

A. Federal Reserve Bank of New York (Anne McEwen, Financial Specialist) 33 Liberty Street, New York, New York 10045-0001:

1. *Bancorp of New Jersey, Inc.*, to become a bank holding company by acquiring voting shares of Bank of New Jersey, both of Fort Lee, New Jersey.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (Donna J. Ward, Assistant Vice President) 925 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, Missouri 64198-0001:

1. *First Bancorp of Durango, Inc. Inverness, Illinois*; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Grants State Bank, Grants, New Mexico.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 30, 2007.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. E7-1736 Filed 2-1-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-S

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[ME-2007-N01; Docket 2007-0005,
Sequence 1]

Change in Fee Structure for FIPS 201 Product/Service Evaluations

AGENCY: Office of Governmentwide Policy, General Services Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Under the Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) 201 Evaluation Program, the General Services Administration (GSA) publishes this notice of intent that it will no longer fund product/service evaluations as of April 3, 2007. Overall impact on the FIPS 201 Evaluation Program is minimal with respect to operations. Product/service evaluations will continue to be performed and approved through the current fully operational laboratory and future qualified laboratories; however, fees for evaluations will be borne on a cost-reimbursable basis by the Supplier. Instruction on how to obtain product/service evaluations and an estimated fee structure will be posted at: (<http://fips201ep.cio.gov/index.php>).

DATES: The GSA FIPS 201 Evaluation Program currently provides funding for evaluation of products and services through its fully operational laboratory. Suppliers whose applications for evaluation are received by the laboratory after April 3, 2007 will be required to bear the cost of their evaluation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. April Giles, FIPS 201 Evaluation

Program, GSA, 1800 F Street, NW, Stop 2013, Washington, DC, 20405, telephone: 202-501-1123, e-mail: april.giles@gsa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Homeland Security Presidential Directive-12 (HSPD-12), "Policy for a Common Identification Standard for Federal Employees and Contractors" requires agencies to use only information technology products and services that meet this standard. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has designated the GSA as the Executive Agent for government-wide acquisitions for the implementation of HSPD-12. HSPD-12 establishes the requirement for a mandatory Government-wide standard for secure and reliable forms of identification issued by the Federal Government to its employees and contractors. OMB has directed Federal agencies to purchase only products and services that are compliant with the Federal policy, standards and numerous supporting technical specifications, including:

- Federal Information Processing Standard 201, Personal Identity Verification of Federal Employees and Contractors;
- National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Special Publications (SP) 800-73, Interfaces for Personal Identity Verification, 800-78 Cryptographic Algorithms and Key Sizes for Personal Identity Verification, and 800-79, Guidelines for the Certification and Accreditation of PIV Card Issuing Organizations; and
- Special Publication 800-76, Biometric Data Specification for Personal Identity Verification (Pending).

To ensure standard HSPD-12 compliant products and services are available, NIST has issued requirements in FIPS 201 and supporting documentation (<http://csrc.nist.gov/piv-program/fips201-support-docs.html>).

As mandated by OMB through M05-24, GSA has been designated as the "executive agent for Government-wide acquisitions of information technology" under section 5112(e) of the Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996 (40 U.S.C. § 11302(e)) for the products and services required by the HSPD-12. GSA will ensure all approved Suppliers provide products and services that meet all applicable federal standards and requirements through its fully operational laboratory under the FIPS 201 Evaluation Program, web site found at (<http://fips201ep.cio.gov/index.php>).

The GSA FIPS 201 Evaluation Program is currently set up to evaluate

products and services against the requirements outlined in FIPS 201 and its supporting documents. Twenty-two product/service categories were created using FIPS 201 and supporting documentation as the foundation. Each category has developed approval and test procedures which outline the evaluation criteria, approval mechanisms and test process employed by the laboratory during their evaluation of a Supplier's product or service against the requirements for that category. Initially the cost of evaluating each product was funded by GSA.

Once evaluated and approved by GSA, products and services are placed on the FIPS 201 Approved List. Agencies can then procure these products and services from Suppliers for their HSPD-12 implementations having full assurance that they meet all the requirements of FIPS 201 and all supporting documentation.

Dated: January 24, 2007.

Mary Mitchell,

Deputy Associate Administrator, Office of Technology Strategy.

[FR Doc. E7-1693 Filed 2-1-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-34-S

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

[Document Identifier: CMS-367]

Emergency Clearance: Public Information Collection Requirements Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

AGENCY: Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services, HHS.

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), Department of Health and Human Services, is publishing the following summary of proposed collections for public comment. Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including any of the following subjects: (1) The necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency's functions; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology to