drilled to the Green River, Wasatch, Mesaverde, Mancos "B", and possibly, other formations. EOG's proposal is based on 40-acre spacing; although some pilot 20-acre locations may be drilled to the Mesaverde Group to help in determining whether development on 40-acre spacing can reasonably provide for optimum recovery. The Proposed Action incorporates standard operating procedures and applicant-committed best management practices currently employed on BLM-administered public lands in the Uintah Basin that mitigate impacts to the environment.

The DEIS describes in detail and analyzes the impacts of EOG's Proposed Action and the No Action Alternative. Seven additional alternatives were considered but eliminated from detailed analysis. The following is a summary of the alternatives:

1. Proposed Action—Up to 627 new gas wells at 40-acre spacing, including up to 66 new locations drilled on 20acre spacing, would be drilled to the Green River, Wasatch, Mesaverde Group (including the Blackhawk), Mancos Shale, and possibly, other formations. About 99 miles of new roads and 104.5 miles of pipelines would be constructed to support this proposed development. At this time the Proposed Action is the BLM's preferred alternative.

2. No Action Alternative—The proposed natural gas development on Federal lands would not be implemented; however, natural gas development would continue to occur under the authority of the 1985 Book Cliffs RMP, the 1999 Chapita Wells EA, and on non-Federal lands within the project area.

3. Alternatives Considered, but Eliminated from Further Analysis—

a. One pad per well.

b. No new development.

c. Directional drilling.

d. No new development in the White River Corridor and floodplains.

e. White River Protection.

f. Decreased density.

g. Best Management Practices (BMP). The public is encouraged to comment on any of these alternatives.

The BLM welcomes your comments on the Chapita Wells-Stagecoach Area DEIS. The BLM asks that those submitting comments make them as specific as possible with reference to chapters, page numbers, and paragraphs in the DEIS document. Comments that contain only opinions or preferences will not receive a formal response; however, they will be considered, and included, as part of the BLM decisionmaking process. The most useful comments will contain new technical or scientific information, identify data gaps

in the impact analysis, or will provide technical or scientific rationale for opinions or preferences. It is BLM's practice to make comments, including the names and street addresses of each respondent, available for public review at the BLM office listed above during business hours (7:45 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.), Monday through Friday, except for Federal holidays. Your comments may be published as part of the EIS process. Individual respondents may request confidentiality. If you wish to withhold vour name or street address, or both, from public review, or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your written comments. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by law. BLM will not consider anonymous comments. All submissions from organizations or businesses will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

#### William Stringer,

Vernal Field Manager. [FR Doc. E6–251 Filed 1–11–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–22–P

### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

**National Park Service** 

## 30 Day Notice of Intention To Request Clearance of Collection of Information; Opportunity for Public Comment

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, The Department of the Interior. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C., Chapter 3507) and 5 CFR part 1320, Reporting and Record keeping Requirements, the National Park Service invites public comments on a submitted request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to approve an extension of a currently approved collection (OMB #1024–1018).

The primary purpose of the Information Collection Request is to nominate properties for listing in the National Register of Historic Places, the official list of the Nation's cultural resources worthy of preservation, which public law requires that the Secretary of the Interior maintain and expand. Properties are listed in the National Register upon nomination by State Historic Preservation Officers and Federal Preservation Officers. Law also requires Federal agencies to request determinations of eligibility for property under their jurisdiction or affected by their programs and projects. The forms

provide the historic documentation on which decisions for listing and eligibility are based.

**DATES:** Public comments will be accepted on or before February 13, 2006. **ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments directly to the Desk Officer for the Department of the Interior (OMB #1024-0018), Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, by fax at 202/ 395–6566, or by electronic mail at oira docket@omb.eop.gov. Please also mail or hand carry a copy of your comments to Beth L. Savage, Managing Editor, National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C Street, NW., #2280, Washington, DC 20240. All comments will be a matter of public record.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* 36 CFR parts 60 and 63, National Register of Historic Places Registration Form, Continuation Sheet, Multiple Property Documentation Forms (aka MPS).

*Form:* NPS 10–900, 10–900–a, 10–900–b.

OMB Control Number: 1024–0018. Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Expiration Date: December 31, 2005. Description of need: The National

Historic Preservation Act requires the Secretary of the Interior to maintain and expand the National Register of Historic Places, and to establish criteria and guidelines for including properties in the National Register. The National Register of Historic Places Registration Form documents properties nominated for listing in the National Register and demonstrates that they meet the criteria established for inclusion. The documentation is used to assist in preserving and protecting the properties and for heritage education and interpretation.

National Register properties must be considered in the planning for Federal or federally assisted projects. National Register listing is required for eligibility for the federal rehabilitation tax incentives. Comments are invited on: (1) The need for information including whether the information has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the reporting burden estimate; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of information collection on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Description of respondents: The affected public are State, tribal, and local governments, businesses, nonprofit organizations, and individuals. Nominations to the National Register of Historic Places are voluntary.

