who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement: The proposed survey will take advantage of an existing, CAMEO on-line listsery of respondents. Thus, the only burden imposed by the survey on respondents will be the time required to complete the survey. Based upon pretest interviews, EPA estimates that this will involve an average of one-half hour (0.5 hours) per respondent. With 600 respondents completing the survey, EPA estimates the total burden to be 300 hours. Based on an average hourly rate of \$70.60 (including employer costs of all employee benefits), the one-time total cost to all respondents will be \$21,180. Because this information collection is voluntary and does not involve any special equipment, respondents will not incur any capital or operation and maintenance (O&M) costs.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: CAMEO Users.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 600.

Frequency of Response: One-time.
Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:

Estimated Total Annualized Capital, O&M Cost Burden: \$0.

Dated: February 26, 2004.

Deborah Y. Dietrich,

Director, Office of Emergency Prevention, Preparedness and Response.

[FR Doc. 04-5646 Filed 3-11-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6649-3]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 04, 2003 (68 FR 16511).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-J65016-UT Rating EC2, Bear Hodges II Timber Sale Management Plan. Selective Timber Harvest of Spruce Stands With or Without Road Construction, Implementation, Wasatch National Forest (WCNF), Logan Ranger District, Cache and Rich Counties, UT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with adverse impacts to soil and vegetation, the potential for impacts to aquatic resources, and need for more specific information on mitigation of these impacts.

ERP No. D-AFS-J65399-00 Rating EC2, High Mountains Heli-Skiing (HMH) Project, Issuance of a New 5-Year Special Use Permit (SUP) to Continue Operating Guided Helicopter Skiing in Portions of the Bridger-Teton National Forest and Caribou-Targhee National Forest (CTNF), Teton and Lincoln Counties, WY and Teton and Bonneville Counties, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding impacts to wildlife and reduced likelihood that proposed wilderness areas would be designated as Wilderness. EPA requested additional information on refueling sites, avalanche control activities and winter range impacts.

ERP No. D-AFS-J65400-UT Rating EC2, East Fork Fire Salvage Project Timber Harvesting of Dead and Dying Trees, Implementation. Wasatch-Cache National Forest, Evanston Ranger District, Summit County, UT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with soil erosion, soil disturbance and soil compaction; runoff and potential degradation of water quality and aquatic habitats; sedimentation of streams and water-storage reservoirs; fish and wildlife impacts to sensitive species; and the potential to establish and spread noxious weeds. EPA recommended the selected alternative restrict harvest to the lands accessible with existing roads to minimize impact to water quality.

ERP No. D-BLM-J65393-CÔ Rating LO, Colorado Canyons National Conservation Area and Black Ridge Canyons Wilderness Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Mesa County, CO.

Summary: EPA expressed lack of objections however, EPA suggest additional analysis of compliance with land health standards, increased use of the adaptive management to address recreational use and additional monitoring for water quality, erosion and levels of vegetative cover.

ERP No. D-BĽM-L65440-OR Rating EC2, Upper Deschutes Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Deschutes, Klamath, Jefferson and Cook Counties, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about ongoing water quality problems on streams in the planning area. EPA recommended that the final EIS include goals for the restoration of water quality through planned revision of grazing management, or other appropriate means.

ERP No. D–DOE–L02032–OR Rating EC2, COB Energy Facility, Construction of a 1,160-megawatt (MW) Natural Gas-Fired and Combined-Cycle Electric Generating Plant, Right-of-Way Grant across Federal Land under the Jurisdiction of BLM, Klamath Basin, Klamath County, OR.

Summary: EPA raised environmental concerns related to the lack of detailed evaluation of alternatives to the proposed site for the energy generation facility and the route of the proposed transmission line. EPA recommended that additional evaluation and discussion of alternatives to the proposed project be included in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-FHW-C40161-NY Rating EC2, NY-17 Parksville/SH-5223, Liberty-County Line, Part 1 Construction and Reconstruction to Interstate Standards, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Town of Liberty, Sullivan County, NY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding indirect impacts to wetlands. EPA requested additional information on these impacts and on the proposed wetlands and water quality mitigation plan.

ERP No. D-FHW-J40161-UT Rating LO, I-15, 31st Street in Ogden to 2700

North in Farr West, Reconstruction, Widening and Interchange Improvements, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Weber County, UT.

Summary: While EPA has no objections to the proposed project, EPA did request clarification regarding the impacts to perrenial streams in the Weber River watershed as well as on the

impacts to air quality.

ÈRP No. D-IBW-Ğ39039-00 Rating LO, Rio Grande Canalization Project (RGCP), Long-Term River Management Alternatives Practices, Implementation, from below Percha Dam in Sierra County, NM to American Dam in El Paso, TX.

Summary: EPA had no objections to

the proposed action.

