

also identify, review, and assess challenges with the utilization and field computer-based acquisition tools in the context of Software-Intensive Systems across multiple organizations.

DATES: The meetings will be held on Monday, June 12, 2006 through Friday, June 16, 2006, from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.; Monday, June 19, 2006 through Thursday, June 22, 2006, from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.; and Friday, June 23, 2006, from 8 a.m. to 11 a.m.

ADDRESSES: The meetings will be held at the Space and Naval Warfare Systems Center, San Diego, CA 92152.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Sujata Millick, Program Director, Naval Research Advisory Committee, 875 North Randolph Street, Arlington, VA 22203-1995, 703-696-6769.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice is provided in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App. 2). All sessions of the meeting will be devoted to executive sessions that will include discussions and technical examination of information related to distributed operations and software-intensive systems. These briefings and discussions will contain proprietary information and classified information that is specifically authorized under criteria established by Executive Order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense and are, in fact, properly classified pursuant to such Executive Order. The proprietary, classified and non-classified matters to be discussed are so inextricably intertwined as to preclude opening any portions of the meetings. In accordance with 5 U.S.C. App. 2, section 10(d), the Secretary of the Navy has determined in writing that the public interest requires that all sessions of the meetings be closed to the public because they will be concerned with matters listed in 5 U.S.C. section 552b(c)(1) and (4).

Dated: May 22, 2006.

M.A. Harvison,

Lieutenant, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. E6-8131 Filed 5-25-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management invites comments on the submission for OMB

review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before June 26, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Rachel Potter, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10222, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or faxed to (202) 395-6974.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g., new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Dated: May 22, 2006.

Angela C. Arrington,

IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management.

Institute of Education Sciences

Type of Review: Revision.

Title: National Assessment of Educational Progress—2007 Reading and Writing.

Frequency: One time.

Affected Public: Individuals or household; State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 580,392.

Burden Hours: 148,353.

Abstract: This is the first of two clearance packages for the National Assessment of Educational Progress

(NAEP) 2007 assessment activities. This package covers reading and writing background questionnaires for students, teachers, school administrators, and Students with Disabilities/Limited English Proficient (SD/LEP) staff.

Requests for copies of the information collection submission for OMB review may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 3123. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Potomac Center, 9th Floor, Washington, DC 20202-4700. Requests may also be electronically mailed to ICDocketMgr@ed.gov or faxed to 202-245-6623. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be electronically mailed to ICDocketMgr@ed.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

[FR Doc. E6-8163 Filed 5-25-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Environmental Management Site-Specific Advisory Board, Rocky Flats

AGENCY: Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a meeting of the Environmental Management Site-Specific Advisory Board (EM SSAB), Rocky Flats. The Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. No. 92-463, 86 Stat. 770) requires that public notice of this meeting be announced in the **Federal Register**.

DATES: Thursday, June 22, 2006, 6 p.m. to 9 p.m.

ADDRESSES: College Hill Library, Room L-211, Front Range Community College, 3705 W. 112th Avenue, Westminster, Colorado.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ken Korkia, Executive Director, Rocky Flats Citizens Advisory Board, 12101 Airport Way, Unit B, Broomfield, CO 80021; telephone (303) 966-7855; fax (303) 966-7856.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Purpose of the Board: The purpose of the Board is to make recommendations to DOE in the areas of environmental

restoration, waste management, and related activities.

Tentative Agenda:

1. Presentation of the Board's Legacy Report to the Community.
2. Statements of Appreciation to the Board.
3. Vote to Close Board Operations.

Public Participation: The meeting is open to the public. Written statements may be filed with the Board either before or after the meeting. Individuals who wish to make oral statements pertaining to agenda items should contact Ken Korkia at the address or telephone number listed above. Requests must be received at least five days prior to the meeting and reasonable provisions will be made to include the presentation in the agenda. The Deputy Designated Federal Officer is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will facilitate the orderly conduct of business. Individuals wishing to make public comment will be provided a maximum of five minutes to present their comments.

Minutes: The minutes of this meeting will be available for public review and copying at the office of the Rocky Flats Citizens Advisory Board, 12101 Airport Way, Unit B, Broomfield, CO 80021; telephone (303) 966-7855. Hours of operations are 7:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday. Minutes will also be made available by writing or calling Ken Korkia at the address or telephone number listed above. Board meeting minutes are posted on RFCAB's Web site within one month following each meeting at: <http://www.rfcab.org/Minutes.HTML>.

Issued at Washington, DC on May 18, 2006.

Carol Matthews,

Acting Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. E6-8138 Filed 5-25-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. EL06-61-000, EL06-71-000]

Before Commissioners: Joseph T. Kelliher, Chairman; Nora Mead Brownell, and Suedeen G. Kelly; Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc., Complainant v. Southwest Power Pool, Inc., Respondent; Order Denying Complaint and Instituting Section 206 Proceeding

Issued May 19, 2006.

1. Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Associated Electric) filed a

complaint against Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (SPP), arguing that SPP violated its Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) in granting a rollover request by American Electric Power Service Corporation, as agent for the subsidiaries of the American Electric Power Company, Inc. d/b/a AEP (collectively, AEP) for 250 megawatts (MW) of long-term firm point-to-point transmission service from the Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren UE (Ameren UE) transmission system to the Central and South West Services, Inc. (CSW) transmission system. In this order, the Commission denies Associated Electric's complaint. In this order, the Commission also institutes a proceeding pursuant to section 206 of the Federal Power Act (FPA)¹ to determine whether certain language in section 2.2 of SPP's existing OATT may be unjust, unreasonable, or unduly discriminatory or preferential. SPP is directed to file comments on this matter within 30 days of the issuance date of this order.

Associated Electric's Complaint

2. Associated Electric contends that it is not possible for SPP to grant AEP's rollover request for 250 MW of long-term firm service to begin on June 1, 2005, because SPP no longer has the rights to the underlying contract path needed to provide such service. Associated Electric states that the preceding AEP service arrangements to be rolled over relied on a contract path provided by an Interchange Agreement dated September 22, 1971, as amended December 31, 1996, among Associated Electric, Kansas Gas and Electric Company, Public Service Company of Oklahoma, and Union Electric Company for the Missouri-Kansas-Oklahoma 345kV interconnection (MoKanOk Line), which agreement was terminated effective June 1, 2005,² the same day AEP's requested service was to begin.

3. Associated Electric states that without the MoKanOk Line available to SPP to support AEP's rollover request, SPP should not have granted AEP's request without first determining if sufficient Available Flowgate Capacity (AFC) existed to continue to provide the service.³ Associated Electric further states that, alternatively, SPP should

¹ 16 U.S.C. 824e (2000).

² See *Union Electric Co.*, 112 FERC ¶ 61,089, order denying clarification, 113 FERC ¶ 61,320 (2005).

³ Associated Electric argues that the initial 1998 Transmission Agreements for the 250 MW of service and subsequent service requests plainly indicate that SPP's grant of AEP's requested rollover is dependent upon the MoKanOk Line. Complaint at 13-17.

have considered AEP's request for a new transmission service request and processed it pursuant to the procedures for handling new service requests under SPP's OATT.

4. Associated Electric states that SPP was obliged under section 15.2 (Determination of Available Transmission Capability), section 19.1 (Additional Study Procedures for Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service Requests—Notice of Need for System Impact Study), and Attachment D (Methodology for Completing a System Impact Study) of SPP's OATT, to perform a study to determine whether sufficient transmission capability existed to accommodate AEP's service request. Associated Electric contends that by rolling over AEP's service without first determining the sufficiency of AFC, SPP has adversely affected the reliability of Associated Electric's system and neighboring systems. Associated Electric, however, is unable to quantify the financial impact of SPP's action.⁴

5. Associated Electric alleges that SPP's reliance on section 2.2 (Reservation Priority for Existing Firm Service Customers) of its OATT was misplaced because the cost of Associated Electric's portion of the MoKanOk Line was not included as part of the SPP firm transmission service rates that AEP had been paying.

6. Associated Electric requests that the Commission (1) determine it was inappropriate for SPP to grant AEP's rollover request without studying the AFC in the region; (2) order SPP to conduct a study of the AFC on SPP and neighboring transmission systems, including Associated Electric, to determine if there is sufficient AFC to support AEP's request; (3) order SPP to rescind AEP's service and treat it as a new request, if SPP is unable to demonstrate sufficient AFC; and (4) order SPP to coordinate with the neighboring transmission system owners with respect to all future awards of transmission capacity under its OATT that may effect neighboring systems.

Notice of Filing and Responsive Pleadings

7. Notice of the complaint was published in the **Federal Register**, 71 FR 16,137 (2006), with comments, interventions, and protests due on or before April 10, 2006. Lafayette Utilities System, Ameren Services Company, Western Farmers Electric Cooperative, and AEP filed timely motions to intervene. Xcel Energy Service Inc.

⁴ Complaint at 39.