#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are engaged in pesticide and other agricultural chemical manufacturing. Potentially affected entities may include, but are not limited to:

• Pesticide and other agricultural chemical manufacturing (NAICS code 325320) e.g., individuals or entities engaged in activities related to the registration of a pesticide product.

This listing is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed in this unit could also be affected. The North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes have been provided to assist you and others in determining whether this action might apply to certain entities. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

- B. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?
- 1. Submitting CBI. Do not submit this information to EPA through regulations.gov or e-mail. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that vou claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD-ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD-ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD-ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.
- 2. Tips for preparing your comments. When submitting comments, remember to:
- i. Identify the document by docket ID number and other identifying information (subject heading, **Federal Register** date and page number).
- ii. Follow directions. The Agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.
- iii. Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.

- iv. Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/ or data that you used.
- v. If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.
- vi. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns and suggest alternatives.
- vii. Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.
- viii. Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

#### II. What Action is the Agency Taking?

EPA is seeking public comment on the document entitled Statistical Basis of the NAFTA Method for Calculating Pesticide Maximum Residue Limits from Field Trial Data. The document, prepared by the NAFTA MRL Harmonization Working Group, serves as an added explanatory document to Canada's PMRA and EPA's OPP September 2005 draft document entitled Guidance for Setting Pesticide Maximum Residue Limits Based on Field Trial Data which is available at http://www.pmra-arla.gc.ca/english/ pdf/pro/pro2005-04-e.pdf. The SOP includes procedures for using the companion "NAFTA MRL calculator," the Microsoft Excel® spreadsheet that incorporates the decision algorithm and automates the statistical calculations as outlined by the SOP. The NAFTA MRL calculator can be downloaded from the PMRA website (http://www.pmraarla.gc.ca/english/pdf/mrl/ method\_calc.xls). The September 2005 draft SOP is intended for use by residue chemistry reviewers in the United States and Canada to ensure that the same or similar data sets will result in the same or similar recommendation for MRL levels in each regulatory program. A 60day comment period was opened for this by PMRA in September 2005 and is now closed. The comments received by PMRA were shared with EPA.

Upon the September 2005 release of the draft SOP, PMRA and EPA announced that an additional explanatory document would be published at a future date. This document is now available on the PMRA website (see http://www.pmraarla.gc.ca/english/pdf/nafta/docs/ nafta\_mrls-e.pdf) and EPA is seeking comment on the statistical (and nonstatistical) basis of the selected procedures and algorithms. More detailed statistical, simulation, and other support for the methods described in the September 2005 draft SOP are provided in this follow-on document

which is intended to provide a permanent and enduring record of the rationale, reasoning, historical context, and technical/statistical support for the MRL estimation methodologies described and discussed in the September 2005 SOP.

Once the public comment period closes for this document, the statistical support document, the SOP and the associated MRL calculator will be modified as appropriate to address the comments from this current public comment period and the previous PMRA comment period for the draft SOP, and then reissued. We anticipate these documents will be released in final form in December 2007.

## List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Pesticides and pests.

Dated: July 26, 2007.

#### Debra Edwards,

Director, Office of Pesticide Programs.
[FR Doc. E7–14889 Filed 7–31–07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2007-0218; FRL-8130-2]

# Pesticides; Science Policy; Notice of Withdrawal

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA announces the withdrawal of the revised version of the pesticide science policy document "Standard Operating Procedures for Incorporating Screening-Level Estimates of Drinking Water Exposure into Aggregate Risk Assessments" http:// www.epa.gov/oppfead1/trac/science/ screeningsop.pdf. This science policy document was developed during the implementation of the new safety standard in section 408 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), as amended by the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 (FQPA). EPA's assessment of exposure to pesticide residues in drinking water no longer involves performing screening level assessments as described in this policy paper. Accordingly, EPA is withdrawing this science policy document. Instead, the Agency now routinely develops estimates of exposure to pesticides in drinking water using the more advanced

methods that EPA has described in other science policy papers.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David J. Miller, Health Effects Division, Office of Pesticide Programs (7509P), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (703) 305–5352; fax number: (703) 305–5147; e-mail address: miller.davidj@epa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. General Information

# A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

This action is directed to the public in general. This action, however, may be of interest to persons who produce or formulate pesticides or who register pesticide products. Since other entities may also be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

- B. How Can I Get Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?
- 1. Docket. EPA has established a docket for this action under docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ– OPP-2007-0218. Publicly available docket materials are available either in the electronic docket at http:// www.regulations.gov, or, if only available in hard copy, at the Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) Regulatory Public Docket in Rm. S-4400, One Potomac Yard (South Bldg.), 2777 S. Crystal Dr., Arlington, VA. The hours of operation of this Docket Facility are from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The Docket Facility telephone number is (703) 305–5805.
- 2. Electronic access. You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the "**Federal Register**" listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr.

