSR) programs mandated by parts C and D of title I of the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act). Following these actions, the Administrator received a number of petitions for reconsideration. Today, the EPA is announcing our reconsideration of certain issues arising from the final rules of December 31, 2002. We (the EPA) are requesting public comment on six issues for which we are granting reconsideration. The issues are described in section IV of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this preamble. We plan to issue a final decision on these issues and other issues raised in the various petitions by October 28, 2003.

We are only seeking comment on provisions of the major NSR rules as

specifically identified in this notice. We will not respond to any comments addressing any other provisions of the NSR rules or program.

**DATES:** *Comments.* Comments must be received on or before August 29, 2003.

*Public Hearing.* The public hearing will convene at 9 a.m. and will end after all registered speakers have had an opportunity to speak but no later than 10 p.m. on August 14, 2003. Because of the need to resolve the issues raised in this notice in a timely manner, EPA will not grant requests for extension beyond this date. For additional information on the public hearing and requesting to speak, see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this preamble.

**ADDRESSES:** *Comments.* Comments may be submitted by mail to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA West (Air Docket), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Room: B108, Mail Code: 6102T, Washington, DC, 20460, Attention E-Docket ID No. OAR–2001–0004 (Legacy Docket ID No. A–90–37). Comments may also be submitted electronically, by facsimile, through hand delivery/courier, or by phone.

*Public Hearing.* A public hearing will be held at the Sheraton Imperial Hotel & Convention Center, 4700 Emperor Boulevard, Durham, North Carolina 27703, telephone (919) 941–5050.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Lynn Hutchinson, Information Transfer and Program Integration Division (C339–03), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711, telephone (919) 541–5795, or electronic mail at [hutchinson.lynn@epa.gov](mailto:hutchinson.lynn@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. General Information**

*A. What Are the Regulated Entities?*

Entities potentially affected by the subject rule for today’s action include sources in all industry groups. The majority of sources potentially affected are expected to be in the following groups.

Industry group	SIC <sup>a</sup>	NAICS <sup>b</sup>
Electric Services .....	491	221111, 221112, 221113, 221119, 221121, 221122
Petroleum Refining .....	291	324110
Industrial Inorganic Chemicals .....	281	325181, 325120, 325131, 325182, 211112, 325998, 331311, 325188
Industrial Organic Chemicals .....	286	325110, 325132, 325192, 325188, 325193, 325120, 325199
Miscellaneous Chemical Products .....	289	325520, 325920, 325910, 325182, 325510
Natural Gas Liquids .....	132	211112
Natural Gas Transport .....	492	486210, 221210
Pulp and Paper Mills .....	261	322110, 322121, 322122, 322130
Paper Mills .....	262	322121, 322122
Automobile Manufacturing .....	371	336111, 336112, 336211, 336992, 336322, 336312, 336330, 336340, 336350, 336399, 336212, 336213