Exhibit 300 FY2010

FAAXX710: Regulation and Certification Infrastructure for System Safety (RCISS/AVS)

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Description: In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

I.A. Overview (All Capital Assets)

Description: The following series of questions are to be completed for all investments.

I.A.1. Date of Submission:	2008-12-09
I.A.2. Agency:	021
I.A.3. Bureau:	12
I.A.4. Name of this Capital Asset: Description: (Up to 250 characters)	FAAXX710: Regulation and Certification Infrastructure for System Safety (RCISS/AVS)
I.A.5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: Description: For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.	021-12-02-00-01-1020-00
I.A.6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2010? Description: Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2010, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2010 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.	Mixed Life Cycle

I.A.8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:
Description: (Up to 2500 characters)

RCISS addresses the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Office of Aviation Safety (AVS) need to design and implement its next generation enterprise IT infrastructure. There are two primary factors that are driving this modernization: 1) cost effective and reliable technology exists to allow the AVS workforce to be more mobile and to improve infrastructure capacity, performance, and reliability, and 2) there have been significant leaps in industry business processes, practices and technology since the last IT infrastructure baseline within AVS. This gives RCISS the opportunity to reduce IT costs and improve the availability of disaster recovery systems. RCISS will provide the IT infrastructure to ensure the workforce's ability to carry out certification, surveillance, drug abatement and investigative functions from any location. Further, this infrastructure will support new business processes and systems developed under the System Approach for Safety Oversight (SASO) and Aviation Safety Knowledge Management Environment (ASKME) programs. The RCISS efforts support the FAA Flight Plan goals of Increased Safety and Organizational Excellence. The RCISS Program currently comprises thirteen (13) projects to best address the specialization of various components within the predecessor and future IT infrastructures. As the AVS workforce is large, RCISS adopts a phased approach to implement new technologies over 2 to 6 year cycles, which are defined by the anticipated life expectancy of the technology. After the initial deployments in the initial cycles, subsequent "tech refresh" deployments will occur in subsequent cycles throughout the entire lifecycle of the program. This strategy has been adopted to minimize the transition costs and risks, and maximize the long term benefits of a more modern IT infrastructure. Solution Implementation began in Oct 2007 (the beginning of fiscal year 2008), and is planned on a 16 year life-cycle. On September 26, 2008, the JRC approved the FY10 and FY11 baseline. In FY10 RCISS will continue to be a mixed-life cycle investment with maintenance continuing to support the current infrastructure and acquisition enhancing and integrating new IT equipment for the more effective next generation enterprise IT infrastructure.

I.A.9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?	yes
I.A.9.a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?	2008-09-26
I.A.10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?	yes
I.A.12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project?	yes
I.A.12.a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?	yes
I.A.12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)	no
I.A.12.b.1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?	
I.A.12.b.2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?	
I.A.12.b.3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?	
I.A.13. Does this investment directly support any of the PMA initiatives?	no
I.A.13.a. If "yes," select all that apply:	
I.A.13.b. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-	

Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?) Description: (Up to 500 characters)	
I.A.14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? Description: (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)	yes
I.A.14.a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review?	no
I.A.14.b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?	10002246 - FAA Aviation Safety
I.A.14.c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?	Moderately Effective
I.A.15. Is this investment for information technology?	yes
I.A.16 What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) Description: Level 1 - Projects with low-to-moderate complexity and risk. Example: Bureau-level project such as a stand-alone information system that has low- to-moderate complexity and risk. Level 2 - Projects with high complexity and/or risk which are critical to the mission of the organization. Examples: Projects that are part of a portfolio of projects/systems that impact each other and/or impact mission activities. Department-wide projects that impact cross-organizational missions, such as an agency-wide system integration that includes large scale Enterprise Resource Planning (e.g., the DoD Business Mgmt Modernization Program). Level 3 - Projects that have high complexity, and/or risk, and have government-wide impact. Examples: Government-wide initiative (E-GOV, President's Management Agenda). High interest projects with Congress, GAO, OMB, or the general public. Cross-cutting initiative (Homeland Security).	Level 2
I.A.17. In addition to the answer in 1.A.11.d, what project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)	(2) Project manager qualification is under review for this investment
I.A.18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4-FY 2008 agency high risk report? (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)	yes
I.A.19. Is this a financial management system?	no
I.A.19.a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?	
I.A.19.a.1. If "yes," which compliance area: Description: (Up to 250 characters)	
I.A.19.a.2. If "no," what does it address? Description: (Up to 500 characters)	
I.A.19.b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52 Description: (Up to 2500 characters)	
I.A.20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2010 funding request for the following? Description: (This should total 100%)	
I.A.20.a. Hardware	37
I.A.20.b. Software	25
I.A.20.c. Services	38
I.A.20.d. Other	0
I.A.21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?	n/a
I.A.23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?	no
I.A.24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas?	no

I.B. Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

I.B.1 Summary of Spending Table

Description: Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long-term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this

report.

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

I.B.1.a. Summary of Spending for Project Phases

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2008	CY 2009	BY 2010
Planning	$2.290	$0.000	$0.000	$0.000
Acquisition	$3.500	$14.900	$18.900	$10.500
Subtotal Planning and Acquisition	$5.790	$14.900	$18.900	$10.500
Operations and Maintenance	$0.000	$12.810	$11.865	$12.617
TOTAL	$5.790	$27.710	$30.765	$23.117
Government FTE Costs	$0.980	$21.637	$21.610	$21.525

I.B.1.b. Summary of Spending for Project Phases (Government FTE Costs Only)

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2008	CY 2009	BY 2010
Number of FTE represented by cost	8	229	217	205

I.B.2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?	no
I.B.2.a. If "yes," How many and in what year? Description: (Up to 500 characters)	

I.B.3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2009 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:
Description: (Up to 2500 characters)

No funds are shown in the Summary of Spending table Planning row because Planning funds are included in the contracts that are part of the baselined acquisition funding. The contracts table in Section 1.C. identifies contracts that include planning dollars. On September 26, 2008, the JRC approved the FY10 and FY11 baseline.

I.D. Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

I.D.1. Performance Information Table

Description: In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond the next President's Budget.

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator
2005	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Access	Number of external users accessing AVS EGOV systems using Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) and other shared infrastructure services.
2005	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Information Management	No. of hrs to restore critical and non-critical systems during a catastrophic event at the Data Center. AVS requirement is for all critical systems to be restored within 2 days and for non-critical systems to be restored within 5 days of an event.
2005	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Number of hours needed by AVS safety workforce (totaling approximately 5,245 employees) to address backlog of work after being out of the office (travel, field work, etc.), as caused by IT equipment and

				services that do not meet user requirements.
2005	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	The hours lost per month by each safety worker (totaling approx. 5,245 employees) while accessing, manipulating, analyzing, or creating reports.
2005	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Interoperability	Number of AVS national systems to be consolidated into the AVS Data Center (DC). Consolidation refers to physical consolidation of sys from other hosting facilities to the DC or the consolidation of sys onto shared server environments within the DC.
2006	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Access	Number of external users accessing AVS EGOV systems using Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) and other shared infrastructure services.
2006	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Information Management	No. of hrs to restore critical and non-critical systems during a catastrophic event at the Data Center. AVS requirement is for all critical systems to be restored within 2 days and for non-critical systems to be restored within 5 days of an event.
2006	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Number of hours needed by AVS safety workforce (totaling approximately 5,245 employees) to address backlog of work after being out of the office (travel, field work, etc.), as caused by IT equipment and services that do not meet user requirements.
2006	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	The hours lost per month by each safety worker (totaling approx. 5,245 employees) while accessing, manipulating, analyzing, or creating reports.
2006	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Interoperability	Number of AVS national systems to be consolidated into the AVS Data Center (DC). Consolidation refers to physical consolidation of sys from other hosting facilities to the DC or the consolidation of sys onto shared server environments within the DC.
2007	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Access	Number of external users accessing AVS EGOV systems using Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) and other shared infrastructure services.
2007	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Information Management	No. of hrs to restore critical and non-critical systems during a catastrophic event at the Data Center. AVS requirement is for all critical systems to be restored within 2 days and for non-critical systems to be restored within 5 days of an event.
2007	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Number of hours needed by AVS safety workforce (totaling approximately 5,245 employees) to address backlog of work after being out of the office (travel, field work, etc.), as caused by IT equipment and services that do not meet user requirements.
2007	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	The hours lost per month by each safety worker (totaling approx. 5,245 employees) while accessing, manipulating, analyzing, or creating reports.

