

Exhibit 300 FY2010

FRAXX014: Railroad Safety Information System (RSIS)

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Description: In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

I.A. Overview (All Capital Assets)

Description: The following series of questions are to be completed for all investments.

I.A.1. Date of Submission:	2008-09-08
I.A.2. Agency:	021
I.A.3. Bureau:	27
I.A.4. Name of this Capital Asset: Description: (Up to 250 characters)	FRAXX014: Railroad Safety Information System (RSIS)
I.A.5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: Description: For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.	021-27-01-19-01-1060-00
I.A.6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2010? Description: Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2010, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2010 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.	Mixed Life Cycle
I.A.8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap: Description: (Up to 2500 characters)	<p>RSIS is the FRA information system which houses data related to railroad accidents, injuries, incidents as well as railroad inspections, highway rail crossings and railroad operational data. Without the RSIS program, DOT, FRA, the railroad industry and the citizens of the US would be without a reliable source for integrated rail-safety data and information. RSIS closes the performance gap of making available timely and actionable rail safety data and information by providing railroads, labor organizations and the public railroad safety statistics and tools. RSIS is comprised of (1) four integrated sub-systems, (2) one software system and (3) a web component with a public domain and secured private section. The RSIS investment directly supports FRA's mission to provide a safe and efficient rail network by ensuring that pertinent, timely and accurate rail safety data is made available to decision and policy makers as well as rail safety rule enforcers. The integrated components which make up the RSIS investment include: Railroad Accident Incident Reporting System (RAIRS); Railroad Inspection Reporting System (RIRS); and Grade Crossing Inventory System (GCIS); State Inspector Program (SIP). These sub-systems, along with enhancements currently in progress, serve as the nation's rail safety data authority.</p>
I.A.9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?	yes
I.A.9.a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?	2008-03-25
I.A.10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?	yes
I.A.12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project?	yes
I.A.12.a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?	yes
I.A.12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)	no
I.A.12.b.1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?	
I.A.12.b.2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?	
I.A.12.b.3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?	
I.A.13. Does this investment directly support any of the PMA initiatives?	no
I.A.13.a. If "yes," select all that apply:	
I.A.13.b. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?) Description: (Up to 500 characters)	
I.A.14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? Description: (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part .)	yes
I.A.14.a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found	no

during a PART review?	
I.A.14.b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?	10001127 - Railroad Safety Program
I.A.14.c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?	Moderately Effective
I.A.15. Is this investment for information technology?	yes
I.A.16 What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) Description: Level 1 - Projects with low-to-moderate complexity and risk. Example: Bureau-level project such as a stand-alone information system that has low- to-moderate complexity and risk. Level 2 - Projects with high complexity and/or risk which are critical to the mission of the organization. Examples: Projects that are part of a portfolio of projects/systems that impact each other and/or impact mission activities. Department-wide projects that impact cross-organizational missions, such as an agency-wide system integration that includes large scale Enterprise Resource Planning (e.g., the DoD Business Mgmt Modernization Program). Level 3 - Projects that have high complexity, and/or risk, and have government-wide impact. Examples: Government-wide initiative (E-GOV, President's Management Agenda). High interest projects with Congress, GAO, OMB, or the general public. Cross-cutting initiative (Homeland Security).	Level 1
I.A.17. In addition to the answer in 1.A.11.d, what project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)	(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment
I.A.18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4-FY 2008 agency high risk report? (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)	no
I.A.19. Is this a financial management system?	no
I.A.19.a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?	
I.A.19.a.1. If "yes," which compliance area: Description: (Up to 250 characters)	
I.A.19.a.2. If "no," what does it address? Description: (Up to 500 characters)	
I.A.19.b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52 Description: (Up to 2500 characters)	
I.A.20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2010 funding request for the following? Description: (This should total 100%)	
I.A.20.a. Hardware	4
I.A.20.b. Software	2
I.A.20.c. Services	93
I.A.20.d. Other	1
I.A.21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?	yes
I.A.23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?	yes
I.A.24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas?	no
I.B. Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)	
I.B.1 Summary of Spending Table Description: Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long-term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.	
Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.	
I.B.1.a. Summary of Spending for Project Phases	

