# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2003-0073; FRL-8197-3]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Distribution of Offsite Consequence Analysis Information Under Section 112(r)(7)(H) of the Clean Air Act (CAA). EPA ICR No. 1981.03, OMB Control No. 2050– 0172

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit a request to renew an existing approved Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). This ICR is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2006. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described below.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before September 12, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2003-0073, by one of the following methods:

- http://www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
  - E-mail: a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov.
  - Fax: (202) 566-1741.
- Mail: Air Docket, Environmental Protection Agency, Mailcode: 6102T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery: EPA Docket Center; Public Reading Room, Room B102; EPA West Building, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2003-0073. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise

protected through www.regulations.gov or e-mail. The www.regulations.gov Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through www.regulations.gov your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM vou submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA's public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at http:// www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sicy Jacob, Office of Emergency
Management, Regulation and Policy
Development Division, 5104A,
Environmental Protection Agency, 1200
Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington,
DC 20460; telephone number: (202)
564–8019; fax number: (202) 564–2620;
e-mail address: jacob.sicy@epa.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# How Can I Access the Docket and/or Submit Comments?

EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID No. EPA—HQ—OAR 2003—0073, which is available for online viewing at http://www.regulations.gov, or in person viewing at the Air Docket in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA/DC Public Reading Room is open from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is 202–566—1744, and the telephone number for the Air Docket is 202–566—1742.

Use http://www.regulations.gov to obtain a copy of the draft collection of information, submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the docket ID number identified in this document.

# What Information Is EPA Particularly Interested in?

Pursuant to section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, EPA specifically solicits comments and information to enable it to:

- (i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the
- (ii) Proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the
- (iii) Information will have practical utility;
- (iv) Evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (v) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (vi) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses. In particular, EPA is requesting comments from very small businesses (those that employ less than 25) on examples of specific additional efforts that EPA could make to reduce the paperwork burden for very small businesses affected by this collection.

# What Should I Consider When I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

You may find the following suggestions helpful for preparing your comments:

- 1. Explain your views as clearly as possible and provide specific examples.
- 2. Describe any assumptions that you used.
- 3. Provide copies of any technical information and/or data you used that support your views.
- 4. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at the estimate that you provide.
- 5. Offer alternative ways to improve the collection activity.
- 6. Make sure to submit your comments by the deadline identified under **DATES**.
- 7. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, be sure to identify the docket ID number assigned to this action in the subject line on the first page of your response. You may also provide the name, date, and **Federal Register** citation.

# What Information Collection Activity or ICR Does This Apply to?

Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2003-0073

Affected entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are Entities potentially affected by this action are State and local agencies and members of the public.

*Title:* Entities potentially affected by this action are State and local agencies

and members of the public.

ICR numbers: EPA ICR No. 1981.03, OMB Control No. 2050–0172.

ICR status: This ICR is currently scheduled to expire on December 31, 2006. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in title 40 of the CFR, after appearing in the Federal Register when approved, are listed in 40 CFR part 9, are displayed either by publication in the Federal Register or by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers in certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9.

Abstract: This ICR is the renewal of the ICR developed for the final rule, Accidental Release Prevention Requirements; Risk Management Programs Under the Clean Air Act Section 112(r)(7); Distribution of Off-Site Consequence Analysis Information. CAA section 112(r)(7) required EPA to promulgate reasonable regulations and appropriate guidance to provide for the prevention and detection of accidental releases and for responses to such releases. The regulations include requirements for submittal of a risk management plan (RMP) to EPA. The RMP includes information on offsite consequence analyses (OCA) as well as other elements of the risk management

On August 5, 1999, the President signed the Chemical Safety Information, Site Security, and Fuels Regulatory Relief Act (CSISSFRRA). The Act required the President to promulgate regulations on the distribution of OCA information (CAA section 112(r)(7)(H)(ii)). The President delegated to EPA and the Department of Justice (DOJ) the responsibility to promulgate regulations to govern the dissemination of OCA information to the public. The final rule was published on August 4, 2000 (65 FR 48108). The regulations imposed minimal requirements on the public, state and local agencies that request OCA data from EPA. The state

and local agencies who decide to obtain OCA information must send a written request on their official letterhead to EPA certifying that they are covered persons under Public Law 106-40, and that they will use the information for official use only. EPA will then provide paper copies of OCA data to those agencies as requested. The rule authorizes and encourages state and local agencies to set up reading rooms. The local reading rooms would provide read-only access to OCA information for all the sources in the LEPC's jurisdiction and for any source where the vulnerable zone extends into the LEPC's jurisdiction.

Members of the public requesting to view OCA information at federal reading rooms would be required to sign in and self certify. If asking for OCA information from federal reading rooms for the facilities in the area where they live or work, they would be required to provide proof that they live or work in that area. Members of the public are required to give their names, telephone number, and the names of the facilities for which OCA information is being requested, when they contact the central office to schedule an appointment to view OCA information.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected: and

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement: For this ICR period, EPA estimates a total of 3,270 hours (annually) for local agencies requesting OCA data from EPA and providing read-only access to the public. For the state agencies, the total annual burden for requesting OCA data from EPA and providing read-only access to the public, is 3,816 hours. For the public to display photo identification, sign a sign-in sheet, certify that the individual has not received access to OCA information for more than 10 stationary sources for that calendar month, and to request information from the vulnerable zone indicator system (VZIS), EPA estimates a total of 8,754 hours annually. The total burden for the members of the public, state and local agencies is 15,840 hours and \$413,380 annually (47,520 hours for three years and \$1,240,140).

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The ICR provides a detailed explanation of the Agency's estimate, which is only briefly summarized here:

Estimated total number of potential respondents: 4,417.

Frequency of response: Annual.
Estimated total annual burden hours:
15.840.

Estimated total annual costs: \$413,380.

The burden and cost reported here are from the current approved ICR. In the package submitted to OMB, the costs will change based on the most recent labor and wage rates information reported by the Bureau of Labor and Statistics.

### What Is the Next Step in the Process for This ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. At that time, EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the

### technical person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Dated: July 10, 2006.

Deborah Y. Dietrich,

Director, Office of Emergency Management. [FR Doc. E6–11106 Filed 7–13–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6677-3]

# Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 7, 2006 (71 FR 17845).

#### **Draft EISs**

EIS No. 20060141, ERP No. D—AFS— F65064—WI, Boulder Project, Timber Harvesting, Vegetation and Road Management, U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest, Lakewood-Laona Ranger District, Oconto and Langlade Counties, WI.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the Draft EIS's discussion of habitat requirements and population trends for key species, notably the northern goshawk and redshouldered hawk; the Final EIS should present a more complete cumulative impact analysis, covering the geographic range of targeted species, regardless of land ownership. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060146, ERP No. D-UAF-K11115-HI, Hickam Air Force Base and Bellows Air Force Station, 15th Airlift Wing, Housing Privatization Phase II, To Transfer the Remaining Housing Units, and Associated Infrastructure to Selected Offeror, O'ahu, HI.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns about a lack of federal commitment to sustainable building, and requested additional information and mitigation measures for air quality, stormwater pollution, and wetlands. Rating EC2. EIS No. 20060147, ERP No. D-FTA-

F40434–MN, Central Corridor Project, Develop a Light Rail Facility or a Busway/Bus Rapid Transit Facility, 11 miles between downtown Minneapolis and downtown St. Paul, Minnesota, Twin Cities Metropolitan Area, MN.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about traffic impacts, hazardous waste, noise impacts, possible geologic, water, and air issues, and alternative selection criteria. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060173, ERP No. D-UAF-K11021-GU, Andersen Air Force Base (AFB), Establish and Operate an Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance, and Strike (ISR/ Strike) Capability, Guam.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the disposal of wastewater from the project, and recommended the Air Force work with Guam Waterworks Authority towards upgrading the wastewater treatment plant. Other concerns include noise impacts, and impacts to endangered species. EPA requested additional information regarding cumulative impacts, resource use/impacts from 1,800 migrant laborers, and solid waste disposal and impacts to the Sole Source Aquifer.Rating EC2. EIS No. 20060187, ERP No. D-AFS-

F65065–WI, Long Rail Vegetation and Transportation Management Project, Implementation, Eagle River-Florence Ranger District, Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest, Florence and Forest Counties, WI

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern about whether the preferred alternative would support adequate habitat to support the regional species of concern. The Final EIS should include more information about impacts from timber harvest to riparian areas and adequate levels of successional habitat for population viability. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060211, ERP No. D–DOE–G03030–00, Strategic Petroleum Reserve Expansion, Site Selection of Five New Sites: Chacahoula and Clovelly, in Lafourche Parish, LA; Burinsburg, Claiborne County, MS; Richton, Perry County, MS; and Stratton Ridge, Brazoria County, TX and Existing Site Bayou Choctaw, Iberville Parish, LA, West Hackberry, Cameron and Calcasieu Parishes, LA; and Big Hill, Jefferson County, TX

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns and requested additional information about air quality, water quality, and wetlands. EPA is particularly interested in information pertaining to emissions from backup generators and other activities for each

potential site that may require inclusion in the general conformity applicability analysis. Rating EC2.

#### **Final EISs**

EIS No. 20060133, ERP No. F–NRC– E06024–MS, Grand Gulf Early Site Permit (ESP) Site, Construction and Operation, Issuance of an Early Site Permit (ESP), NUREG–1817, Claiborne County, MS.

Summary: EPA continues to express concerns about the uncertainty of regulatory limits for offsite releases of radionuclides for the current candidate repository site. EPA recommends that emergency preparedness issues be evaluated regarding potential implications of a release to plant personnel or the public in the event of a major unintended release. EPA also recommends continued coordination with Environmental Justice communities in the area to ensure that their concerns are addressed as the project progresses.

EIS No. 20060179, ERP No. F–AFS– J65456–WY, Moose-Gypsum Project, Proposes to Authorize Vegetation Treatments, Watershed Improvements, and Travel Plan and Recreation Updates, Pinedale Ranger District, Bridger-Teton National Forest, Sublette County, WY

Summary: Quantified estimates of sediment impacts from modeling addressed EPA concerns about erosion. However, EPA continues to have concerns about the adaptive management program because there are no quantitative measures, thresholds, or required actions if physical and biological objectives are not met.

EIS No. 20060189, ERP No. F-NRC-F06027-OH, American Centrifuge Plant, Gas Centrifuge Uranium Enrichment Facility, Construction, Operation, and Decommission, License Issuance, Piketon, OH

Summary: EPA's concerns on the management of various materials, facility decontamination and decommissioning, groundwater contamination, and the relationship of the project to other facilities and contaminated sites at the Portsmouth Reservation have been resolved. However, EPA continues to have concerns about radionuclide air emissions standards compliance, waste processing capacity, construction air emissions, and cumulative impacts to surface water.

EIS No. 20060199, ERP No. F—AFS— F65050—MI, Huron-Manistee National Forests, Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Several Counties, MI