

Exhibit 300 FY2010

FMCSA009: FMCSA Modernization Project

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Description: In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

I.A. Overview (All Capital Assets)

Description: The following series of questions are to be completed for all investments.

I.A.1. Date of Submission:	2009-03-27
I.A.2. Agency:	021
I.A.3. Bureau:	17
I.A.4. Name of this Capital Asset: Description: (Up to 250 characters)	FMCSA009: FMCSA Modernization Project
I.A.5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: Description: For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.	021-17-01-14-01-1280-00
I.A.6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2010? Description: Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2010, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2010 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.	Mixed Life Cycle
I.A.8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap: Description: (Up to 2500 characters)	<p>The FMCSA Modernization Project (also known as COMPASS) is aligned with FMCSA's mission of saving lives and making America's roads safer by providing more efficient access to information on behalf of FMCSA, state partners, and customers. The current application architecture severely restricts FMCSA's service delivery in many areas due to inherent systems limitations. It creates an environment that becomes increasingly challenged to meet its customers' business needs. In response to these challenges, FMCSA has elected to invest in a new, highly integrated and flexible systems architecture. Through this investment, FMCSA plans to reduce operational costs, improve high-risk carrier data formulation, improve services delivered by its systems application suite, and improve key IT portfolio and related management practices. Ultimately, FMCSA expects a substantial performance improvement in the Field. Enforcement Staff for example, will be able to conduct roadside and border safety inspections, safety audits, and compliance reviews more efficiently thanks to the integration of the applications and improved data quality. The new workflow and rule engine capabilities will allow Enforcement Staff to automatically monitor commercial vehicle activities to determine if action is required and then trigger processes for suspending or revoking registration or operating authority, when necessary. FMCSA customers will also see a significant improvement in their ability to access information and use data, and FMCSA will bring its ongoing IT costs in line based on industry standards.</p>
I.A.9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?	yes
I.A.9.a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?	2008-08-14
I.A.10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?	yes
I.A.12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project?	yes
I.A.12.a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?	yes
I.A.12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)	no
I.A.12.b.1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?	
I.A.12.b.2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?	
I.A.12.b.3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?	
I.A.13. Does this investment directly support any of the PMA initiatives?	no
I.A.13.a. If "yes," select all that apply:	
I.A.13.b. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?) Description: (Up to 500 characters)	
I.A.14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?	yes

Description: (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part .)	
I.A.14.a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review?	yes
I.A.14.b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?	10002250 - Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration - Operations and Programs
I.A.14.c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?	Moderately Effective
I.A.15. Is this investment for information technology?	yes
I.A.16 What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) Description: Level 1 - Projects with low-to-moderate complexity and risk. Example: Bureau-level project such as a stand-alone information system that has low- to-moderate complexity and risk. Level 2 - Projects with high complexity and/or risk which are critical to the mission of the organization. Examples: Projects that are part of a portfolio of projects/systems that impact each other and/or impact mission activities. Department-wide projects that impact cross-organizational missions, such as an agency-wide system integration that includes large scale Enterprise Resource Planning (e.g., the DoD Business Mgmt Modernization Program). Level 3 - Projects that have high complexity, and/or risk, and have government-wide impact. Examples: Government-wide initiative (E-GOV, President's Management Agenda). High interest projects with Congress, GAO, OMB, or the general public. Cross-cutting initiative (Homeland Security).	Level 2
I.A.17. In addition to the answer in 1.A.11.d, what project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)	(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment
I.A.18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4-FY 2008 agency high risk report? (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)	no
I.A.19. Is this a financial management system?	no
I.A.19.a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?	
I.A.19.a.1. If "yes," which compliance area: Description: (Up to 250 characters)	
I.A.19.a.2. If "no," what does it address? Description: (Up to 500 characters)	
I.A.19.b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52 Description: (Up to 2500 characters)	
I.A.20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2010 funding request for the following? Description: (This should total 100%)	
I.A.20.a. Hardware	5
I.A.20.b. Software	7
I.A.20.c. Services	88
I.A.20.d. Other	0
I.A.21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?	yes
I.A.23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?	yes
I.A.24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas?	no

I.B. Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

I.B.1 Summary of Spending Table

Description: Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long-term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

I.B.1.a. Summary of Spending for Project Phases

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2008	CY 2009	BY 2010
Planning	\$10.600	\$2.731	\$4.400	\$4.500
Acquisition	\$17.000	\$8.932	\$8.540	\$8.800
Subtotal Planning and Acquisition	\$27.600	\$11.663	\$12.940	\$13.300
Operations and Maintenance	\$31.700	\$7.950	\$8.300	\$8.400
TOTAL	\$59.300	\$19.613	\$21.240	\$21.700
Government FTE Costs	\$8.391	\$4.057	\$4.764	\$4.764

I.B.1.b. Summary of Spending for Project Phases (Government FTE Costs Only)

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2008	CY 2009	BY 2010
Number of FTE represented by cost	77	36	41	41

I.B.2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? no

I.B.2.a. If "yes," How many and in what year?

