## DRAFT

How large should marine reserves be?

Table 1. Reproduced from Table 6.3 in NRC 2001. Summary of studies estimating marine reserve area relative to the conservation of management objective.

| Goal | Citation | Criteria (Species) | Area |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Ethics | Ballantine 1997 | Typical terrestrial target. |  |
| Risk <br> Risk management | Lauck et al. 1998 | Uncertainty in stock assessment | $10 \%$ |
|  | Roughgarden 1998 | Recruitment overfishing | $31-70 \%$ |
|  | Guenette et al. 2000 | Spatial model, with and without additional <br> regulations (cod). | $75 \%$ |
|  | Mangel 2000 | Maintain stock at target levels | $20 \%$ |
|  | Goodyear 1993 | Prevent recruitment overfishing | $20-30 \%$ |
|  | Mace 1994 | Precautionary approach | $+20 \%$ |
|  | Mace and Sissenwine 1993 | Prevent recruitment overfishing | $+40 \%$ |
|  | Sumaila 1998 | Bioeconomic model, cost-benefit (cod) | $+35 \%$ |
|  | PeMartini 1993 | fishes) | $30-50 \%$ |
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| Goal | Citation | Criteria (Species) | Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk |  |  |  |
| Risk minimization and bycatch avoidance | Man et al. 1995 | Metapopulation model | 20-40\% |
| Risk minimization and yield maximization | Soh et al. 1998 | Target high biomass areas (rockfish) | 4-16\% |
|  | Foran and Fujita 1999 | Fecundity and recruitment (Pacific ocean perch) | 25\% |
|  | Guenette and Pitcher 1999 | Fecundity and recruitment (cod) | +30\% |
| Yield Maximization | Pezzy et al. 2000 | Bioeconomic model (coral reef fish) | 21-40\% |
|  | Sladek Nowlis and Roberts $\text { 1997, } 1999$ | Fishing intensity (reef fish) | 40\% |
|  | Sladek Nowlis 2000 | Fishing intensity (Caribbean white grunt) | 30\% |
|  | Sladek Nowlis and Yoklavich 1998 | Catch enhancement (Pacific rockfish) | 20-27\% |
|  | Holland and Brazee 1996 | Bioeconomic model (red snapper) | 15-29\% |
|  | Hannesson 1999 | Bioeconomic model (cod) | 50-80\% |
|  | Polacheck 1990 | Yield/recruit model, adult dispersal (cod) | 10-40\% |
|  | Hastings and Botsford 1999 | Reproductive output (sea urchin) | 35\% |
|  | Botsford et al. 1999 | Vulnerability to recruitment overfishing (sea urchin) | 8-33\% |
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| Goal | Citation | Criteria (Species) | Area |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Yield Maximization | Attwood and Bennett 1995 | Increase spawning stocks (recreational surf zone <br> fishing) | $33 \%$ |
|  | Quinn et al. 1993 | Allee effects and dispersal (sea urchin) | $50 \%$ |
|  | Daan 1993 | Reduce fishing mortality by 10-14\% (cod) | $25 \%$ |
| Biodiversity <br> Representation | Turpie et al. in press | Species representation, complementarity (fish) | $10-36 \%$ |
|  | Bustamente et al. 1999 | Representative habitats | $36 \%$ |
| Maintenance of <br> genetic variation | Halfpenny and Roberts <br> (in review) | Habitat representation or replication | $40 \%$ |
| Increase Connectivity <br> Among Reserves | Roberts in review | Selective pressure from fishing | $10 \%$ |

