

Abingdon, Virginia. The application did not contain new information supporting a conclusion that the determination was erroneous, and also did not provide a justification for reconsideration of the determination that was based on either mistaken facts or a misinterpretation of facts or of the law. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-58,025; Kealey-Johnson  
Wholesale Florist, Abingdon,  
Virginia (December 22, 2005).

Signed at Washington, DC this 28th day of December 2005.

**Erica R. Cantor,**

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-8296 Filed 1-4-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,298]

#### Messier Services, Inc., a Subsidiary of the Safran Group, Sterling, VA; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of November 29, 2005, a company official requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to workers of the subject firm. The negative determination was signed on November 17, 2005. The Department's Notice of Determination will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

The negative determination was based on the findings that the workers do not produce an article but are engaged in the repair and overhaul of landing gear and hydraulics components. Although the firm or appropriate subdivision produced an article, the petitioning worker group did not support this production. The predominant cause of worker separations is the shift of landing gear and hydraulics repair services from the Sterling, Virginia location to an affiliated facility in Mexico.

The request for reconsideration states that the workers at the subject firm sell spare parts, manufacture bushings (a landing gear component) and rotables (remanufactured completed landing gear units sold to the airline industry).

The Department has carefully reviewed the company's request for reconsideration and has determined that the Department will conduct further

investigation based on the new information provided by the company official.

### Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 30th day of November 2005.

**Linda G. Poole,**

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-8291 Filed 1-4-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Financial Reporting Requirements for Programs Currently Reporting on Standard Form 269

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. ETA is soliciting comments concerning the revised financial reporting requirements for all ETA programs which do not otherwise have OMB approved program-specific financial reporting requirements.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee's section below on or before March 6, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Isabel Danley, Office of Grants and Contract Management, Employment and Training Administration, United States Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room N-4716, Washington, DC 20210, 202-693-3047 (this is not a toll-free number), [danley.isabel@dol.gov](mailto:danley.isabel@dol.gov), and/or fax 202-693-3362.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Isabel Danley, Office of Grants and Contract Management, Employment and Training Administration, United States Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, 202-693-3047 (this is not a toll-free number), [danley.isabel@dol.gov](mailto:danley.isabel@dol.gov), and/or fax 202-693-3362. Copies of the Paperwork Reduction Act Submission Package, including the proposed revised form and instructions, are at this Web site: <http://www.doleta.gov/Performance/guidance/OMBControlNumber.cfm>.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

This proposed information collection notice is requesting a revised financial reporting collection format for all ETA programs currently reporting on the OMB approved Standard Form (SF) 269 (REV 9-99.) The basic financial reporting requirements for all Federal programs are prescribed by OMB Circulars A-102 and A-110. These requirements are codified in Department of Labor Regulations at 29 CFR 95.52 and 29 CFR 97.41, which specify that the SF 269 or such other forms that may be approved by OMB are authorized for obtaining financial information from recipients. Further, the revised U.S. DOL ETA Financial Report is consistent with OMB efforts to streamline Federal financial reporting, pursuant to Public Law 106-107.

#### II. Desired Focus of Comments

Currently, the Department is soliciting comments concerning the revised financial reporting collection format for all ETA programs which currently report on the SF 269 to:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
  - Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
  - Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.