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2008	CY 2009	BY 2010
Planning	\$0.399	\$0.069	\$0.088	\$0.119
Acquisition	\$2.031	\$0.552	\$0.623	\$0.537
Subtotal Planning and Acquisition	\$2.430	\$0.621	\$0.711	\$0.656
Operations and Maintenance	\$7.193	\$1.831	\$2.437	\$3.095
TOTAL	\$9.623	\$2.452	\$3.148	\$3.751
Government FTE Costs	\$0.402	\$0.104	\$0.177	\$0.091

I.B.1.b. Summary of Spending for Project Phases (Government FTE Costs Only)

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2008	CY 2009	BY 2010
Number of FTE represented by cost	4	1	1	1

I.B.2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? no

I.B.2.a. If "yes," How many and in what year?

Description: (Up to 500 characters)

I.B.3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2009 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:

Description: (Up to 2500 characters)

In FY08, the RSIS program was rebaselined to accommodate a number of program changes identified and approved by the FRA Office of Safety. The rebaseline received OMB approval on June 25, 2008. The program changes made to RSIS required an increase of \$12,114,000 in life cycle funding. This represents a 33% increase. The rebaseline required the following changes to the summary of spending: (1) an increase in funds to accommodate the integration of SIP and several new DME activities; (2) an increase in funds to accommodate DME that was performed in FY2007 [these activities included: (a) application development and maintenance to support a quick turnaround regulatory initiatives, (b) the redesign and modernization of some of the subsystems, and (c) incorporating geospatial information into RSIS to improve FRA's data analysis abilities]; (3) an increase in funds to accommodate DME that is to be performed in FY08 [these activities include: (a) upgrading to enhance report generation, (b) developing an enhanced "dashboard" capability, (c) "New Rules Implementation" support, and (d) modification of the Railroad Inspection System for Personal Computer (RISPC)]; and (4) allocation of funds to accommodate additional C&A, security controls and remediation required by changes made to the RSIS program.

I.D. Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

I.D.1. Performance Information Table

Description: In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond the next President's Budget.

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator
2007	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Automation	Establish the number of Class 1 railroads reporting through XML automation
2007	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Errors	Decrease number of errors found in data repository
2007	Organizational Excellence	Technology	External Data Sharing	Reduce the timeframe for the remediation of high level system security vulnerabilities from the time of discovery
2007	Organizational Excellence	Technology	External Data Sharing	Reduce the timeframe for the remediation of medium security vulnerabilities from the time of discovery
2007	Safety	Customer Results	Customer Impact or Burden	Increase number of rail defects found
2007	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Increase percent remediation actions taken
2007	Safety	Technology	External Data Sharing	Establish electronic data interchange through the safety databases
2008	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Customer Impact or Burden	Enable all 6 Class 1 railroads to

				report through XML automation
2008	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Errors	Decrease number of errors found in data repository
2008	Organizational Excellence	Technology	External Data Sharing	Reduce the timeframe for the remediation of high level system security vulnerabilities from the time of discovery
2008	Organizational Excellence	Technology	External Data Sharing	Reduce the timeframe for the remediation of medium system security vulnerabilities from the time of discovery
2008	Safety	Customer Results	Customer Impact or Burden	Increase number of rail defects found
2008	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Increase percent remediation actions taken
2008	Safety	Technology	External Data Sharing	Increase the number of data interchanges in place for the 3 major subsystems (RAIRS, RIRS and GCIS)
2009	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Delivery Time	Maintain at least 24 hour response time limit on RSIS data inquiries from stakeholders.
2009	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Develop at least one IT improvement in accident investigation protocol and/or general rail safety data collection and dissemination method used by FRA to better identify underlying risks and multiple causes of accidents/incidents.
2009	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Availability	Maintain 99.8% RSIS server(s) availability during FRA working hours (6:00 am to 8:00 pm EST)
2009	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Delivery Time	Maintain perfect (100% on time delivery rate) record for data delivery from RSIS (data due between 1st and 5th of each month)
2009	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Cycle Time	Reduce cycle time between data receipt from railroad to RSIS data delivery
2009	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered	Reduce number of errors found in RSIS data
2010	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Delivery Time	Maintain at least 24 hour response time limit on RSIS data inquiries from stakeholders.
2010	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Develop at least one IT improvement in accident investigation protocol and/or general rail safety data collection and dissemination method used by FRA to better identify underlying risks and multiple causes of accidents/incidents.
2010	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Availability	Maintain 99.8% RSIS server(s) availability during FRA working hours (6:00 am to 8:00 pm EST)
2010	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Delivery Time	Maintain perfect (100% on time delivery rate) record for data delivery from RSIS (data due between 1st and 5th of each month)
2010	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Cycle Time	Reduce cycle time between data receipt from railroad to RSIS data delivery
2010	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Accuracy of Service or Product Delivered	Reduce number of errors found in RSIS data
2007	Organizational Excellence	Technology	External Data Sharing	Reduce the timeframe for the remediation of low level system security vulnerabilities from the time of discovery
2008	Organizational Excellence	Technology	External Data Sharing	Reduce the timeframe for the remediation of low level system security vulnerabilities from the