ERP No. D-NOA-E90018-GA Rating LO, Gray's Reef National Marine Sanctuary Draft Management Plan (DMP), Address Current Resource Conditions and Compatible Multiple Uses, Located 17.5 Nautical miles off Sapelo Island, GA.

Summary: EPA supports the National Ocean Service Preferred Alternatives on anchoring prohibitions and fishing gear restrictions to rod, reel and handline to protect the resources in the Gray's Reef

National Marine Sanctuary.

ERP No. D-NPS-C65004-NY Rating LO, Saratoga National Historical Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Hudson River Valley, Towns of Stillwater and Saratoga, Saratoga County, NY.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the proposed management plan.

ERP No. D-NRC-F06023-IL Rating EC2, Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Unit 2 and 3, Supplement 17, NUREG 1437, Renewal of a Nuclear Power Plan Operating License, Grundy County, IL.

Summary: EPA has environmental concerns regarding the information provided on the radiological impacts, cooling water system impacts on aquatic organisms, thermal impacts, risk estimates and on-site storage.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-COE-C39016-NJ Union Beach Community Project, Provision of Hurricane and Storm Damage Reduction to Residential, Commercial and Recreational Resources, Located along the Raritan Bay and Sandy Hook Bay Shoreline, Monmouth County, NJ.

Summary: EPA raised environmental concerns over the adequacy of the proposed wetlands mitigation plan and requested that appropriate information on mitigation be provided to EPA prior to the issuance of the Record of Decision (ROD). In addition, a Clean Air Act General Conformity Determination

needs to be prepared prior to the issuance of the ROD.

Dated: March 9, 2004.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 04–5648 Filed 3–11–04; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6649-2]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed March 1, 2004 Through March 5, 2004

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 040101, Draft EIS, SFW, CO, Rocky Flats National Wildlife Refuge Comprehensive Conservation Plan, 15-Year Guidance for Management of Refuge Operations, Habitat Restoration and Visitor Services, Implementation, Jefferson and Boulder Counties, CO, Comment Period Ends: April 26, 2004, Contact: Laurie Shannon (303) 289–0151. This document is available on the Internet at: http://rockyflats.fws.gov

EIS No. 040102, Draft EIS, SFW, AK,
Alaska Peninsula and Becharof
National Wildlife Refuges, Draft
Revised Comprehensive Conservation
Plan, Implementation, AK, Comment
Period Ends: May 31, 2004, Contact:
Peter Wikoff (907) 786–3837. This
document is available on the Internet
at: http://www.r7.fws.gov/planning

EIS No. 040104, Final EIS, ĀFS, MT, Logan Creek Ecosystem Restoration Project, Hazardous Fuel Reduction across the Landscape and Vegetation Management Restoration or Maintenance, Flathead National Forest, Tally Lake Ranger District, Flathead County, MT, Wait Period Ends: April 12, 2004, Contact: Bryan Donner (406) 863–5408.

EIS No. 040105, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, Fortine Project, To Implement Vegetation Management, Timber Harvest and Fuel Reduction Activities, Kootenai National Forest, Fortine Ranger District, Lincoln County, MT, Comment Period Ends: April 26, 2004, Contact: Joleen Dunham (406) 882—4451.

EIS No. 040106, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, Lower Big Creek Project, To Implement Timber Harvest and Prescribed Burning, Kootenai National Forest Plan, Rexford Ranger District, Lincoln County, MT, Comment Period Ends: April 26, 2004, Contact: Chris Fox (406) 296– 2536.

EIS No. 040107, Final EIS, AFS, FL, USDA Forest Service and State of Florida Land Exchange Project, Assembled Exchange of both Fee, Ownership Parcels and Partial Interest Parcels, Baker, Citrus, Franklin, Hernando, Lake, Liberty, Okaloosa, Osceola, Santa Rosa and Sumter Counties, FL, Wait Period Ends: April 12, 2004, Contact: Gary Hegg (850) 926–3561.

EIS No. 040108, Draft EIS, AFS, WY, Upper Green River Area Rangeland Project, Propose Site Specific Grazing Management Practices, Bridger-Teton Forest, Sublette, Teton and Fremont Counties, WY, Comment Period Ends: April 26, 2004, Contact: Craig Trulock (307) 367–4326.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 040022, Draft EIS, AFS, AK,
Commercially Guided Helicopter
Skiing on the Kena, Peninula,
Issuance of a Five Year Special Use
Permit, Chugach National Forest,
Kenai Peninsula, AK, Comment
Period Ends: May 10, 2004, Contact:
Teresa Paquet (907) 754–2314.
Revision of FR Notice Published on 1/
23/2004: CEQ Comment Period
Ending 3/23/2004 has been Extended
to 5/10/2004.

Dated: March 9, 2004.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 04–5647 Filed 3–11–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

March 3, 2004.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it