<table>
<tr><td colspan="2" align="center">Exhibit 300 FY2010</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2" align="center">OSTXX001: Delphi (formerly consolidated within DOTxx072)</td></tr>
</table>

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Description: In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

I.A. Overview (All Capital Assets)

Description: The following series of questions are to be completed for all investments.

I.A.1. Date of Submission:	2007-08-23
I.A.2. Agency:	021
I.A.3. Bureau:	04
I.A.4. Name of this Capital Asset: Description: (Up to 250 characters)	OSTXX001: Delphi (formerly consolidated within DOTxx072)
I.A.5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: Description: For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.	021-04-01-01-01-1010-00
I.A.6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2010? Description: Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2010, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2010 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.	Mixed Life Cycle

I.A.8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:
Description: (Up to 2500 characters)

DOT uses Oracle Federal Financials Version 11.5.10 (a FSIO-Certified, COTS web-based system) as DOT's agency-wide financial management and accounting system of record. As a Federal Shared Service Provider, DOT is dedicated to innovative solutions, employing industry best practices and business process reengineering to serve present and future customers. Delphi produces regulatory Financial Statements and Treasury reports directly from the core financial application. This saves FTEs, improves accuracy, and enables DOT to meet OMB, Treasury and other Federal reporting requirements on schedule. DOT's upgrade to Oracle 12.FSIO will take significant resources. DOT will combine this major upgrade with a parallel effort to implement consistent use of the uniform Accounting Code Structure and to apply standard data definitions for all OAs. These two projects will be extensive multi-year efforts, requiring significant changes to the DOT core financial system and all its feeder systems (both internal and external to DOT). We must re-engineer and standardize most business processes to accommodate the new ACS and rewrite all system interfaces (including grants, payroll, etc.). This work will improve internal DOT operations and facilitate DOT's future conversion to the mandated Common Government-wide Accounting Code (CGAC). DOT assigned resources in early FY 2008 to begin working with staff from OMB's Office of Federal Financial Management on a CGAC implementation plan. Because the upgrade to Oracle 12.FSIO is a total refresh of Oracle Federal Financials, DOT will be required to operate, host and maintain both the current version and new version of Oracle software simultaneously for an estimated 3 years during the migration and reimplementation of Oracle 12.FSIO. The primary benefit of standardizing DOT's accounting code and eventually adopting CGAC is uniform data (easier roll up) for department-wide and government-wide financial and program reporting. When the 12.FSIO upgrade is completed by the end of FY 2012, Delphi will have new, critical functional and reporting capabilities currently not available, including GWA compliance, subledger accounting, automated prior year recovery, reconciliation of budget and proprietary entries and an enhanced view of financial info across DOT. Based on the work conducted in FY 2008 DOT will utilize FY 2009 for continuing the planning effort leading to a rebaselining proposal in April 2009 for BY 2011.

I.A.9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?	yes
I.A.9.a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?	2006-08-16
I.A.10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?	yes
I.A.12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project?	yes
I.A.12.a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?	yes
I.A.12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)	no
I.A.12.b.1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?	
I.A.12.b.2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?	
I.A.12.b.3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?	
I.A.13. Does this investment directly support any of the PMA initiatives?	yes
I.A.13.a. If "yes," select all that apply:	Eliminating Improper Payments Expanded E-Government Financial Performance

I.A.13.b. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)
Description: (Up to 500 characters)

Delphi supports the aforementioned PMA initiatives by achieving payment of most non-credit card invoices both on time and in accordance with the Prompt Payment Act, by making electronic payment for a high percentage of vendor invoices, and by reducing balances in suspense clearing accounts.

I.A.14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? Description: (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)	no
I.A.14.a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review?	
I.A.14.b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?	
I.A.14.c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?	
I.A.15. Is this investment for information technology?	yes
I.A.16 What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) Description: Level 1 - Projects with low-to-moderate complexity and risk. Example: Bureau-level project such as a stand-alone information system that has low- to-moderate complexity and risk. Level 2 - Projects with high complexity and/or risk which are critical to the mission of the organization. Examples: Projects that are part of a portfolio of projects/systems that impact each other and/or impact mission activities. Department-wide projects that impact cross-organizational missions, such as an agency-wide system integration that includes large scale Enterprise Resource Planning (e.g., the DoD Business Mgmt Modernization Program). Level 3 - Projects that have high complexity, and/or risk, and have government-wide impact.	Level 3

Examples: Government-wide initiative (E-GOV, President's Management Agenda). High interest projects with Congress, GAO, OMB, or the general public. Cross-cutting initiative (Homeland Security).	
I.A.17. In addition to the answer in 1.A.11.d, what project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)	(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment
I.A.18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4-FY 2008 agency high risk report? (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)	yes
I.A.19. Is this a financial management system?	yes
I.A.19.a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?	yes
I.A.19.a.1. If "yes," which compliance area: Description: (Up to 250 characters)	Move to Standard GL & produce financial statements from core accounting system
I.A.19.a.2. If "no," what does it address? Description: (Up to 500 characters)	
I.A.19.b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52 Description: (Up to 2500 characters)	System Acronym: ACCTDOT System Name: Accounting System - DOT Application Reference: Delphi
I.A.20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2010 funding request for the following? Description: (This should total 100%)	
I.A.20.a. Hardware	0
I.A.20.b. Software	0
I.A.20.c. Services	100
I.A.20.d. Other	0
I.A.21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?	n/a
I.A.23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?	yes
I.A.24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas?	no

I.B. Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

I.B.1 Summary of Spending Table
Description: Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long-term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

I.B.1.a. Summary of Spending for Project Phases

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2008	CY 2009	BY 2010
Planning	$0.000	$3.250	$7.300	$1.300
Acquisition	$0.000	$0.000	$11.000	$17.000
Subtotal Planning and Acquisition	$0.000	$3.250	$18.300	$18.300
Operations and Maintenance	$108.902	$21.184	$22.780	$23.691
TOTAL	$108.902	$24.434	$41.080	$41.991
Government FTE Costs	$35.055	$7.450	$9.700	$9.900

I.B.1.b. Summary of Spending for Project Phases (Government FTE Costs Only)

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2008	CY 2009	BY 2010
Number of FTE represented by cost	260	64	77	77

I.B.2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?	no
I.B.2.a. If "yes," How many and in what year? Description: (Up to 500 characters)	

I.B.3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2009 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:
Description: (Up to 2500 characters)

In August 2006, OMB agreed to consider Delphi as a separate business case for Budget Year 2008. (Delphi was included within the DOT Financial Systems Consolidation in Budget Year 2007.) Therefore, the summary of spending information reflects Delphi-specific funding. The funding tables also include DME dollars beginning in FY08 for upgrading our Oracle application software to Version 12 and implementing the OMB-mandated Government-wide Accounting Code Structure.

I.D. Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

I.D.1. Performance Information Table
Description: In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond the next President's Budget.

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator
2007	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Delivery Time	Average time to close all help desk trouble tickets closed in a calendar month.
2007	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Response Time	Average Time to Set Up a New Delphi User
2007	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Balances in suspense clearing accounts
2007	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Percentage of vendor payments made electronically
2007	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Payments	Percentage of non-credit card invoices paid on time
2007	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Core System Availability
2007	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Efficiency	Total elapsed time to produce an FMS 224 and a related report from "click to run" to "ready to print"
2007	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Privacy	Average time to resolve moderate & high risk information security incidents & vulnerabilities
2007	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Standards Compliance and Deviations	The number of security scans of servers hosting the Delphi core production applications
2007	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Availability	IT Hosting Infrastructure Availability
2008	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Delivery Time	Average time to close all help desk trouble tickets closed in a calendar month.
2008	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Response Time	Average Time to Set Up a New Delphi User
2008	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Balances in suspense clearing accounts
2008	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Percentage of vendor payments made electronically
2008	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Payments	Percentage of non-credit card invoices paid on time
2008	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Core System Availability
2008	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Efficiency	Total elapsed time to produce an FMS 224 and a related report from "click to run" to "ready to print"
2008	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Privacy	Average time to resolve moderate & high risk information security incidents & vulnerabilities
2008	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Standards Compliance and Deviations	The number of security scans of servers hosting the Delphi core production applications
2008	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Availability	IT Hosting Infrastructure Availability
2009	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Delivery Time	Average time to close all help desk trouble tickets closed in a calendar month.
2009	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Response Time	Average Time to Set Up a New Delphi User
2009	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Balances in suspense clearing accounts
2009	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Percentage of vendor payments made electronically
2009	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Payments	Percentage of non-credit card invoices paid on time
2009	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Core System Availability
2009	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Efficiency	Total elapsed time to produce an FMS 224 and a related report from "click to run" to "ready to print"
2009	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Privacy	Average time to resolve moderate & high risk information security incidents & vulnerabilities
2009	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Standards Compliance and Deviations	The number of security scans of servers hosting the Delphi core production applications
2009	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Availability	IT Hosting Infrastructure Availability
2010	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Delivery Time	Average time to close all help desk trouble tickets closed in a calendar month.
2010	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Response Time	Average Time to Set Up a New Delphi User
2010	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Balances in suspense clearing accounts
2010	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Percentage of vendor payments made electronically
2010	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Payments	Percentage of non-credit card invoices paid on time
2010	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Core System Availability
2010	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Efficiency	Total elapsed time to produce an FMS 224 and a related report from "click to run" to "ready to print"
2010	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Privacy	Average time to resolve moderate & high risk information security incidents & vulnerabilities
2010	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Standards Compliance and Deviations	The number of security scans of servers hosting the Delphi core production applications
2010	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Availability	IT Hosting Infrastructure Availability
2011	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Delivery Time	Average time to close all help desk trouble tickets closed in a calendar month.
2011	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Response Time	Average Time to Set Up a New Delphi User
2011	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Balances in suspense clearing accounts
2011	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Percentage of vendor payments made electronically

