

Specifically, I take official notice that following a hearing on September 20–21, 2005, the Tennessee Board of Medical Examiners concluded that Respondent had violated multiple provisions of Tennessee law, including Tenn. Code Ann. § 63–6–214(b)(12), which prohibits, *inter alia*, “dispensing, prescribing or otherwise distributing any controlled substance or any other drug not in the course of professional practice.” *In re Yeates*, Order at 3 (Tenn. Bd. of Med. Examiners 2005). On October 12, 2005, the State Board thus permanently revoked Respondent’s medical license.<sup>2</sup> *Id.* at 4. Subsequent to the State Board’s order, DEA has received no information indicating that that the order has been set aside on appeal. Accordingly, I find that Respondent is not authorized to handle controlled substances in Tennessee.

DEA does not have statutory authority under the Controlled Substances Act to maintain a registration if the registrant is without state authority to handle controlled substances in the state in which he practices. See 21 U.S.C. 823(f), 824(a)(3). DEA has consistently applied this rule. See *James Marvin Goodrich, M.D.*, 70 FR 24619 (2005); *Dominick A. Ricci, M.D.*, 58 FR. 51104 (1993); *Bobby Watts, M.D.*, 53 FR. 11919 (1988). Therefore, Respondent is not entitled to maintain his DEA registration.

### Order

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 823 and 824, and 28 CFR 0.100(b) & 0.104, I hereby order that DEA Certificate of Registration, BY5532076, issued to Sheran Arden Yeates, M.D., be, and it hereby is revoked. I further order that any pending applications for renewal or modification of such registration be, and they hereby are, denied. This order is effective August 10, 2006.

reconsideration of a final order. See *Robert A. Leslie, M.D.*, 60 FR 14004, 14005 (1995). To allow Respondent the opportunity to refute the facts of which I am taking official notice, publication of this final order shall be withheld for a fifteen-day period, which shall begin on the date of service.

<sup>2</sup> Among the findings of the State Board were that “Respondent permitted his patients to return unused prescription medication to his offices,” and that “Respondent instructed his office staff to place any returned prescription medication in a storage chest” for “future use.” *Id.* at 2. The State Board also found that “Respondent and his office staff routinely administered ‘cocktail’ injections to patients without medical justification[.]” and that “[o]n occasion, \* \* \* Respondent and his office staff denied patients their maintenance medication until the patients agreed to receive ‘cocktail’ injections.” *Id.*

Dated: June 13, 2006.

**Michele M. Leonhart,**

*Deputy Administrator.*

[FR Doc. E6–10780 Filed 7–10–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Parole Commission

#### Public Announcement; Pursuant to the Government in the Sunshine Act (Public Law 94–409) (5 U.S.C. 552b)

**AGENCY HOLDING MEETING:** Department of Justice, United States Parole Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** 2 p.m., Friday, July 14, 2006.

**PLACE:** 5550 Friendship Blvd., Fourth Floor, Chevy Chase, MD 20815.

**STATUS:** Open.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** The meeting is being held to discuss the agency’s budget for Fiscal Year 2008.

**AGENCY CONTACT:** Thomas W. Hutchison, Chief of Staff, United States Parole Commission. (301) 492–5959.

Dated: July 6, 2006.

**Rockne Chickinell,**

*General Counsel, U.S. Parole Commission.*

[FR Doc. 06–6154 Filed 7–7–06; 11:17 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–31–M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of the Secretary

#### Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

July 3, 2006.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by contacting Darrin King on 202–693–4129 (this is not a toll-free number) or e-mail: [king.darrin@dol.gov](mailto:king.darrin@dol.gov).

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Department of Labor, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, 202–395–7316 (this is not a toll-free number), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Agency:* Departmental Management.

*Type of Review:* Extension of currently approved collection.

*Title:* Customer Satisfaction Surveys and Conference Evaluations Generic Clearance.

*OMB Number:* 1225–0059.

*Frequency:* On occasion.

*Affected Public:* Business and other for-profit; Individuals or households; Not-for-profit institutions; Farms; Federal Government; and State, local, or tribal government.

*Number of Respondents:* 200,000.

*Estimated Annual Responses:* 200,000.

*Average Response Time:* 6 minutes.

*Total Annual Burden Hours:* 20,000.

*Total Annualized capital/startup costs:* \$0.

*Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services):* \$0.

*Description:* The Department of Labor (DOL) conducts a variety of voluntary Customer Satisfaction Surveys of regulated/non-regulated entities, which are specifically designed to gather information from a customer’s perspective as prescribed by E.O. 12862, Setting Customer Service Standards, September 11, 1993. These Customer Satisfaction Surveys provide information on customer attitudes about the delivery and quality of agency products/services and are used as part of an ongoing process to improve DOL programs. This generic clearance allows agencies to gather information from both Federal and non-Federal users.

In addition to conducting Customer Satisfaction Surveys, the Department also includes the use of evaluation forms for those DOL agencies conducting conferences. These evaluations are helpful in determining