Description: (Up to 500 characters)

I.B.3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2009 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:

Description: (Up to 2500 characters)

The costs have been replanned to comply with the direction to submit a "current services" budget. Last year's SOS included an increase in funding to the COMPASS program to support development of new releases for the FMCSA Portal. There has been no change to the total spending for the investment.

I.D. Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

I.D.1. Performance Information Table

Description: In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond the next President's Budget.

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator
2006	Safety	Customer Results	Access	Customer score of ability to accomplish the desired service via the website
2006	Safety	Customer Results	Access	Satisfaction score for the usefulness of information in enabling customers to make better decisions
2006	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Fatalities involving large trucks and buses per 100 million vehicle miles traveled
2006	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Number of serious hazardous materials incidents involving large trucks
2006	Safety	Processes and Activities	Compliance	Average time an issue is open
2006	Safety	Processes and Activities	Efficiency	Number of compliance reviews (Federal)
2006	Safety	Technology	Data Reliability and Quality	Percentage of FMCSA reported crash data matched to a carrier
2007	Safety	Customer Results	Integration	Number of systems that require an independent sign on not automatically provided by the COMPASS portal
2007	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Number of serious hazardous materials incidents involving large trucks
2007	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Fatalities involving large trucks

				and buses per 100 million vehicle miles traveled
2007	Safety	Processes and Activities	Security	Average time to resolve a security risk identified in the vulnerability scan
2007	Safety	Technology	Data Standardization or Tagging	Percentage of technical coverage of business concepts
2008	Safety	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Satisfaction score from Federal system users
2008	Safety	Customer Results	Integration	Number of systems that require an independent sign on not automatically provided by the COMPASS portal
2008	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Fatalities involving large trucks and buses per 100 million vehicle miles traveled
2008	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Number of serious hazardous materials incidents involving large trucks
2008	Safety	Processes and Activities	Security	Average time to resolve a security risk identified in the vulnerability scan
2008	Safety	Technology	Data Standardization or Tagging	Percentage of technical coverage of business concepts
2009	Safety	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Satisfaction score from Federal system users
2009	Safety	Customer Results	Integration	Number of systems that require an independent sign on not automatically provided by the COMPASS portal
2009	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Fatalities involving large trucks and buses per 100 million vehicle miles traveled
2009	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Number of serious hazardous materials incidents involving large trucks
2009	Safety	Processes and Activities	Security	Average time to resolve a security risk identified in the vulnerability scan
2009	Safety	Technology	Data Standardization or Tagging	Percentage of technical coverage of business concepts
2010	Safety	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Satisfaction score from Federal system users
2010	Safety	Customer Results	Integration	Number of systems that require an independent sign on not automatically provided by the COMPASS portal
2010	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Fatalities involving large trucks and buses per 100 million vehicle miles traveled
2010	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Number of serious hazardous materials incidents involving large trucks
2010	Safety	Processes and Activities	Security	Average time to resolve a security risk identified in the vulnerability scan
2010	Safety	Technology	Data Standardization or Tagging	Percentage of technical coverage of business concepts
2011	Safety	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Satisfaction score from Federal system users
2011	Safety	Customer Results	Integration	Number of systems that require an independent sign on not automatically provided by the COMPASS portal
2011	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Fatalities involving large trucks and buses per 100 million vehicle miles traveled
2011	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Number of serious hazardous materials incidents involving large trucks
2011	Safety	Processes and Activities	Security	Average time to resolve a security risk identified in the vulnerability scan
2011	Safety	Technology	Data Standardization or Tagging	Percentage of technical coverage of business concepts
2012	Safety	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Satisfaction score from Federal system users
2012	Safety	Customer Results	Integration	Number of systems that require an independent sign on not

				automatically provided by the COMPASS portal
2012	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Fatalities involving large trucks and buses per 100 million vehicle miles traveled
2012	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Number of serious hazardous materials incidents involving large trucks
2012	Safety	Processes and Activities	Security	Average time to resolve a security risk identified in the vulnerability scan
2012	Safety	Technology	Data Standardization or Tagging	Percent of technical coverage of business concepts
2013	Safety	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Satisfaction score from Federal system users
2013	Safety	Customer Results	Integration	Number of systems that require an independent sign on not automatically provided by the COMPASS portal
2013	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Fatalities involving large trucks and buses per 100 million vehicle miles traveled
2013	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Number of serious hazardous materials incidents involving large trucks
2013	Safety	Processes and Activities	Security	Average time to resolve a security risk identified in the vulnerability scan
2013	Safety	Technology	Data Standardization or Tagging	Percentage of technical coverage of business concepts

