See the **ADDRESSES** section for a location to examine the regulatory evaluation.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

### Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

## PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

### § 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

**2006–01–03** Airbus: Amendment 39–14442. Docket No. FAA–2005–22035; Directorate Identifier 2005–NM–016–AD.

#### Effective Date

(a) This AD becomes effective February 21, 2006.

#### Affected ADs

(b) None.

### Applicability

(c) This AD applies to all Airbus Model A300 B2–1A, B2–1C, B2K–3C, and B2–203 airplanes; and Model A300 B4–2C, B4–103, and B4–203 airplanes; certificated in any category.

### **Unsafe Condition**

(d) This AD was prompted by reports of several false stall warnings associated with stick-shaker activation, occurring during take-off. We are issuing this AD to prevent false stall warnings associated with stick-shaker activation, which could result in increased pilot workload as the pilot tries to determine the cause of the stall warning and possible reduction in the pilot's ability to control the airplane.

### Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

### **Repetitive Replacements**

(f) Within 4,500 flight hours or 36 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever is first: Inspect zone 120 to determine the part numbers (P/Ns) of all three angle of attack (AOA) sensors, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A300–34–0176, Revision 01, dated February 3, 2004. Instead of inspecting zone 120 to determine the P/Ns of the AOA sensors, a review of airplane maintenance records is acceptable if the P/Ns of the AOA

sensors can be conclusively determined from that review. If no Honeywell AOA sensor having part number (P/N) 965–4020–007 is found, then no further action is required by this paragraph. If any Honeywell AOA sensor having P/N 965–4020–007 is found, before further flight, replace the AOA sensor with a new or overhauled AOA sensor having P/N 965–4020–007, in accordance with the service bulletin. Repeat the replacement thereafter at intervals not to exceed 8,000 flight hours or 96 months, whichever is first. Accomplishing the actions specified in paragraph (g) of this AD terminates the repetitive replacements.

### **Optional Terminating Action**

(g) Replacement of all Honeywell AOA sensors having P/N 965–4020–007 between frame (FR)18 and FR19 with "vane type" AOA sensors; and replacement of the current detectors in relay boxes 252VU and 107VU with new current detectors; in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A300–34–0092, Revision 04, dated April 25, 2005; terminate the repetitive replacements required by paragraph (f) of this AD.

### No Reporting Requirement

(h) Although Airbus Service Bulletin A300–34–0176, Revision 01, dated February 3, 2004, specifies to submit certain information to the manufacturer, this AD does not include that requirement.

### **Parts Installation**

(i) As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install an AOA sensor having P/N 965–4020–007 on any airplane, unless it is new or overhauled. Thereafter repetitively replace the new or overhauled AOA sensor in accordance with paragraph (f) of this AD.

### **Credit for Previously Accomplished Actions**

(j) Actions done before the effective date of this AD in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A300–34–0176, dated July 9, 2003, are acceptable for compliance with the corresponding requirements of paragraph (f) of this AD.

### **Credit for Optional Terminating Action**

(k) Actions done before the effective date of this AD in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A300–34–092, Revision 2, dated July 18, 1985, or Airbus Service Bulletin A300–34–0092, Revision 03, dated November 2, 2004, are acceptable for compliance with the requirements of paragraph (g) of this AD.

### Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(l)(1) The Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

(2) Before using any AMOC approved in accordance with 14 CFR 39.19 on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify the appropriate principal inspector in the FAA Flight Standards Certificate Holding District Office.

### **Related Information**

(m) French airworthiness directive F–2003–457 R1, dated December 22, 2004, also addresses the subject of this AD.

### Material Incorporated by Reference

(n) You must use Airbus Service Bulletin A300-34-0176, Revision 01, excluding Appendix 01, dated February 3, 2004, to perform the actions that are required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise. The optional terminating action provided by paragraph (g) of this AD, if accomplished, must be done in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A300-34-0092, Revision 04, dated April 25, 2005. The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of these documents in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Contact Airbus, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France, for a copy of this service information. You may review copies at the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., room PL-401, Nassif Building, Washington, DC; on the Internet at http://dms.dot.gov; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at the NARA, call (202) 741-6030, or go to http:// www.archives.gov/federal\_register/ code\_of\_federal\_regulations/ ibr\_locations.html.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on January 5, 2006.

### Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 06–315 Filed 1–13–06; 8:45 am]

### DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Bureau of Customs and Border Protection

19 CFR Part 101

[CBP Dec. 05-38]

# Extension of Port Limits of Rockford, IL

**AGENCY:** Customs and Border Protection; Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule amends the Department of Homeland Security regulations pertaining to the field organization of the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection by extending the geographical limits of the port of entry at Rockford, Illinois, to include the City of Rochelle, Illinois. The extension of the port is necessary to accommodate the Union Pacific Railroad Company's new intermodal facility in Rochelle. This change is part of the Bureau of

Customs and Border Protection's continuing program to utilize more efficiently its personnel, facilities, and resources, and to provide better service to carriers, importers, and the general public.

