

### III. Discussion

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6 of the Act<sup>5</sup> and the rules and regulations thereunder.<sup>6</sup> The Commission specifically finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act<sup>7</sup> in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments and to perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Commission believes that the proposal to move to VTC appointments should allow Market-Makers additional flexibility in choosing their appointed classes.

The Commission also believes that the proposed amendments to the pilot program that would allow Market-Makers to quote remotely away from CBOE's trading floor in their appointed Hybrid and Hybrid 2.0 option classes, instead of from outside of his/her appointed trading station, are a reasonable extension of the pilot. The Commission notes that RMMs and e-DPMs in an option class would continue to be permitted, on a pilot basis, to have an affiliated Market-Maker in that class. CBOE Rule 8.3(c) would continue to require that the affiliated Market-Maker can submit electronic quotations in any class in which the affiliated e-DPM or RMM has an appointment only if the Market-Maker is present in the trading station where the class is located. The Commission believes that requiring that the Market-Maker affiliated with the e-DPM or RMM be present in the trading station where the class is located is reasonable, given the allocation algorithm adopted by the Exchange.

The Commission also notes that Market-Makers and affiliated RMMs or e-DPMs would continue to be permitted, on a pilot basis, to operate as multiple aggregation units under the criteria set forth in CBOE Rule 8.4(c)(ii). In addition, the Commission notes that two affiliated Market-Makers would continue to be permitted to hold an appointment in the same class provided both Market-Makers operate as multiple aggregation units under the criteria set

forth in CBOE Rule 8.4(c)(ii). However, an affiliated Market-Maker and DPM would not be permitted to hold an appointment in the same class.

### IV. Conclusion

*It is therefore ordered*, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,<sup>8</sup> that the proposed rule change (SR-CBOE-2006-51) is approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>9</sup>

**Jill M. Peterson,**

*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E6-11987 Filed 7-26-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-54185; File No. SR-CHX-2005-34]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto Regarding Cancellation of the Stock Leg of a Stock-Option Order

July 20, 2006.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on November 14, 2005, the Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. ("CHX" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the CHX. On July 11, 2006, the Exchange submitted Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.<sup>3</sup> The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its rules to permit cancellation of the stock leg of a stock-option order if market conditions in a non-Exchange market prevent the options leg of the order from being executed at the agreed-upon price.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on CHX's Web site (<http://www.chx.com>), at the CHX's Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission's public reference room.

[www.chx.com](http://www.chx.com)), at the CHX's Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission's public reference room.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the CHX included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

According to the Exchange, stock-option orders are relied on frequently by options market makers as part of their legitimate hedging strategies. The typical stock-option order involves an order to buy or sell a stated number of shares of an underlying security, coupled with the purchase or sale of option contracts, puts or calls on the opposite side of the market from the underlying security.

Certain CHX floor participants receive stock-option related order flow from off-floor participants who are options market makers on options exchanges such as the Chicago Board Options Exchange ("CBOE"). Specifically, the stock leg of a stock-option order is routed to the CHX for execution, while the options leg(s) is executed on an options exchange.

The CHX states that, because stock-option orders are complex transactions (often with multiple parties) and markets are volatile, with quotations moving quickly and often, many times the options leg of the transaction does not occur, in which case the off-floor participant requests that the CHX floor participant cancel the transaction's stock leg. The proposed rule change would permit cancellation of the stock leg of a stock-option order if market conditions in the non-Exchange market prevented the execution of the options leg of a transaction.<sup>4</sup> The proposed rule

<sup>5</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f.

<sup>6</sup> In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission notes that it has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>8</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>9</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> In Amendment No. 1, CHX made minor revisions to the proposed rule text and clarified certain details of its proposal.

<sup>4</sup> The types of market conditions that would be sufficient to justify cancellation of the Exchange leg of a multi-market order include a sudden change in the price of the options involved in the transaction prior to execution of the trade and a trading halt or systems failure that precludes immediate

is based on (and virtually identical to) CBOE Rule 6.48(b)(ii), which permits cancellation of the options leg of a stock-option order.<sup>5</sup>

It is important to note that the proposed rule change would require that the CHX floor participant maintain records "sufficient to establish that market conditions in a non-Exchange market prevented the execution of the option leg(s)." The CHX believes this requirement would give the CHX Department of Market Regulation the ability to oversee the cancellation of stock leg orders, to ensure against abusive trade reporting practices.<sup>6</sup>

## 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal, as amended, is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act<sup>7</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act<sup>8</sup> in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to, and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest by promoting consistency between the Exchange and options markets relating to cancellation of the components of stock-option orders.

### B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change, as amended, would impose any burden on competition.

execution of the options leg at the agreed upon price.

<sup>5</sup> According to CHX, the stock leg of a stock-option order is always presented to the CHX with an identified buyer and seller who have agreed to the terms of the trade. Both buyer and seller are aware of the possibility that the stock leg of a stock-option order may be cancelled on the CHX if the corresponding options leg is cancelled on an options market. The CHX states that, because both the buyer and seller would be identified when the stock leg is presented to the CHX, there would be no possibility that another CHX member's order could be matched against a stock-option order. Accordingly, the CHX believes that there would be no risk that an investor's order could be involuntarily cancelled without notice to the investor; the CHX thus believes that this pattern and practice amply satisfies the requirements of proposed Interpretation and Policy .01(d).

<sup>6</sup> The recordkeeping requirement would permit the CHX Department of Market Regulation to monitor patterns that may develop, as well as the overall quantity of trade cancellations, to help deter members from simply canceling orders for the sake of convenience. The Exchange believes that the recordkeeping requirement would help ensure that the volume of transactions reported is accurate and complete and not overstated.

<sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>8</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

### C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding, or (ii) as to which the CHX consents, the Commission will:

A. By order approve such proposed rule change, as amended; or

B. Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

## IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-CHX-2005-34 on the subject line.

### Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Station Place, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CHX-2005-34. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the

public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make publicly available. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CHX-2005-34 and should be submitted on or before August 17, 2006.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>9</sup>

**Jill M. Peterson,**

*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E6-11980 Filed 7-26-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-54159; File No. SR-NASD-2006-058]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of a Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto Regarding Pricing for Non-Members Using the Nasdaq Market Center and Nasdaq's Brut and INET Facilities

July 17, 2006.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on May 1, 2006, the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD"), through its subsidiary, The Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. ("Nasdaq"), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by Nasdaq. On June 12, 2006, Nasdaq filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.<sup>3</sup> The

<sup>9</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> In Amendment No. 1, Nasdaq amended the description of the proposed rule change to indicate that when a market participant enters an order into Nasdaq's Brut or INET systems that is sent to a Nasdaq Market Center market participant that charges an access fee to Brut or INET, the market participant entering the order shall be charged (i) the applicable execution fee of the Nasdaq Facilities, or (ii) in the case of executions against