time of discovery

I.F. Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

Description: In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

I.F.1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?	yes
I.F.1.a. If "no," please explain why? Description: (Up to 2500 characters)	
I.F.2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?	yes
I.F.2.a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. Description: (Up to 500 characters)	FRA Railroad Safety Information System (RSIS)
I.F.2.b. If "no," please explain why? Description: (Up to 2500 characters)	
I.F.3. Is this investment identified in a completed and approved segment architecture?	yes
I.F.3.a. If "yes," provide the six digit code corresponding to the agency segment architecture. The segment architecture codes are maintained by the agency Chief Architect. For detailed guidance regarding segment architecture codes, please refer to http://www.egov.gov . Description: (In the format "XXX-000")	214-000

I.F.4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table

Description: Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>.

- a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.
- b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.
- c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.
- d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in this column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused - Component Name (b)
On Line Help	Defines the set of capabilities that provide an electronic interface to customer assistance	Customer Initiated Assistance	Online Help	
Information Retrieval	Defines the set of capabilities that allow access to data and information for use by an organization and its stakeholders	Knowledge Management	Information Retrieval	
Ad Hoc	Defines the set of capabilities that support the use of dynamic reports on an as needed basis	Reporting	Ad Hoc	
Standardized / Canned	Defines the set of capabilities that support the use of pre-conceived or pre-written reports	Reporting	Standardized / Canned	
Data Warehouse	Defines the set of capabilities that support the archiving and storage of large volumes of data	Data Management	Data Warehouse	
Information Sharing	Defines the set of capabilities that support the use of documents and data in a multi-user environment for use by an organization and its stakeholders	Knowledge Management	Information Sharing	

I.F.5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table

Description: To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories,

Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

- a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications.
 b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Ad Hoc	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	Data Dynamic ActiveReports
Ad Hoc	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	SAS System (statistical analysis software)
Standardized / Canned	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	Data Dynamic ActiveReports
Standardized / Canned	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	SAS System (statistical analysis software)
Information Retrieval	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	Microsoft Baseline Security Analyzer
Data Warehouse	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	Open Database Connectivity (ODBC) Open Standard
Information Retrieval	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	Norton Antivirus Corporate Edition 8.0
Information Retrieval	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	Trend Micro Scan Mail (Exchange Server Antivirus)
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	Persits Aspermail (Mail Component for file attachments and HTML format)
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	Railroad Safety Web Services
Online Help	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	RoboHelp 2000 Version 5.0 by Micromedia
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	Web Service (MS Web Service Enhancement 3.0)
Data Warehouse	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	Application Connectivity (MS 2000 Advanced Server)
Data Warehouse	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification	eXtensible Markup Language (XML) (XML MS Visual Studio 2005)
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	SQL Server (MS SQL 2005 Server)
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage	Venitas Backup Software
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Web Servers	Internet Information Server (MS WIN 2000 Server)
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	Ethernet (Standard 5.0)
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Cisco Pix 515 Firewall
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Cisco 2500 Router
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Cisco ASA Firewall
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Cisco 2950 and 2600 Switches
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Peripherals	APC Smartups (UPS)
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Peripherals	Powerware 5125 (UPS)
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	Dell Open Manager (Server Manager Software)
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	MS Exchange Server 2003
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	MS Windows 200 Server, SP4
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	MS Windows 2003 Server
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	Data Dynamics
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	Visual Studio.net
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	Java 2 Platform Enterprise Edition (J2EE)
Information Sharing	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	Microsoft Baseline Security Analyzer
Information Sharing	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	ISA Server 2006
Information Sharing	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	Norton Antivirus Corporate

