

Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814. Written comments may also be sent to the Consumer Product Safety Commission, Office of the Secretary by e-mail at [cpsc-os@cpsc.gov](mailto:cpsc-os@cpsc.gov) or facsimile at (301) 504-0127.

Copies of this request for extension of the information collection requirements and supporting documentation are available from Linda Glatz, Management and Program Analyst, Office of Planning and Evaluation, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone: (301) 504-7671.

Dated: April 20, 2006.

**Todd A. Stevenson,**

*Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. E6-6269 Filed 4-25-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

## CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request—Citizens Band Base Station Antennas

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the Consumer Product Safety Commission requests comments on a proposed extension of approval of a collection of information from manufacturers and importers of citizens band base station antennas. The collection of information is in regulations implementing the Safety Standard for Omnidirectional Citizens Band Base Station Antennas (16 CFR part 1204). These regulations establish testing and recordkeeping requirements for manufacturers and importers of antennas subject to the standard. The Commission will consider all comments received in response to this notice before requesting an extension of approval of this collection of information from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

**DATES:** The Office of the Secretary must receive comments not later than June 26, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be captioned "Citizens Band Base Station Antennas" and e-mailed to the Office of the Secretary at [cpsc-os@cpsc.gov](mailto:cpsc-os@cpsc.gov). Comments may also be sent by facsimile to (301) 504-0127, or by mail to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission,

4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information about the proposed extension of approval of the collection of information, or to obtain a copy of 16 CFR part 1204, call or write Linda L. Glatz, Office of Planning and Evaluation, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814; telephone (301) 504-7671.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### A. Background

In 1982, the Commission issued the Safety Standard for Omnidirectional Citizens Band Antennas (16 CFR part 1204) to reduce risks of death and serious injury that may result if an omnidirectional antenna contacts an overhead power line while being erected or removed from its site. The standard contains performance tests to demonstrate that an antenna will not transmit a harmful electric current if it contacts an electric power line with a voltage of 14,500 volts phase-to-ground. Certification regulations implementing the standard require manufacturers, importers, and private labelers of antennas subject to the standard to perform tests to demonstrate that those products meet the requirements of the standard, and to maintain records of those tests. The certification regulations are codified at 16 CFR part 1204, subpart B.

The Commission uses the information compiled and maintained by manufacturers, importers, and private labelers of antennas subject to the standard to help protect the public from risks of injury or death associated with omnidirectional citizens band base station antennas. More specifically, this information helps the Commission determine that antennas subject to the standard comply with all applicable requirements. The Commission also uses this information to obtain corrective actions if omnidirectional citizens band base station antennas fail to comply with the standard in a manner which creates a substantial risk of injury to the public. The Office of Management and Budget approved the collection of information in the certification regulations under control number 3041-0006. OMB's most recent extension of approval expires on July 31, 2006. The Commission now proposes to request an extension of approval without change for the collection of information in the certification regulations.

##### B. Estimated Burden

The Commission staff estimates that about 5 firms manufacture or import citizens band base station antennas subject to the standard. The Commission staff estimates that the certification regulations will impose an average annual burden of about 220 hours on each of those firms. That burden will result from conducting the testing required by the regulations and maintaining records of the results of that testing. The total annual burden imposed by the regulations on manufacturers and importers of citizens band base station antennas is approximately 1,100 hours.

The hourly wage for the testing and recordkeeping required to conduct the testing and maintain records required by the regulations is about \$42.84 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2006), for an estimated annual cost to the industry of \$47,000.

##### C. Request for Comments

The Commission solicits written comments from all interested persons about the proposed collection of information. The Commission specifically solicits information relevant to the following topics:

- Whether the collection of information described above is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission's functions, including whether the information would have practical utility;
- Whether the estimated burden of the proposed collection of information is accurate;
- Whether the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected could be enhanced; and
- Whether the burden imposed by the collection of information could be minimized by use of automated, electronic or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology.

Dated: April 20, 2006.

**Todd A. Stevenson,**

*Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. E6-6270 Filed 4-25-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

## CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

### Proposed Collection of Information; Comment Request—Safety Standard for Cigarette Lighters

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Consumer Product Safety Commission requests comments on a proposed request for an extension of approval of a collection of information from manufacturers and importers of disposable and novelty cigarette lighters. This collection of information consists of testing and recordkeeping requirements in certification regulations implementing the Safety Standard for Cigarette Lighters (16 CFR part 1210). The Commission will consider all comments received in response to this notice before requesting an extension of approval of this collection of information from the Office of Management and Budget.

**DATES:** The Office of the Secretary must receive written comments not later than June 26, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be captioned "Cigarette Lighters" and e-mailed to the Office of the Secretary at [cpSC-os@cpSC.gov](mailto:cpSC-os@cpSC.gov). Comments may also be sent by facsimile to (301) 504-0127, or by mail to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information about the proposed extension of approval of the collection of information, or to obtain a copy of 16 CFR part 1210, call or write Linda L. Glatz, Office of Planning and Evaluation, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814; telephone (301) 504-7671.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In 1993, the Commission issued the Safety Standard for Cigarette Lighters (16 CFR part 1210) under provisions of the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA) (15 U.S.C. 2051 *et seq.*) to eliminate or reduce risks of death and burn injury from fires accidentally started by children playing with cigarette lighters. The standard contains performance requirements for disposable and novelty lighters that are intended to make cigarette lighters subject to the standard resist operation by children younger than five years of age.

#### A. Certification Requirements

Section 14(a) of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2063(a)) requires manufacturers, importers, and private labelers of a consumer product subject to a consumer product safety standard to issue a certificate stating that the product complies with all applicable consumer product safety standards. Section 14(a) of the CPSA also requires that the

certificate of compliance must be based on a test of each product or upon a reasonable testing program.

Section 14(b) of the CPSA authorizes the Commission to issue regulations to prescribe a reasonable testing program to support certificates of compliance with a consumer product safety standard. Section 16(b) of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2065(b)) authorizes the Commission to issue rules to require that firms "establish and maintain" records to permit the Commission to determine compliance with rules issued under the authority of the CPSA.

The Commission has issued regulations prescribing requirements for a reasonable testing program to support certificates of compliance with the standard for cigarette lighters. These regulations require manufacturers and importers to submit a description of each model of lighter, results of surrogate qualification tests for compliance with the standard, and other information before the introduction of each model of lighter in commerce. These regulations also require manufacturers, importers, and private labelers of disposable and novelty lighters to establish and maintain records to demonstrate successful completion of all required tests to support the certificates of compliance that they issue. 16 CFR part 1210, subpart B.

The Commission uses the information compiled and maintained by manufacturers, importers, and private labelers of disposable and novelty lighters to protect consumers from risks of accidental deaths and burn injuries associated with those lighters. More specifically, the Commission uses this information to determine whether lighters comply with the standard by resisting operation by young children. The Commission also uses this information to obtain corrective actions if disposable or novelty lighters fail to comply with the standard in a manner that creates a substantial risk of injury to the public.

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approved the collection of information in the certification regulations for cigarette lighters under control number 3041-0116. OMB's most recent extension of approval will expire on June 30, 2006. The Commission proposes to request an extension of approval for these collection of information requirements.

#### B. Estimated Burden

The cost of the rule's testing requirement is the cost of testing, either by the firm or by outside contractors. There are an estimated 60 firms that

may be affected. If done through outside contractors, the cost per test has been estimated at \$15,000 to \$25,000 on average. Each firm is expected to test 2 models per year. Thus, for the 60 affected firms, the cost of outside testing would be \$2.4 million. If tests are conducted in-house, testing 2 new models is expected to take 175 hours per firm. The total testing time for all 60 firms, if conducted in-house, would be approximately 10,500. Based on the average hourly total compensation (wages and benefits) for U.S. technical workers of \$42.84 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, September, 2005), the total industry cost of the testing component for this regulation would be in the range of \$450,000 to \$2.4 million per year, depending on the method chosen.

The cost of the recordkeeping requirements has two separate components: Recordkeeping for new models and recordkeeping for comparable models. The time consumed in recordkeeping for new models has been estimated at 20 hours per model. Thus the total time consumed for recordkeeping of new models would be 2,400 hours (20 hours × 2 models × 60 firms). Based on the average hourly compensation for technical workers, the cost of recordkeeping for new models would be about \$100,000 annually (2,400 × 42.84).

Time consumed in recordkeeping for lighters that are submitted for comparison to previously tested models will require approximately 3 hours for each model. Based on recent submission, each firm is expected to submit 35 models each year for comparison. Thus, an estimated 6,300 hours may be required by the 60 firms for recordkeeping regarding comparison lighters (35 models × 60 firms × 3 hours). Based on the average hourly compensation for technical workers, the cost of recordkeeping would be about \$270,000 (6,300 hours × \$42.84). The total recordkeeping costs associated with the lighter regulation would be approximately \$370,000 (\$100,000 + \$270,000).

In addition, each firm will submit information to the CPSC regarding the new testing and comparison submissions totaling about 2,200 responses per year (2 models tested + 35 comparison models × 60 firms). The total number of hours for these responses would be approximately 19,200 per year including new-product testing (175 hours × 60 firms = 10,500), new product recordkeeping (40 hours × 60 firms = 2,400), and recordkeeping for comparison lighters (35 models × 3 hours × 60 firms = 6,300). Based on the average hourly compensation for

technical workers, the total cost of preparing these submissions would be about \$823,000 (19,200 hours × \$42.84).