# II. Background

On August 3, 1996, FQPA was signed into law. The FQPA significantly amended the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and FFDCA. Among other changes, FQPA established a stringent health-based standard ("a reasonable certainty of no harm") for pesticide residues in foods to assure protection from unacceptable pesticide exposure and strengthened health protections for

infants and children from pesticide risks.

During 1998 and 1999, EPA and the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) established a subcommittee of the National Advisory Council For Environmental Policy and Technology (NACEPT), the Tolerance Reassessment Advisory Committee (TRAC), to address FFDCA issues and implementation. TRAC comprised more than 50 representatives of affected user, producer, consumer, public health, environmental, states, and other interested groups. The TRAC met from May 27, 1998, through April 29, 1999.

In order to continue the constructive discussions about FFDCA, in 2000 EPA and USDA established, under the auspices of NACEPT, the Committee to Advise on Reassessment and Transition (CARAT). The CARAT provided a forum for a broad spectrum of stakeholders to consult with and advise the Agency and the Secretary of Agriculture on pest and pesticide management transition issues related to the tolerance reassessment process. The CARAT was intended to further the valuable work initiated by earlier advisory committees toward the use of sound science and greater transparency in regulatory decisionmaking, increased stakeholder participation, and reasonable transition strategies that reduce risks without jeopardizing American agriculture and farm communities.

As a result of the 1998 and 1999 TRAC process, EPA decided that the implementation process and related policies would benefit from providing notice and comment on major science policy issues. The TRAC identified nine science policy areas it believed were key to implementation of tolerance reassessment. EPA agreed to provide one or more documents for comment on each of the nine issues by announcing their availability in the Federal **Register.** In a notice published in the Federal Register of October 29, 1998 (63 FR 58038) (FRL-6041-5), EPA described its intended approach. Since then, EPA has issued a series of draft and revised documents concerning the nine science policy issues. Publication of this notice is intended to update the public on the status of two of the FQPA science policy papers.

## III. Summary: Why the Policy Is No Longer Needed

As a result of the new procedures for estimating concentrations of pesticide residues in drinking water, this notice announces the withdrawal of "Standard Operating Procedures for Incorporating Screening-Level Estimates of Drinking Water Exposure into Aggregate Risk

Assessments" http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-PEST/2000/October/Day-11/p25934.htm.

 $\hat{\ln}$  assessing the risks of pesticide exposure, scientists frequently use mathematical models to predict pesticide concentrations in food, water, residential, and occupational environments. This notice pertains to how the Agency determines pesticide risk from drinking water. (For more information on the models the Agency uses to estimate concentrations of pesticides in drinking water see http:// www.epa.gov/oppefed1/models/water/ models4.htm). This approach provides a more realistic estimate of exposure through drinking water since actual drinking water consumption data and reported body weight from the Combined Survey of Food Intake by Individuals (CSFII) are used, rather than the standard assumptions used in the Drinking Water Level of Comparison approach.

This action is also responsive to the recommendations made by EPA's Office of Inspector General during its review of EPA's implementation of FQPA. In its report "Opportunities to Improve Data Quality and Children's Health through the FOPA" issued January 10, 2006 http://www.epa.gov/oigearth/reports/ 2006/20060110-2006-P-00009.pdf, the Office of Inspector General recommended that EPA should update the status of its Science Policy issue papers. This Federal Register notice updates the public on the status of one of the Science Policy papers which has been rendered obsolete by the availability of more robust data and models.

### **List of Subjects**

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: July 20, 2007.

### James B. Gulliford,

Assistant Administrator, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances.

[FR Doc. E7–14685 Filed 7–31–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

July 23, 2007.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing