

Exhibit 300 FY2010

DOTXX099: Intermodal Hazardous Materials DBMS

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Description: In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

I.A. Overview (All Capital Assets)

Description: The following series of questions are to be completed for all investments.

I.A.1. Date of Submission:	2008-08-20
I.A.2. Agency:	021
I.A.3. Bureau:	04
I.A.4. Name of this Capital Asset: Description: (Up to 250 characters)	DOTXX099: Intermodal Hazardous Materials DBMS
I.A.5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: Description: For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.	021-04-01-14-01-1010-00
I.A.6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2010? Description: Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2010, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2010 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.	Mixed Life Cycle
I.A.8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap: Description: (Up to 2500 characters)	<p>DOT needs to improve their hazmat programs by utilizing a unified risk-based data-driven approach to identify high risk/consequence companies and to drive business decisions, rule makings, and litigation activities. This approach will allow DOT to increase safety performance thru risk-based enforcement; maximize limited resources by prioritizing inspection activities; strengthen cross modal, state, and local collaboration; and increase the effectiveness of outreach/training/emergency preparedness. There are over 1M daily hazmat shipments by 300K hazmat companies. 4 DOT Agencies and US Coast Guard (USCG) have hazmat safety LOB. 663 federal/state hazmat inspectors complete over 26K inspections/yr. Each agency within DOT approaches its hazmat responsibility differently. Reviews by GAO, DOT IG, and OMB PART advised hazmat inspectors share data to properly identify high risk/consequence companies before serious incidents occur. The original Intermodal HazDB created by FAA selected companies based on civil penalties or incidents (using only FAA data). The system was enhanced to address weaknesses cited by GAO and OMB and to include cross-modal data. However, several of its data sets are not current or standardized resulting in an incomplete safety history across DOT and prohibiting DOT-wide risk ratings and the effective use of BI tools. Using an enterprise approach DOT is developing the Intermodal Hazmat Intelligence Portal (HIP) to warehouse all hazmat data collected by DOT and its partners, e.g. USCG, TSA, state/local. Through data sharing and business intelligence (BI) tools, DOT will make strategic and operational decisions benefiting from capabilities that were not possible in the past, e.g. pattern matching to identify trends, leading indicators to pinpoint potential incidents. Through partnerships, the investment will produce a DOT-wide risk rating for each regulated company and complete inspection histories by using data from Federal/ State/commercial sources. It will reduce time required to plan inspections thru automated scorecards and itinerary planning. Collaboration tools will limit duplicate inspections and coordinate outreach to industry/public. The investment will support DOT's goal to reduce serious incidents and the rate of recidivism. This is an eBusiness initiative that supports the PMA and other eGov initiatives, e.g. Information Sharing Environment. The HIP data warehouse will be operational by 10/1/2008.</p>
I.A.9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?	yes
I.A.9.a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?	2008-05-28
I.A.10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?	yes
I.A.12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project?	yes
I.A.12.a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?	yes
I.A.12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)	no
I.A.12.b.1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?	
I.A.12.b.2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?	
I.A.12.b.3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?	
I.A.13. Does this investment directly support any of the PMA initiatives?	no
I.A.13.a. If "yes," select all that apply:	

I.A.13.b. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?) Description: (Up to 500 characters)	
I.A.14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? Description: (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part .)	yes
I.A.14.a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review?	yes
I.A.14.b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?	10004005 - Hazardous Materials Transportation Safety
I.A.14.c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?	Effective
I.A.15. Is this investment for information technology?	yes
I.A.16 What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) Description: Level 1 - Projects with low-to-moderate complexity and risk. Example: Bureau-level project such as a stand-alone information system that has low- to-moderate complexity and risk. Level 2 - Projects with high complexity and/or risk which are critical to the mission of the organization. Examples: Projects that are part of a portfolio of projects/systems that impact each other and/or impact mission activities. Department-wide projects that impact cross-organizational missions, such as an agency-wide system integration that includes large scale Enterprise Resource Planning (e.g., the DoD Business Mgmt Modernization Program). Level 3 - Projects that have high complexity, and/or risk, and have government-wide impact. Examples: Government-wide initiative (E-GOV, President's Management Agenda). High interest projects with Congress, GAO, OMB, or the general public. Cross-cutting initiative (Homeland Security).	Level 1
I.A.17. In addition to the answer in 1.A.11.d, what project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)	(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment
I.A.18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4-FY 2008 agency high risk report? (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)	no
I.A.19. Is this a financial management system?	no
I.A.19.a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?	
I.A.19.a.1. If "yes," which compliance area: Description: (Up to 250 characters)	
I.A.19.a.2. If "no," what does it address? Description: (Up to 500 characters)	
I.A.19.b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52 Description: (Up to 2500 characters)	
I.A.20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2010 funding request for the following? Description: (This should total 100%)	
I.A.20.a. Hardware	0
I.A.20.b. Software	4
I.A.20.c. Services	96
I.A.20.d. Other	0
I.A.21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?	n/a
I.A.23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?	yes
I.A.24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas?	no

I.B. Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

I.B.1 Summary of Spending Table

Description: Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition,"

and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long-term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

I.B.1.a. Summary of Spending for Project Phases

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2008	CY 2009	BY 2010
Planning	\$0.548	\$0.127	\$0.131	\$0.134
Acquisition	\$0.611	\$1.703	\$1.439	\$1.400
Subtotal Planning and Acquisition	\$1.159	\$1.830	\$1.570	\$1.534
Operations and Maintenance	\$3.584	\$0.570	\$0.829	\$0.967
TOTAL	\$4.743	\$2.400	\$2.399	\$2.501
Government FTE Costs	\$0.377	\$0.261	\$0.290	\$0.301

I.B.1.b. Summary of Spending for Project Phases (Government FTE Costs Only)

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2008	CY 2009	BY 2010
Number of FTE represented by cost	6	6	6	6

I.B.2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? no

I.B.2.a. If "yes," How many and in what year?

Description: (Up to 500 characters)

I.B.3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2009 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:

Description: (Up to 2500 characters)

At the time of the FY 09 budget request, the investment lifecycle costs were based on estimated costs from market research and information obtained through the RFI process. Upon receiving the cost proposals and selecting a vendor, the actual costs for the project were greater than the original estimate primarily due to some unknown information regarding the complexity of the data sources to be integrated and the firm fixed price requirement.

I.D. Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

I.D.1. Performance Information Table

Description: In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond the next President's Budget.

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator
2006	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Cycle Time	Decrease the time it takes to load registration data into HZDB
2006	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Internal Data Sharing	Increase the number of automated processes by using technology to reduce manual time-consuming processes and allow stovepiped data to be available for data sharing/collaboration among DOT modes.
2006	Safety	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Increase customer satisfaction and service quality.
2006	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Workforce Planning	Increase the average number of queries made using Intermodal's risk assessment tools to identify high risk carriers/shippers ensuring

				scarce DOT inspectors are used wisely.
2007	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Internal Data Sharing	Increase the number of automated processes by using technology to reduce manual time-consuming processes and allow stovepiped data to be available for data sharing/collaboration among DOT modes.
2007	Safety	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Increase customer satisfaction and service quality
2007	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Workforce Planning	Increase the use of Intermodal's risk assessment queries and collaboration/scheduling tools to improve the scheduling of scarce DOT inspection resources.
2007	Safety	Processes and Activities	Participation	Increase the number of modes/agencies providing data for consideration by the Intermodal decision support tool (improved data for risk index)
2008	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Internal Data Sharing	Increase the number of automated processes by using technology to reduce manual time-consuming processes and allow stovepiped data to be available for data sharing/collaboration among DOT modes.
2008	Safety	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Increase customer satisfaction and service quality
2008	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Program Monitoring	Reduce the number of pipeline incidents for gas and hazardous liquid pipelines.
2008	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Workforce Planning	Decrease the number of duplicate inspections across DOT agencies
2008	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Increase the % of high risk companies inspected
2008	Safety	Processes and Activities	Compliance	Reduce the percent of recidivism of non-compliant shippers across all modes
2009	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Internal Data Sharing	Increase the number of automated processes by using technology to reduce manual time-consuming processes and allow stovepiped data to be available for data sharing/collaboration among DOT modes.
2009	Safety	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Increase customer satisfaction and service quality.
2009	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Program Monitoring	Reduce the number of serious pipeline incidents for natural gas and hazardous liquid pipelines per year
2009	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Workforce Planning	Decrease the number of duplicate inspections across DOT agencies.
2009	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Reduce serious incidents per ton shipped for hazardous materials
2009	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Increase the % of high risk companies inspected
2009	Safety	Processes and Activities	Compliance	Reduce the percent of recidivism of non-compliant shippers across all modes
2010	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Internal Data Sharing	Integrate 100% of PHP data sets without having to recode applications
2010	Safety	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Increase customer satisfaction and service quality.
2010	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Program Monitoring	Reduce the number of serious pipeline incidents for natural gas and hazardous liquid pipelines per year

