#### **Oneida County**

Camroden Presbyterian Church, 8049 E. Floyd Rd., Floyd, 06001204

#### **Onondaga County**

Borodino District School #8, 1845 Rose Hill Rd., Borodino, 06001206

### **Schenectady County**

Swart House and Tavern, 130 Johnson Rd., Glenville, 06001211

#### **Suffolk County**

Wereholme, 5500 S. Bay Ave., Islip, 06001208

#### TENNESSEE

#### **Obion County**

Whitesell, Jesse Farm (Boundary Increase), KY 116 W of Purchase Pkwy., Fulton, 06001199

#### VIRGINIA

#### **Richmond Independent City**

Lee, Robert E., Monument, 1700 Monument Ave., jct. of Monument and Allen Aves., Richmond (Independent City), 06001213

#### WASHINGTON

#### **Clark County**

Vancouver National Historic Reserve Historic District, Roughly bounded by an alley N of Officers' Row, East Reserve St., Columbia River, and I–5, Vancouver, 06001216

#### King County

YWCA Building—Seattle, 1118 Fifth Ave., Seattle, 06001215

#### **Pierce County**

Balfour Dock Building, 705 Dock St., Tacoma, 06001214

To assist in the preservation of this historic property the comment period has been shortened to five (5) days:

### KENTUCKY

#### **Jefferson County**

Bannon, Martin Jeff (M.J.), House, 5112 Bannon Crossing, Louisville, 06001196

[FR Doc. E6–20926 Filed 12–8–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4312–51–P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-491; Inv. No. 337-TA-481 (consolidated) Enforcement Proceeding]

In the Matter of Certain Display
Controllers and Products Containing
Same and Certain Display Controllers
With Upscaling Functionality and
Products Containing Same; Notice of
Commission Decision Not To Review
an Initial Determination of the
Administrative Law Judge Terminating
the Enforcement Proceeding Based on
a Settlement Agreement

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 46) terminating the above-captioned enforcement proceeding based on a settlement agreement.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3061. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov/. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 20, 2004, the Commission terminated the above-captioned investigation and issued a limited exclusion order ("the Order") which denies entry to certain display controllers manufactured, inter alia, by respondent MStar Semiconductor, Inc. ("MStar") and covered by claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 12, 13, 16, 17, 33–36, 38, and 39 of U.S. Patent 5,739,867. On April 24, 2006, complainant Genesis Microchip (Delaware) Inc. ("Genesis") filed a complaint for enforcement of the

Commission's Order under Commission Rule 210.75. Genesis asserted that respondent MStar had violated the Commission's Order by importing its allegedly infringing Tsunami display controllers into the United States.

On June 23, 2006, the Commission issued a "Notice of Institution of Formal Enforcement Proceeding." See 71 Fed. Reg. 37096 (June 29, 2006). On October 25, 2006, complainant Genesis and respondent MStar filed a joint motion to terminate the enforcement proceeding on the basis of a settlement agreement pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21. See 19 CFR. 210.21. On November 6, 2006, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion.

On November 8, 2006, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 46) granting the motion. No party petitioned for review of Order No. 46.

The Commission has determined not to review Order No. 46.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42(h) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42(h)).

By order of the Commission.

Dated: December 6, 2006.

#### Marilyn R. Abbott,

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. E6–21008 Filed 12–8–06; 8:45 am]

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–471 and 472 (Second Review)]

## Silicon Metal From Brazil and China

#### **Determinations**

On the basis of the record <sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission also determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from China would be likely to lead to continuation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

### Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on January 3, 2006 (71 FR 138) and determined on April 10, 2006 that it would conduct full reviews (71 FR 23947, April 25, 2006). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on July 17, 2006 (71 FR 40543). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on September 19, 2006, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on December 6, 2006. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3892 (December 2006), entitled *Silicon Metal from Brazil and China*: Investigation Nos. 731–TA–471 and 472 (Second Review).

By order of the Commission. Issued: December 6, 2006.

#### Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. E6–21007 Filed 12–8–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## **Drug Enforcement Administration**

[OMB Number 1117-0042]

## Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 60-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review; National Clandestine Laboratory Seizure Report.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until February 9, 2007. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments, especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Clark R. Fleming, Field Division Counsel, El Paso Intelligence Center, 11339 SSG Sims Blvd., El Paso, TX 79908.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- —Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- —Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- —Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

## Overview of This Information Collection

- (1) Type of Information Collection: Extension of a currently approved collection.
- (2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* National Clandestine Laboratory Seizure Report.
- (3) Agency form number, if any and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:

Form number: EPIC Form 143. Component: El Paso Intelligence Center, Drug Enforcement Administration, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:

*Primary:* State, Local or Tribal Government.

Other: None.

Abstract: Records in this system are used to provide clandestine laboratory seizure information to the El Paso Intelligence Center, Drug Enforcement Administration, and other Law enforcement agencies, in the discharge

of their law enforcement duties and responsibilities.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: There are ninety-two (92) total respondents for this information collection. Seven thousand three hundred twenty-eight (7328) responded using paper at 1 hour a response and one thousand one hundred sixty-three (1163) responded electronically at 1 hour a response, for eight thousand four hundred ninety-one (8491) annual responses.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: It is estimated that there are 8491 annual burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: December 6, 2006.

#### Lynn Bryant,

Department Clearance Officer, Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. E6–21006 Filed 12–8–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## **Drug Enforcement Administration**

## Orlando Wholesale, L.L.C. Denial of Application

On November 18, 2005, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, issued an Order to Show Cause to Orlando Wholesale, L.L.C., of Orlando, Florida (Respondent). The Show Cause Order proposed to deny Respondent's pending application for a DEA Certificate of Registration as a distributor of List I chemicals on the ground that its registration would be inconsistent with the public interest. See 21 U.S.C. 823(h) and 824(a).

The Show Cause Order specifically alleged that Respondent was proposing to distribute List I chemical products containing pseudoephedrine, a precursor chemical which is used to manufacture methamphetamine, to convenience stores in the Orlando area and that methamphetamine manufacturers often obtain the chemical from convenience stores. See Show Cause Order at 1–2. The Show Cause Order alleged that during DEA's pre-