2007	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Interoperability	Number of AVS national systems to be consolidated into the AVS Data Center (DC). Consolidation refers to physical consolidation of sys from other hosting facilities to the DC or the consolidation of sys onto shared server environments within the DC.
2008	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Access	Number of external users accessing AVS EGOV systems using Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) and other shared infrastructure services.
2008	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Information Management	No. of hrs to restore critical and non-critical systems during a catastrophic event at the Data Center. AVS requirement is for all critical systems to be restored within 2 days and for non-critical systems to be restored within 5 days of an event.
2008	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Number of hours needed by AVS safety workforce (totaling approximately 5,245 employees) to address backlog of work after being out of the office (travel, field work, etc.), as caused by IT equipment and services that do not meet user requirements.
2008	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	The hours lost per month by each safety worker (totaling approx. 5,245 employees) while accessing, manipulating, analyzing, or creating reports.
2008	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Interoperability	No. of AVS national systems to be consolidated into the AVS Data Center (DC). Consolidation refers to physical consolidation of sys from other hosting facilities to the DC or the consolidation of sys onto shared server environments within the DC.
2009	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Access	Number of external users accessing AVS EGOV systems using Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) and other shared infrastructure services.
2009	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Information Management	No. of hrs to restore critical and non-critical systems during a catastrophic event at the Data Center. AVS requirement is for all critical systems to be restored within 2 days and for non-critical systems to be restored within 5 days of an event.
2009	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Number of hours needed by AVS safety workforce (totaling approximately 5,245 employees) to address backlog of work after being out of the office (travel, field work, etc.), as caused by IT equipment and services that do not meet user requirements.
2009	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	The hours lost per month by each safety worker (totaling approx. 5,245 employees) while accessing, manipulating, analyzing, or creating reports.
2009	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Interoperability	No. of AVS national systems to be consolidated into the AVS Data Center (DC). Consolidation refers to physical consolidation of sys from other hosting facilities to the DC or the consolidation of sys onto shared server environments

				within the DC.
2010	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Access	Number of external users accessing AVS EGOV systems using Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) and other shared infrastructure services.
2010	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Information Management	No. of hrs to restore critical and non-critical systems during a catastrophic event at the Data Center. AVS requirement is for all critical systems to be restored within 2 days and for non-critical systems to be restored within 5 days of an event.
2010	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Number of hours needed by AVS safety workforce (totaling approximately 5,245 employees) to address backlog of work after being out of the office (travel, field work, etc.), as caused by IT equipment and services that do not meet user requirements.
2010	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	The hours lost per month by each safety worker (totaling approx. 5,245 employees) while accessing, manipulating, analyzing, or creating reports.
2010	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Interoperability	No. of AVS national systems to be consolidated into the AVS Data Center (DC). Consolidation refers to physical consolidation of sys from other hosting facilities to the DC or the consolidation of sys onto shared server environments within the DC.
2011	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Access	Number of external users accessing AVS EGOV systems using Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) and other shared infrastructure services.
2011	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Information Management	No. of hrs to restore critical and non-critical systems during a catastrophic event at the Data Center. AVS requirement is for all critical systems to be restored within 2 days and for non-critical systems to be restored within 5 days of an event.
2011	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Number of hours needed by AVS safety workforce (totaling approximately 5,245 employees) to address backlog of work after being out of the office (travel, field work, etc.), as caused by IT equipment and services that do not meet user requirements.
2011	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	The hours lost per month by each safety worker (totaling approx. 5,245 employees) while accessing, manipulating, analyzing, or creating reports.
2011	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Interoperability	No. of AVS national systems to be consolidated into the AVS Data Center (DC). Consolidation refers to physical consolidation of sys from other hosting facilities to the DC or the consolidation of sys onto shared server environments within the DC.
2012	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Access	Number of external users accessing AVS EGOV systems using Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) and other shared infrastructure services.
2012	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Information Management	No. of hrs to restore critical and

				non-critical systems during a catastrophic event at the Data Center. AVS requirement is for all critical systems to be restored within 2 days and for non-critical systems to be restored within 5 days of an event.
2012	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Number of hours needed by AVS safety workforce (totaling approximately 5,245 employees) to address backlog of work after being out of the office (travel, field work, etc.), as caused by IT equipment and services that do not meet user requirements.
2012	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	The hours lost per month by each safety worker (totaling approx. 5,245 employees) while accessing, manipulating, analyzing, or creating reports.
2012	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Interoperability	No. of AVS national systems to be consolidated into the AVS Data Center (DC). Consolidation refers to physical consolidation of sys from other hosting facilities to the DC or the consolidation of sys onto shared server environments within the DC.
2013	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Access	Number of external users accessing AVS EGOV systems using Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) and other shared infrastructure services.
2013	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Information Management	No. of hrs to restore critical and non-critical systems during a catastrophic event at the Data Center. AVS requirement is for all critical systems to be restored within 2 days and for non-critical systems to be restored within 5 days of an event.
2013	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Number of hours needed by AVS safety workforce (totaling approximately 5,245 employees) to address backlog of work after being out of the office (travel, field work, etc.), as caused by IT equipment and services that do not meet user requirements.
2013	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	The hours lost per month by each safety worker (totaling approx. 5,245 employees) while accessing, manipulating, analyzing, or creating reports.
2013	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Interoperability	No. of AVS national systems to be consolidated into the AVS Data Center (DC). Consolidation refers to physical consolidation of sys from other hosting facilities to the DC or the consolidation of sys onto shared server environments within the DC.