### C. Request for Comments

The Commission solicits written comments from all interested persons about the proposed collection of information. The Commission specifically solicits information relevant to the following topics:

- Whether the collection of information described above is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission's functions, including whether the information would have practical utility;
- Whether the estimated burden of the proposed collection of information is accurate;
- Whether the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected could be enhanced; and
- Whether the burden imposed by the collection of information could be minimized by use of automated, electronic or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology.

Dated: April 21, 2006.

**Todd A. Stevenson,**

*Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. E6-6297 Filed 4-25-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

---

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

#### Notice of Intent To Request Comments on Alternative Procedures To Implement Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Program Act (NHPA) Section 106, Per 36 CFR 800.14(E) for Disposal of Naval Vessels

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DOD.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to request comments on implementing alternative procedures.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy (Navy) is requesting "Program Comments" for alternative procedures to implement the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) Section 106 regulations. This programmatic approach substitutes all of 36 CFR part 800 subpart B, covers a category of undertakings in lieu of individual reviews and demonstrates the Navy's compliance with its responsibilities under Section 106 of NHPA regarding the disposal of U.S. Naval vessels which have been stricken from the Naval Vessel Register (NVR) and are owned

and under the physical custody of the Navy.

This document will remain in effect indefinitely. In accordance with 36 CFR 800.14(e)(6), if the Advisory Council for Historic Preservation (ACHP) determines that the consideration of NRHP eligible vessels are not being carried out in a manner consistent with this document, the ACHP may withdraw the comment and the Navy would be required to comply with the requirements of 36 CFR 800.3 through 88.7 for each adverse action.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before May 20, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by any of the following methods: E-Mail at *Roderick.Speer@navy.mil*, fax at 202-781-4721, or mail to: Navy Inactive Ships Program Office, 1333 Isaac Hull Avenue, SE, Washington, DC 20376.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Commander, Program Executive Office Ships (PEO SHIPS), PMS333, Inactive Ship Program Office, Ship Donation Program, ATTN: Mr. Roderick Speer, 1333 Isaac Hull Avenue SE., Stop 2701, Washington Navy Yard, DC 20376-2701, telephone 202-781-0876.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** It is neither cost effective nor consistent with the Navy's mission to retain vessels once they have been stricken from the NVR by the Secretary of the Navy and authorized for disposal. Ship disposal actions include: Foreign Military Sales (FMS) to an allied country, title transfer to another federal agency, donation to a U.S. nonprofit organization or state or local government entity for public display as a museum and/or memorial, transfer to the U.S. Fleet for sinking as a target during at-sea live-fire training exercises, transfer to a state for sinking as an artificial reef, or for dismantling and recycling.

Vessel donations for public display as a museum or memorial and title transfers to another federal agency are not considered adverse effects subject to this document. Foreign Military Sales to an allied country, transfer to the U.S. Fleet for sinking as a target during at-sea live-fire training exercises, transfer to a state for sinking as an artificial reef, or dismantling and recycling are considered adverse effects subject to this document.

Prior to undertaking an adverse effect described above, vessels shall be reviewed by the Naval Historical Center (NHC) for eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). Vessels determined to be eligible for listing in the NRHP shall be

subject to the provisions of this document prior to undertaking an adverse effect.

Vessels included in this program include those (ships and service craft) entered in the NVR with the following exceptions:

- a. Active vessels in commission or in service.
- b. Vessels that have already been disposed of or lost by whatever cause, and determined to be unsalvageable.
- c. Vessels retained in Navy custody for public display. *i.e.*, USS CONSTITUTION, Historic Ship NAUTILUS (SSN 571), or ex-BARRY (DD 933), which will continue to be managed individually.
- d. Vessels retained by the Navy for experimental purposes on a not-to-sink basis.
- e. Vessels retained by the Navy for possible remobilization (Mobilization B).
- f. Leased or chartered vessels not owned by the Navy, even if listed on the NVR.
- g. Non-self-propelled service craft and boats (boats are not on the NVR).

Vessels that have already been determined to be eligible for listing in the NRHP by a process separate from this process and that are not the subject of an existing agreement established during the Section 106 consultation process will be subject to the provisions of this document as though their eligibility had been established as a result of this program. Vessels that are subject of an existing Section 106 agreement will continue to be subject to the existing agreement.

The following criteria will be used to determine whether vessels are considered eligible for listing in the NRHP:

- a. Vessels that have been awarded an individual Presidential Unit Citation (granted to military units which have performed an extremely meritorious or heroic act, usually in the face of an armed enemy).
- b. Vessels aboard which an individual act of heroism took place such that the individual was subsequently awarded the Medal of Honor (for valor in action against an enemy force) or the Navy Cross (for extraordinary heroism in action not justifying an award of the Medal of Honor).
- c. Vessels to which a President of the United States was assigned during his or her naval service.
- d. The first vessel to incorporate weapon system, engineering, or other upgrades that represent a revolutionary change in naval design or war-fighting capabilities, or other special and unique considerations.