2011	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Payments	Percentage of non-credit card invoices paid on time
2011	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Core System Availability
2011	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Efficiency	Total elapsed time to produce an FMS 224 and a related report from "click to run" to "ready to print"
2011	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Privacy	Average time to resolve moderate & high risk information security incidents & vulnerabilities
2011	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Standards Compliance and Deviations	The number of security scans of servers hosting the Delphi core production applications
2011	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Availability	IT Hosting Infrastructure Availability
2012	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Delivery Time	Average time to close all help desk trouble tickets closed in a calendar month.
2012	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Response Time	Average Time to Set Up a New Delphi User
2012	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Balances in suspense clearing accounts
2012	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Percentage of vendor payments made electronically
2012	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Payments	Percentage of non-credit card invoices paid on time
2012	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Core System Availability
2012	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Efficiency	Total elapsed time to produce an FMS 224 and a related report from "click to run" to "ready to print"
2012	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Privacy	Average time to resolve moderate & high risk information security incidents & vulnerabilities
2012	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Standards Compliance and Deviations	The number of security scans of servers hosting the Delphi core production applications
2012	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Availability	IT Hosting Infrastructure Availability
2013	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Delivery Time	Average time to close all help desk trouble tickets closed in a calendar month.
2013	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Response Time	Average Time to Set Up a New Delphi User
2013	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Balances in suspense clearing accounts
2013	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Percentage of vendor payments made electronically
2013	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Payments	Percentage of non-credit card invoices paid on time
2013	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Core System Availability
2013	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Efficiency	Total elapsed time to produce an FMS 224 and a related report from "click to run" to "ready to print"
2013	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Privacy	Average time to resolve moderate & high risk information security incidents & vulnerabilities
2013	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Standards Compliance and Deviations	The number of security scans of servers hosting the Delphi core production applications
2013	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Availability	IT Hosting Infrastructure Availability

I.F. Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

Description: In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

I.F.1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?	yes
I.F.1.a. If "no," please explain why? Description: (Up to 2500 characters)	
I.F.2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?	yes
I.F.2.a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. Description: (Up to 500 characters)	Delphi
I.F.2.b. If "no," please explain why? Description: (Up to 2500 characters)	
I.F.3. Is this investment identified in a completed and approved segment architecture?	yes
I.F.3.a. If "yes," provide the six digit code corresponding to the agency segment architecture. The segment architecture codes are maintained by the agency Chief Architect. For detailed guidance regarding segment architecture codes, please refer to http://www.egov.gov. Description: (In the format "XXX-000")	206-000

I.F.4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table
Description: Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov.

a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.
b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.
c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.
d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in this column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused -

				Component Name (b)
Expense Management	Defines the set of capabilities that support the management and reimbursement of costs paid by employees or an organization.	Financial Management	Expense Management	
Auditing	Defines the set of capabilities that support the examination and verification of records for accuracy.	Financial Management	Auditing	
Revenue Management	Defines the set of capabilities that support the allocation and re-investment of earned net credit or capital within an organization.	Financial Management	Revenue Management	
Billing and Accounting	Defines the set of capabilities that support the charging, collection and reporting of an organization's accounts providing accurate financial accountability.	Financial Management	Billing and Accounting	
Ad-Hoc	Defines the set of capabilities that support the use of dynamic reports on an as needed basis allowing financial managers to ensure compliance with Federal Appropriation Law.	Reporting	Ad Hoc	
Standardized / Canned	Defines the set of capabilities that support the use of pre-conceived or pre-written reports.	Reporting	Standardized / Canned	
Data Integration	Set of capabilities that support the organization of data from separate data sources into a single source using middleware or application integration and the modification of system data models to capture new information within a single system providing the source of acccurate and timely treasury reporting.	Development and Integration	Data Integration	
Date Warehouse	Set of capabilities that support the archiving and storage of large volumes of data for reporting purposes. This data can be transported and utilized by customers for additional financial management goals.	Data Management	Data Warehouse	
Program / Project Management	Defines the set of capabilities for the management and control of a particular effort of an organization	Management of Processes	Program / Project Management	
Information Retrieval	Defines the set of capabilities that allow access to data and information for use by an organization and its stakeholders	Knowledge Management	Information Retrieval	

I.F.5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table

Description: To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications.
b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	Storage Area Network (SAS), Network-Attached Storage (NAS), Oracle, Database 2 (DB2)
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	Ethernet, Token Ring, Virtual LAN (VLAN)
Information Retrieval	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	PL/SQL, NET8, Object Request Broker (ORB/CORBA), RPC, OPEN ANSI SQL/92, API, Apache, IIS
Standardized / Canned	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	PL/SQL, NET8, Object Request Broker (ORB/CORBA), RPC, OPEN ANSI SQL/92, API, Apache, IIS
Ad Hoc	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	PL/SQL, NET8, Object Request Broker (ORB/CORBA), RPC, OPEN ANSI SQL/92, API, Apache, IIS
Auditing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Oracle Federal Financials Version 11.5.10
Billing and Accounting	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Dependent Platform	Oracle Federal Financials Version 11.5.10
Expense Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Dependent Platform	Oracle Federal Financials Version 11.5.10
Revenue Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Dependent Platform	Oracle Federal Financials Version 11.5.10
Data Integration	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	PL/SQL, NET8, Object Request Broker (ORB/CORBA), RPC, OPEN ANSI SQL/92, API, Apache, IIS

I.F.6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (e.g. USA.gov, Pay.gov, etc.)?	yes

I.F.6.a. If "yes," please describe.
Description: (Up to 2500 characters)

As a Government Federal Shared Service Provider (FSSP), DOT is leveraging its financial management system's capabilities and DOT's and Oracle's expertise to improve the financial management of other Federal agencies. Furthermore, DOT is implementing two E-Government solutions E-Payroll and E-Travel: As a participant of the E-Travel E-Government initiative, DOT moved to Northrop Grumman's GovTrip e-Travel solution, a web-based, customer-centric, end-to-end travel service that provides automated travel planning and reimbursement capabilities. GovTrip allows travelers to generate travel authorizations, make trip reservations and route travel requests for approval, all from their desktop workstations. Similarly, as a participant of the E-Payroll E-Government initiative, DOT migrated to the Department of the Interior (DOI) Federal Personnel and Payroll System (FPPS). In order to meet the requirements of FPPS and to provide labor

data for managerial cost accounting, DOT created the Consolidated Automated System for Time and Labor Entry (CASTLE). This translates into substantial savings for DOT.

Part IV: Planning for "Multi-Agency Collaboration" ONLY
Description: Part IV should be completed only for investments identified as an E-Gov initiative, a Line of Business (LOB) Initiative, or a Multi-Agency Collaboration effort. The "Multi-Agency Collaboration" choice should be selected in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above. Investments identified as "Multi-Agency Collaboration" will complete only Parts I and IV of the exhibit 300.

IV.A. Multi-Agency Collaboration Oversight (All Capital Assets)
Description: Multi-agency Collaborations, such as E-Gov and LOB initiatives, should develop a joint exhibit 300.

IV.A.1. Stakeholder Table Description: As a joint exhibit 300, please identify all the agency stakeholders (all participating agencies, this should not be limited to agencies with financial commitment). All agency stakeholders should be listed regardless of approval. If the partner agency has approved this joint exhibit 300 please provide the date of approval.	
IV.A.9. Will the selected alternative replace a legacy system in-part or in-whole?	
IV.A.9.a. If "yes," are the migration costs associated with the migration to the selected alternative included in this investment, the legacy investment, or in a separate migration investment?	
IV.A.9.b. If "yes," please provide the following information:	