				Edition 8.0
Information Sharing	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	Trend Micro Scan Mail (Exchange Server Antivirus software)
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	Persits Aspermail (Mail component for file attachments and HTML format)
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	Railroad Inspection Data Service (FRA Web Service)
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	Web Service (MS Web Service Enhancement 3.0)
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Web Servers	Internet Information Server (MS WIN 2000 Server)
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	Ethernet (Standard 5.0)
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Checkpoint Firewall
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Cisco 2500 Router
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Cisco 2950 and 2600 Switches
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Peripherals	APC Smartups (UPS)
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Peripherals	Powerware 5125 (UPS)
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	Dell Open Manager (Server Manager Software)
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	MS Exchange Server 2003
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	MS Windows 2000 Server, SP4
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	MS Windows 2003 Server
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	Data Dynamics ActiveReports
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Hi Software AccVerify (Section 508)
Information Sharing	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Content Rendering	Symantic Ghost Solution Suite 2.0
Data Warehouse	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	Symantic Endpoint Protection
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Events Manager 8.0
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Network Server Monitor 7.0
Information Sharing	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	GFI MailEssentials Anti Spam 12
Information Sharing	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	Lumension Patchlink Update 6.4
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Events Manager 8.0
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Network Server Monitor 7.0
Information Retrieval	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	GFI MailEssentials Anti Spam 12
Information Retrieval	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	Lumension Patchlink Update 6.4
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Events Manager 8.0
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Network Server Monitor 7.0
Data Warehouse	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	GFI MailEssentials Anti Spam 12
Data Warehouse	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	Lumension Patchlink Update 6.4
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage	UltraBac Management Console 8.2
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage	Peer DRS SQL 1.2
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage	PeerSync File Synchronization
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	Developer Express.Net v7.3
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	ChartFX Gauges for .Net
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	Infragistics.Net Advantage 2006
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and	Software Engineering	Integrated Development	Component One Studio

	Infrastructure		Environment	Enterprise
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	TX Text Control 12.0.NET
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	Visual Studio, Microsoft Office, SQL Server (MSDN)

I.F.6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (e.g. USA.gov, Pay.gov, etc.)? no

I.F.6.a. If "yes," please describe.
Description: (Up to 2500 characters)

Part IV: Planning for "Multi-Agency Collaboration" ONLY
 Description: Part IV should be completed only for investments identified as an E-Gov initiative, a Line of Business (LOB) Initiative, or a Multi-Agency Collaboration effort. The "Multi-Agency Collaboration" choice should be selected in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above. Investments identified as "Multi-Agency Collaboration" will complete only Parts I and IV of the exhibit 300.

IV.A. Multi-Agency Collaboration Oversight (All Capital Assets)
 Description: Multi-agency Collaborations, such as E-Gov and LOB initiatives, should develop a joint exhibit 300.

IV.A.1. Stakeholder Table Description: As a joint exhibit 300, please identify all the agency stakeholders (all participating agencies, this should not be limited to agencies with financial commitment). All agency stakeholders should be listed regardless of approval. If the partner agency has approved this joint exhibit 300 please provide the date of approval.	
IV.A.9. Will the selected alternative replace a legacy system in-part or in-whole?	
IV.A.9.a. If "yes," are the migration costs associated with the migration to the selected alternative included in this investment, the legacy investment, or in a separate migration investment?	
IV.A.9.b. If "yes," please provide the following information:	