**DATES:** Effective Date: February 16, 2006.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dennis Dore, Office of Field Operations, 202–344–2776.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

The Union Pacific Railroad Company has a new state-of-the-art intermodal rail facility that is located 25 miles south of Rockford in Rochelle, Illinois. This facility provides the capacity necessary to support the efficient interchange of shipments to and from rail connections and to expedite the operation of trains and containers. In order to accommodate this new facility, and provide better service to carriers, importers, and the public, the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (CBP) is extending the port limits of the port of Rockford, Illinois, to include the City of Rochelle, Illinois.

A Notice of Proposed Rulemaking concerning this extension was published in the **Federal Register** (69 FR 50107) on August 13, 2004. No comments were received in response to the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. As CBP believes that the extension of the Port of Rockford, Illinois, to include the City of Rochelle, will improve service to importers and the rail transportation industry in Illinois, CBP is expanding the limits of the port of Rockford as proposed.

### New Port Limits of Rockford, Illinois

CBP extends the limits of the port of Rockford, Illinois, to include the City of Rochelle, Illinois, so that the description of the limits of port reads as follows:

Bounded to the north by the Illinois/ Wisconsin border; bounded to the west by Illinois State Route 26; bounded to the south by Interstate Route 88; bounded to the east by Illinois State Route 23 to the Wisconsin/Illinois border.

### Authority

This change is being made under the authority of 5 U.S.C. 301 and 19 U.S.C. 2, 66 and 1624, and the Homeland Security Act of 2002, Public Law 107–296 (November 25, 2002).

# The Regulatory Flexibility Act and Executive Order 12866

With DHS approval, CBP establishes, expands, and consolidates CBP ports of

entry throughout the United States to accommodate the volume of CBP-related activity in various parts of the country. It also will not have significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, it is certified that this document is not subject to the additional requirements of the provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.).

In addition, DHS and the Office of Management and Budget have determined that this final rule does not constitute a significant regulatory action as defined under Executive Order 12866.

### **Signing Authority**

The signing authority for this document falls under 19 CFR 0.2(a). Accordingly, the final rule is signed by the Secretary of Homeland Security.

### List of Subjects in 19 CFR Part 101

Customs ports of entry, Exports, Imports, Organization and functions (Government Agencies).

### Amendment to the Regulations

■ For the reasons set forth above, 19 CFR part 101 is amended as set forth below.

### **PART 101—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

■ 1. The general authority citation for part 101 is revised and the specific authority provision for § 101.3 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 301; 19 U.S.C. 2, 66, 1202 (General Note 3(i), Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States), 1623, 1624, 1646a.

Sections 101.3 and 101.4 also issued under 19 U.S.C. 1 and 58b;

### § 101.3 [Amended]

■ 2. In the list of ports in § 101.3(b)(1), under the state of Illinois, the "Limits of port" column adjacent to "Rockford" in the "Ports of entry" column is amended by removing the citation "T.D. 95–62" and adding in its place "CBP Dec. 05–38".

Dated: January 3, 2006.

### Michael Chertoff,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 06–359 Filed 1–13–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 9110–06–U

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### **Food and Drug Administration**

### 21 CFR Part 210

[Docket No. 2005N-0285]

### Current Good Manufacturing Practice Regulation and Investigational New Drugs

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending its current good manufacturing practice (CGMP) regulations for human drugs, including biological products, to exempt most investigational "Phase 1" drugs from complying with the requirements in FDA's regulations. FDA will instead exercise oversight of production of these drugs under the agency's general statutory CGMP authority and investigational new drug application (IND) authority. In addition, FDA is making available simultaneously with the publication of this direct final rule, a guidance document setting forth recommendations on approaches to CGMP compliance for the exempted Phase 1 drugs.

Elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register, FDA is publishing a companion proposed rule, under FDA's usual procedure for notice-and-comment rulemaking, to provide a procedural framework to finalize the rule in the event the agency receives any significant adverse comments and withdraws this direct final rule. The companion proposed rule and direct final rule are substantively identical.

Elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**, FDA is announcing the availability of a draft guidance for industry entitled "INDs—Approaches to Complying With CGMP During Phase 1" to provide further guidance on the subject.

**DATES:** This rule is effective June 1, 2006. Submit written or electronic comments on or before April 3, 2006. If FDA receives no significant adverse comments within the specified comment period, the agency will publish a document confirming the effective date of the final rule in the Federal Register within 30 days after the comment period on this direct final rule ends. If timely significant adverse comments are received, the agency will publish a notice of significant adverse comment in the Federal Register withdrawing this direct final rule before May 2, 2006.