I.F. Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

Description: In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

I.F.1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?	yes
I.F.1.a. If "no," please explain why? Description: (Up to 2500 characters)	
I.F.2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?	yes
I.F.2.a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. Description: (Up to 500 characters)	FMCSA Modernization Project
I.F.2.b. If "no," please explain why? Description: (Up to 2500 characters)	
I.F.3. Is this investment identified in a completed and approved segment architecture?	yes
I.F.3.a. If "yes," provide the six digit code corresponding to the agency segment architecture. The segment architecture codes are maintained by the agency Chief Architect. For detailed guidance regarding segment architecture codes, please refer to http://www.egov.gov . Description: (In the format "XXX-000")	104-000

I.F.4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table

Description: Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>.

- Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.
- A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.
- 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.
- Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in this column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused - Component Name (b)
-----------------------	------------------------------	----------------------	-----------------------	---

Data Cleansing	Defines the set of capabilities that support the removal of incorrect or unnecessary characters and data from a data source.	Data Management	Data Cleansing	
Data Exchange	Defines the set of capabilities that support the interchange of information between multiple systems or applications.	Data Management	Data Exchange	
Data Integration	Defines the set of capabilities that support the organization of data from separate data sources into a single source using middleware or application integration and the modification of system data models to capture new information within a single system.	Development and Integration	Data Integration	
Software Development	Defines the set of capabilities that support the creation of both graphical and process application or system software.	Development and Integration	Software Development	
Enterprise Application Integration	Defines the set of capabilities that support the redesigning of disparate information systems into one system that uses a common set of data structures and rules.	Development and Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	
Instrumentation and Testing	Defines the set of capabilities that support the validation of application or system capabilities and requirements.	Development and Integration	Instrumentation and Testing	
Legacy Integration	Defines the set of capabilities that support the communication between newer generation hardware/software applications and the previous generation of hardware/software applications.	Development and Integration	Legacy Integration	
Content Publishing and Delivery	Defines the set of capabilities that allow for the propagation of interactive programs.	Content Management	Content Publishing and Delivery	
Classification	Defines the set of capabilities that support the categorization of documents.	Document Management	Classification	
Case Management	Defines the set of capabilities for managing the life cycle of a particular claim or investigation within an organization to include creating, routing, tracing, assignment and closing of a case as well as collaboration among case handlers.	Tracking and Workflow	Case Management	
Task Management	Defines the set of capabilities that support a specific undertaking or function assigned to an employee.	Collaboration	Task Management	
Query	Defines the set of capabilities that support retrieval of records that satisfy specific query selection criteria.	Search	Query	
Access Control	Defines the set of capabilities that support the management of permissions for logging onto a computer or network.	Security Management	Access Control	
Audit Trail Capture and Analysis	Defines the set of capabilities that support the identification and monitoring of activities within an application or system.	Security Management	Audit Trail Capture and Analysis	
Identification and Authentication	Defines the set of capabilities that support obtaining information about those parties attempting to log on to a system or application for security purposes and the validation of those users.	Security Management	Identification and Authentication	
Software Distribution	Defines the set of capabilities that support the propagation, installation and upgrade of written computer programs, applications and components.	Systems Management	Software Distribution	

Contact and Profile Management	Supply capabilities to provide a comprehensive view of all customer interactions, including calls, email, correspondence and meetings; also provides for the maintenance of FMCSA's customer accounts, business and personal information	Customer Relationship Management	Contact and Profile Management	
Change Management	Provide capabilities to control the process for updates or modifications to the existing documents, software or business processes of FMCSA	Management of Processes	Change Management	
Customer and Account Management	Provide capabilities to support the registration and delivery of services to FMCSA clients	Customer Relationship Management	Customer / Account Management	
Configuration Management	Offer capabilities to control the hardware and software environments, as well as documents of FMCSA	Management of Processes	Configuration Management	
Requirements Management	Supply capabilities to gather, analyze, and fulfill the needs and prerequisites of FMCSA efforts	Management of Processes	Requirements Management	
Program / Project Management	Offer capabilities to manage and control FMCSA efforts	Management of Processes	Program / Project Management	
Meta Data Management	Supply capabilities to support the maintenance and administration of FMCSA data that describes data	Data Management	Meta Data Management	
Extraction and Transformation	Offer capabilities to support the manipulation and change of data	Data Management	Extraction and Transformation	
Process Tracking	Provide capabilities to allow the monitoring of activities within the business cycle	Tracking and Workflow	Process Tracking	
Inbound Correspondence	Provide capabilities to manage externally initiated communication between FMCSA and its stakeholders	Routing and Scheduling	Inbound Correspondence Management	
Outbound Correspondence	Provide capabilities to manage internally initiated communication between FMCSA and its stakeholders	Routing and Scheduling	Outbound Correspondence Management	
Analysis and Reports	Provide capabilities to generate reports	Reporting	Ad Hoc	
Analysis and Reports	Provide capabilities to generate reports	Reporting	Ad Hoc	
Document Imaging	Defines the set of capabilities to scan documents and images	Document Management	Document Imaging and OCR	