Estimated annual reporting burden: 52,824 hours, broken down as follows: 196 nominations submitted under existing MPS @ 18 hrs. each = 3,528; 1,186 newly proposed individual nominations @ 36 hrs. each = 42,696; 55 newly proposed MPS @ 120 hrs. each = 6,600.

Estimated average burden hours per response: Depending on which form is used, the average burden hours per response may vary considerably because of many complex factors. In general, to fulfill minimum program requirements describing the nominated property and demonstrating its eligibility under the criteria, the average burden hours range from 18 hours for a nomination proposed under an existing Multiple Property Submission (MPS), to 36 hours for a newly proposed individual nomination, to 120 hours for a newly proposed MPS. Continuation sheets (10–900–a) are used for additional information for both the individual nomination form and the multiple property form, as needed. As such, the calculation of average burden hours per response for the continuation sheets has been included in the average calculations above for the nomination form (10-900) and the multiple property form (10–900–b).

Estimated average number of respondents: 1,513.

*Estimated frequency of response:* 1,513 annually.

Dated: December 14, 2005.

Leonard E. Stowe,

NPS, Information Collection Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 06–275 Filed 1–11–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–52–M

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## **National Park Service**

## 60-Day Notice of Intention to Request Clearance of Collection of Information; Opportunity for Public Comment

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C., Chapter 3507) and 5 CFR part 1320, Reporting and Record Keeping Requirements, the National Park Service (NPS) invites public comments on an extension of a currently approved information collection (OMB #1024–0009). DATES: Public comments on this notice will be accepted on or before March 13, 2006 to be assured of consideration. ADDRESSES: Send comments to: Michael J. Auer, Heritage Preservation Services, National Park Service, 1849 C St., NW., Org. code 2255, Washington, DC 20240. E-mail: michael\_auer@nps.gov.

To Request Copies of the Document Contact: Michael J. Auer, at the above address. The information collection may also be viewed on-line at: http:// www.cr.nps.gov/hps/tps/tax/ hpcappl.htm.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael J. Auer, 202–354–2031.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Historic Preservation Certification Application.

OMB Number: 1024–0009. Expiration Date of Approval: July 31, 2006.

*Type of Request:* Extension of a currently approved information collection.

Description of need: Section 47 of the Internal Revenue Code requires that the Secretary of the Interior certify to the Secretary of the Treasury upon application by owners of historic properties for Federal tax benefits: (a) The historic character of the property, and (b) that the rehabilitation work is consistent with that historic character. The NPS administers the program with the Internal Revenue Service. NPS uses the Historic Preservation Certification Application to evaluate the condition and historic significance of buildings undergoing rehabilitation for continued use, and to evaluate whether the rehabilitation work meets the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation. NPS specifically requests comments on: (1) The need for information including whether the information has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the reporting burden hour estimate; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of information collection on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Description of respondents: Individuals or households, businesses or other for-profit entities. Application for Historic Preservation Certifications is voluntary.

*Estimated annual reporting burden:* 42,000 hours.

*Estimated average burden hours per response:* 14.0 hours.

*Éstimated average number of respondents:* 3,000 annually.

*Éstimate frequency of response:* 3,000 annually.

Dated: December 19, 2005. Leonard E. Stowe, National Park Service Information and Collection Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. E6–230 Filed 1–11–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4312–52–P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

#### National Park Service

#### Notice of Availability

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**SUMMARY:** National Park Service (NPS) has prepared a Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Acadia National Park, Schoodic General Management Plan Amendment, which is now available from the NPS.

**ADDRESSES:** Request for copies should be sent to Superintendent, Acadia National Park, P.O. Box 177, Bar Harbor, Maine 04609.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Superintendent at 207–288–8703.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NPS prepared a Draft General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA)/Draft EIS for Acadia National Park, Maine, pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. The draft was made available for public review for 60 days (September-November 2004), during which time the NPS distributed over 160 copies of the plan. Also, the full text and graphics were posted on the park's NPS Planning Web sites. NPS received 14 comment letters and 50 people participated in a public meeting held October 20, 2004. Oral and written comments were considered by the NPS and informed the preparation of the final environmental impact statement. The consensus of the public comment was that the NPS was pursuing the correct path for Acadia National Park in Alternative C, the preferred alternative. An abbreviated format is used for the final EIS because changes to the Draft GMPA/Draft EIS are confined primarily to factual corrections and explanations as to why comments do not warrant further agency response. Use of this format is in compliance with the 1978 regulations (40 CFR 1503.4(c)) for the National Environmental Policy Act.

**DATES:** The National Park Service will execute a Record of Decision no sooner than 30 days following publication by the Environmental Protection Agency of