I.F. Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

Description: In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

I.F.1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?	yes
I.F.1.a. If "no," please explain why? Description: (Up to 2500 characters)	

I.F.2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?	yes
I.F.2.a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. Description: (Up to 500 characters)	RCISS - Regulation and Certification Infrastructure for System Safety
I.F.2.b. If "no," please explain why? Description: (Up to 2500 characters)	
I.F.3. Is this investment identified in a completed and approved segment architecture?	yes
I.F.3.a. If "yes," provide the six digit code corresponding to the agency segment architecture. The segment architecture codes are maintained by the agency Chief Architect. For detailed guidance regarding segment architecture codes, please refer to http://www.egov.gov. Description: (In the format "XXX-000")	104-000

I.F.4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table

Description: Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov.

a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.
b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.
c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.
d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in this column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused - Component Name (b)
Information Sharing	Defines the set of capabilities that support the use of documents and data in a multi-user environment for use by an organization and its stakeholders.	Knowledge Management	Information Sharing	
Information Retrieval	Defines the set of capabilities that allow access to data and information for use by an organization and its stakeholders.	Knowledge Management	Information Retrieval	
Process Tracking	Allow the monitoring of activities within the business cycle.	Tracking and Workflow	Process Tracking	
Document Imaging and OCR	Support the scanning of documents.	Document Management	Document Imaging and OCR	
Library / Storage	Support document and data warehousing and archiving.	Document Management	Library / Storage	
Asset Cataloging / Identification	Support the transfer of knowledge to the end customer.	Asset / Materials Management	Asset Cataloging / Identification	
Case Management	Manage the life cycle of a particular claim or investigation within an organization to include creating, routing, tracing, assignment and closing of a case as well as collaboration among case handlers.	Tracking and Workflow	Case Management	
Mathematical	Support the formulation and mathematical analysis of probabilistic models for random phenomena and the development and investigation of methods and principles for statistical inference.	Analysis and Statistics	Mathematical	
Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Sharing information/knowledge on safety issues and business processes	Knowledge Management	Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	
Decision Support and Planning	Support the analysis of information and predict the impact of decisions before they are made.	Business Intelligence	Decision Support and Planning	
Business Rule Management	Manage the enterprise	Management of Processes	Business Rule Management	

	processes that support an organization and its policies.			
Requirements Management	Gather, analyze and fulfill the needs and prerequisites of an organizations efforts.	Management of Processes	Requirements Management	
Risk Management	Support the identification and probabilities or chances of hazards as they relate to a task, decision or long-term goal; includes risk assessment and risk mitigation.	Management of Processes	Risk Management	
Customer Feedback	Is used to collect, analyze and handle comments and feedback from an organizations customers.	Customer Relationship Management	Customer Feedback	

I.F.5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table

Description: To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications.
b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Asset Cataloging / Identification	Component Framework	Data Interchange	Data Exchange	Microsoft Office Sharepoint Services (MOSS 3.0)
Asset Cataloging / Identification	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	Microsoft Office Sharepoint Services (MOSS 3.0)
Asset Cataloging / Identification	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	Microsoft Office Sharepoint Services (MOSS 3.0)
Asset Cataloging / Identification	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	TCP/IP v4 - following FAA transition to v6
Asset Cataloging / Identification	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	Microsoft Office Sharepoint Services (MOSS 3.0)
Case Management	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	TBD - determined during project design phase
Case Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	MS Internet Explorer
Case Management	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Internet	FAA FTI network access to/from WWW
Case Management	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	FAA AVS Infrastructure (over FAA FTI network)
Case Management	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Virtual Private Network (VPN)	FRAC VPN Client version .4.8 on AVS Standard Client 2.0
Case Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Authentication / Single Sign-on	V-Go Single Sign-On version unavailable
Case Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Section 508
Case Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Security
Case Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	TCP/IP v4 - following FAA transition to v6
Case Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	TBD - determined during project design phase
Case Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Web Servers	TBD - determined during project design phase
Document Imaging and OCR	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	TBD - depending on EFS-HS scanning contract
Document Imaging and OCR	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	MS Internet Explorer
Document Imaging and OCR	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	FAA AVS Infrastructure (over FAA FTI network)
Document Imaging and OCR	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Virtual Private Network (VPN)	FRAC VPN Client version .4.8 on AVS Standard Client 2.0
Document Imaging and OCR	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	TCP/IP v4 - following FAA transition to v6
Document Imaging and OCR	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Microsoft Office Sharepoint Services (MOSS 3.0)
Document Imaging and OCR	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage	Microsoft Office Sharepoint Services (MOSS 3.0)
Document Imaging and OCR	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Peripherals	Scanners - model/version TBD
Library / Storage	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	EFS-A (User Interface for EFS) version 1.0
Library / Storage	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	Microsoft Office Sharepoint

				Services (MOSS) on .NET 3.0
Library / Storage	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	TCP/IP v4 - following FAA transition to v6
Library / Storage	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Microsoft Office Sharepoint Services (MOSS 3.0)
Library / Storage	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage	Microsoft Office Sharepoint Services (MOSS 3.0)
Mathematical	Component Framework	Data Interchange	Data Exchange	TBD during project design phase
Mathematical	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	TBD during project design phase
Mathematical	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	TBD during project design phase
Mathematical	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	TBD during project design phase
Mathematical	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	TCP/IP v4 - following FAA transition to v6
Mathematical	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	TBD during project design phase
Process Tracking	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	TBD during project design phase.
Process Tracking	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	MS Internet Explorer
Process Tracking	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Internet	FAA FTI network access to/from WWW
Process Tracking	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Internet	FAA AVS Infrastructure (over FAA FTI network)
Process Tracking	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Virtual Private Network (VPN)	FRAC VPN Client version .4.8 on AVS Standard Client 2.0
Process Tracking	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Authentication / Single Sign-on	V-Go Single Sign On - version unavailable
Process Tracking	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Section 508
Process Tracking	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Security
Process Tracking	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	TCP/IP v4 - following FAA transition to v6
Process Tracking	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	TBD - determined during project design phase
Process Tracking	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Web Servers	TBD - determined during project design phase
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Wireless / Mobile	TBD
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	FAA Standard
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	FAA Standard
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	TBD
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Virtual Private Network (VPN)	TBD
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Authentication / Single Sign-on	V-Go Single Sign-On version unavailable
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage	TBD
Decision Support and Planning	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	TBD
Requirements Management	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	TBD
Decision Support and Planning	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	TBD
Customer Feedback	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	TBD
Decision Support and Planning	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	FAA AVS Infrastructure (over FAA FTI Network)
Risk Management	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	FAA AVS Infrastructure (over FAA FTI Network)
Business Rule Management	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	FAA AVS Infrastructure (over FAA FTI Network)
Requirements Management	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	FAA AVS Infrastructure (over FAA FTI Network)
Decision Support and Planning	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Wireless / Mobile	TBD
Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	TBD - depending on EFS Application
Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	MS Internet Explorer
Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	FAA AVS Infrastructure (over FAA FTI network)
Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Virtual Private Network (VPN)	FRAC VPN Client version .4.8 on AVS Standard Client 2.0
Knowledge Distribution and	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	TCP/IP v4 - following FAA

Delivery				transition to v6
Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Microsoft Office Sharepoint Services (MOSS 3.0)
Knowledge Distribution and Delivery	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage	Microsoft Office Sharepoint Services (MOSS 3.0)

I.F.6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (e.g. USA.gov, Pay.gov, etc.)?	no
I.F.6.a. If "yes," please describe. Description: (Up to 2500 characters)	

Part IV: Planning for "Multi-Agency Collaboration" ONLY

Description: Part IV should be completed only for investments identified as an E-Gov initiative, a Line of Business (LOB) Initiative, or a Multi-Agency Collaboration effort. The "Multi-Agency Collaboration" choice should be selected in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above. Investments identified as "Multi-Agency Collaboration" will complete only Parts I and IV of the exhibit 300.

IV.A. Multi-Agency Collaboration Oversight (All Capital Assets)

Description: Multi-agency Collaborations, such as E-Gov and LOB initiatives, should develop a joint exhibit 300.

IV.A.1. Stakeholder Table Description: As a joint exhibit 300, please identify all the agency stakeholders (all participating agencies, this should not be limited to agencies with financial commitment). All agency stakeholders should be listed regardless of approval. If the partner agency has approved this joint exhibit 300 please provide the date of approval.	
IV.A.9. Will the selected alternative replace a legacy system in-part or in-whole?	
IV.A.9.a. If "yes," are the migration costs associated with the migration to the selected alternative included in this investment, the legacy investment, or in a separate migration investment?	
IV.A.9.b. If "yes," please provide the following information:	