I.F.5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table

Description: To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

- a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications.
- b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Query	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	Microsoft Internet Explorer
Data Exchange	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	IBM Websphere Portal Server
Identification and Authentication	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Entegrity AssureAccess
Classification	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	IBM Websphere Portal Server
Classification	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	HI Software Acmonitor
Identification and Authentication	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Authentication / Single Sign-on	Entegrity AssureAccess
Data Exchange	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	Vignette Portal Server
Content Publishing and Delivery	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Web Servers	Apache
Software Distribution	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	Sun 440/890

Access Control	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Authentication / Single Sign-on	Entegrity AssureAccess
Audit Trail Capture and Analysis	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	External (ISP/ASP/FirstGov)
Data Cleansing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	External (ISP/ASP/FirstGov)
Data Integration	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	webMethods Integration Server
Case Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Oracle
Enterprise Application Integration	Service Interface and Integration	Interface	Service Description / Interface	webMethods Integration Server
Enterprise Application Integration	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification	webMethods Integration Server
Enterprise Application Integration	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Types / Validation	webMethods Integration Server
Enterprise Application Integration	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Transformation	webMethods Integration Server
Content Publishing and Delivery	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Portal Servers	Vignette Portal Server / IBM WebSphere Portal Server
Task Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Oracle
Instrumentation and Testing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	IBM Rational Application Developer
Legacy Integration	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	webMethods Integration Server
Software Development	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	Eclipse
Customer / Account Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	IBM WebSphere Application Server
Contact and Profile Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	IBM WebSphere Application Server
Change Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Borland StarTeam
Configuration Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Borland StarTeam
Requirements Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Serena
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Microsoft Project Server
Process Tracking	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent Technologies	IBM WebSphere MQ Workflow
Outbound Correspondence Management	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent Technologies	IBM WebSphere MQ Workflow
Meta Data Management	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	IBM Information Server
Extraction and Transformation	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	IBM Information Server
Inbound Correspondence Management	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent Technologies	IBM WebSphere MQ Workflow
Document Imaging and OCR	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Dependent Technologies	Identitech FYI Workflow (EDMS)
Standardized / Canned	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent Technologies	TBD
Ad Hoc	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent Technologies	TBD
OLAP	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent Technologies	TBD

I.F.6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (e.g. USA.gov, Pay.gov, etc.)? yes

I.F.6.a. If "yes," please describe.
Description: (Up to 2500 characters)

COMPASS will leverage the cross-government payment solution, i.e. Pay.gov web-site, for handling penalties determined during safety events and processed through case enforcement. COMPASS will also leverage the Federal e-Authentication solution, as part of overall DOT efforts. The COMPASS Portal / SSO is certified for e-Authentication, and FMCSA will continue to fully align with the overall DOT's e-Authentication plan and efforts.

Part IV: Planning for "Multi-Agency Collaboration" ONLY

Description: Part IV should be completed only for investments identified as an E-Gov initiative, a Line of Business (LOB) Initiative, or a Multi-Agency Collaboration effort. The "Multi-Agency Collaboration" choice should be selected in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above. Investments identified as "Multi-Agency Collaboration" will complete only Parts I and IV of the exhibit 300.

IV.A. Multi-Agency Collaboration Oversight (All Capital Assets)

Description: Multi-agency Collaborations, such as E-Gov and LOB initiatives, should develop a joint exhibit 300.

<p>IV.A.1. Stakeholder Table Description: As a joint exhibit 300, please identify all the agency stakeholders (all participating agencies, this should not be limited to agencies with financial commitment). All agency stakeholders should be listed regardless of approval. If the partner agency has approved this joint exhibit 300 please provide the date of approval.</p>	
<p>IV.A.9. Will the selected alternative replace a legacy system in-part or in-whole?</p>	
<p>IV.A.9.a. If "yes," are the migration costs associated with the migration to the selected alternative included in this investment, the legacy investment, or in a separate migration investment?</p>	
<p>IV.A.9.b. If "yes," please provide the following information:</p>	