2010	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Workforce Planning	Decrease the number of duplicate inspections across DOT agencies.
2010	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Reduce serious incidents per ton shipped for hazardous materials
2010	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Ground Transportation	Increase the % of high risk companies inspected
2010	Safety	Processes and Activities	Compliance	Reduce the percent of recidivism of non-compliant shippers across all modes

I.F. Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

Description: In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

I.F.1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?	yes
I.F.1.a. If "no," please explain why? Description: (Up to 2500 characters)	
I.F.2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?	yes
I.F.2.a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. Description: (Up to 500 characters)	Intermodal Hazardous Materials DBMS
I.F.2.b. If "no," please explain why? Description: (Up to 2500 characters)	
I.F.3. Is this investment identified in a completed and approved segment architecture?	yes
I.F.3.a. If "yes," provide the six digit code corresponding to the agency segment architecture. The segment architecture codes are maintained by the agency Chief Architect. For detailed guidance regarding segment architecture codes, please refer to http://www.egov.gov . Description: (In the format "XXX-000")	101-000

I.F.4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table

Description: Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>.

- Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.
- A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.
- 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.
- Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in this column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused - Component Name (b)
Data Warehouse	Central repository that will hold data on hazardous materials shippers/carriers from at least 4 different DOT agencies	Reporting	Ad Hoc	
Risk Index Component	This component will utilize the enforcement, inspection, and outreach data sets to define a risk index for each company, as well as an overall risk index for the Department.	Analysis and Statistics	Mathematical	
Enforcement Component	This component will hold information on all hazardous materials enforcement cases within DOT. Agencies will be able to view a company's current and past enforcement cases from all modes.	Business Intelligence	Decision Support and Planning	
Data Warehouse	Central repository that will hold data on hazardous materials	Data Management	Data Warehouse	

	shippers/carriers from at least 4 different DOT agencies			
Data Warehouse	Central repository that will hold data on hazardous materials shippers/carriers from at least 4 different DOT agencies	Reporting	Standardized / Canned	
Data Warehouse	Central repository that will hold data on hazardous materials shippers/carriers from at least 4 different DOT agencies	Development and Integration	Data Integration	
Data Warehouse	Central repository that will hold data on hazardous materials shippers/carriers from at least 4 different DOT agencies	Search	Query	
Enforcement component	This component will hold information on all hazardous materials enforcement cases within DOT. Agencies will be able to view a company?s current and past enforcement cases from all modes.	Management of Processes	Business Rule Management	
Enforcement component	This component will hold information on all hazardous materials enforcement cases within DOT. Agencies will be able to view a company?s current and past enforcement cases from all modes.	Management of Processes	Risk Management	
Enforcement component	This component will hold information on all hazardous materials enforcement cases within DOT. Agencies will be able to view a company?s current and past enforcement cases from all modes.	Knowledge Management	Information Retrieval	
Inspection component	This component will hold information on all hazardous materials inspections that have occurred or are planned within DOT. Agencies will be able to view results of past inspections.	Knowledge Management	Information Retrieval	
Inspection component	This component will hold information on all hazardous materials inspections that have occurred or are planned within DOT. Agencies will be able to view results of past inspections.	Management of Processes	Business Rule Management	
Inspection component	This component will hold information on all hazardous materials inspections that have occurred or are planned within DOT. Agencies will be able to view results of past inspections.	Management of Processes	Risk Management	
Risk Index Component	This component will utilize the enforcement, inspection, and outreach data sets to define a risk index for each company, as well as an overall risk index for the Department.	Knowledge Management	Information Retrieval	
Risk Index component	This component will utilize the enforcement, inspection, and outreach data sets to define a risk index for each company, as well as an overall risk index for the Department.	Human Capital / Workforce Management	Resource Planning and Allocation	
Risk Index component	This component will utilize the enforcement, inspection, and outreach data sets to define a risk index for each company, as well as an overall risk index for the Department.	Human Capital / Workforce Management	Workforce Acquisition / Optimization	
Outreach component	This component will measure the effectiveness of Outreach programs by comparing a companies compliance / incident / recidivism record against DOT's history of outreach activities.	Knowledge Management	Information Retrieval	
Outreach component	This component will measure the effectiveness of Outreach programs by comparing a	Knowledge Management	Information Sharing	

	companies compliance / incident / recidivism record against DOT's history of outreach activities.			
Company Identification and Tracking	Component provides a standard company identifier that will be used across DOT for tracking companies, branches, office, or subsidiaries	Knowledge Management	Information Mapping / Taxonomy	Information Mapping / Taxonomy
Company Identification and Tracking	Provide a standard company identifier that will be used across DOT for tracking companies, branches, office, or subsidiaries	Knowledge Management	Categorization	Categorization
Data Mining	Allow DOT to analyze data from different perspectives and summarize it into useful information by finding correlations or patterns among dozens of fields in the database.	Knowledge Discovery	Data Mining	
Web Portal	Provide one-stop access to all Intermodal data and information on hazardous material shippers/carriers	Knowledge Management	Information Retrieval	
Web Portal	Provide one-stop access to all Intermodal data and information on hazardous material shippers/carriers	Knowledge Management	Information Sharing	
Web Portal	Provide one-stop access to all Intermodal data and information on hazardous material shippers/carriers	Visualization	Graphing / Charting	
Mapping component	Planning for resource allocation for planning activities and improve analysis of where accidents are occurring to protect people and the environment. This component will use existing data from the PHMSA National Pipeline Mapping System (NPMS).	Visualization	Mapping / Geospatial / Elevation / GPS	
Master Calendar	Will be used to help agencies coordinate inspections and outreach activities.	Collaboration	Shared Calendaring	
Data Warehouse	Central repository that will hold data on hazardous materials shippers/carriers from at least 4 different DOT agencies	Data Management	Data Exchange	

I.F.5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table

Description: To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

- a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications.
b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Business Rule Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	Informatica
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Oracle 10g
Standardized / Canned	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	J2EE
Ad Hoc	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	Oracle Business Intelligence Enterprise Edition (OBIEE)
Data Exchange	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	System to System
Mathematical	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent Technologies	J2EE
Data Mining	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	Oracle Business Intelligence Enterprise Edition (OBIEE)
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	Internet Explorer, Netscape
Business Rule Management	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	Business Process Management

Query	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	Internet Explorer, Netscape
Information Retrieval	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	JDBC
Information Mapping / Taxonomy	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Types / Validation	XML Schema
Risk Management	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	JDBC
Data Integration	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	Transformation and Formatting
Decision Support and Planning	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	Business Process Management
Query	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	JDBC
Resource Planning and Allocation	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	Business Management Process
Workforce Acquisition / Optimization	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	Business Process Management
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Portal Servers	TBD
Categorization	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification	XML
Categorization	Component Framework	Data Interchange	Data Exchange	be-XML
Graphing / Charting	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	Oracle Business Intelligence Enterprise Edition (OBIEE)
Shared Calendaring	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	OBIEE
Mapping / Geospatial / Elevation / GPS	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Content Rendering	DHTML
Mapping / Geospatial / Elevation / GPS	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Internet	TBD
Mapping / Geospatial / Elevation / GPS	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	Internet Explorer/Netscape

I.F.6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (e.g. USA.gov, Pay.gov, etc.)? no

I.F.6.a. If "yes," please describe.
Description: (Up to 2500 characters)

Part IV: Planning for "Multi-Agency Collaboration" ONLY

Description: Part IV should be completed only for investments identified as an E-Gov initiative, a Line of Business (LOB) Initiative, or a Multi-Agency Collaboration effort. The "Multi-Agency Collaboration" choice should be selected in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above. Investments identified as "Multi-Agency Collaboration" will complete only Parts I and IV of the exhibit 300.

IV.A. Multi-Agency Collaboration Oversight (All Capital Assets)

Description: Multi-agency Collaborations, such as E-Gov and LOB initiatives, should develop a joint exhibit 300.

IV.A.1. Stakeholder Table

Description: As a joint exhibit 300, please identify all the agency stakeholders (all participating agencies, this should not be limited to agencies with financial commitment). All agency stakeholders should be listed regardless of approval. If the partner agency has approved this joint exhibit 300 please provide the date of approval.

IV.A.9. Will the selected alternative replace a legacy system in-part or in-whole?

IV.A.9.a. If "yes," are the migration costs associated with the migration to the selected alternative included in this investment, the legacy investment, or in a separate migration investment?

IV.A.9.b. If "yes," please provide the following information: