	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
14:52	8 ::44 9	NEW BEDFORD SCOPING MEETING November 3, 2005
	10	New Bedford Whaling Museum 18 Johnny Cake Hill New Bedford, Massachusetts 02740
	11	
	11	D 1 4 44
	11	Pages 1 to 44
		Pages 1 to 44
	12	Pages 1 to 44
	12 13	Pages 1 to 44
	12 13 14	Pages 1 to 44
	12 13 14 15	Pages 1 to 44
	12 13 14 15 16	Pages 1 to 44
	12 13 14 15 16 17	Pages 1 to 44
	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Pages 1 to 44

	DUNN & GOUDREAU COURT REPORTING
23	One State Street
	Boston, Massachusetts 02109
24	(617)742-6900

2

1

# **APPEARANCES:**

2

# SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

- 3 By: Deborah L. Hiller, J.D, Environmental Analyst 405 S.8th Street
- 4 Suite 301 Boise, Idaho 83702
- 5 Direct: (208)429-3782 Hillerd@saic.com

## 6 SAIC: Dennis J. Peters, Marine Scientist

- 7 Jennifer N. Latusek, NEPA Specialist 1140 N. Eglin Parkway
- 8 Shalimar, Florida 32579

# 9 KATZ & ASSOCIATES

By: Lewis D. Michaelson

- 10 4250 Executive Square Suite 670
- 11 San Diego, California 92037 (858)452-0031 x397
- 12 Lmichaelson@katzandassociates.com

# 13 NATIONAL OCEANIC & ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE

- 14 By: CARRIE W. HUBARD, Biologist Office of Protected Resources
- 15 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
- 16
- 17

19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	3
1	
2	
3	P R O C E E D I N G S
16:00:12 4	MODERATOR MICHAELSON: Good afternoon.
16:06:51 5	We're very gratified that so many of
16:06:54 6	you took the time and decided to stay after a
16:06:58 7	long conference, and we appreciate you being
16:07:00 8	here.
16:07:01 9	I think almost all of you, I see your
16:07:04 10	familiar faces, took advantage of the poster
16:07:06 11	sessions that were we had a little bit of
16:07:09 12	one on one and did some Q and A.
16:07:12 13	My name is Lewis Michaelson. I work
16:07:16 14	for Katz & Associates. We have been hired to
16:07:18 15	be involved in the public participation process

- 16:07:21 16 specifically to moderate the scoping meetings.
- 16:07:26 17 The purpose, as many of you may know,
- 16:07:29 18 but not all of you, scoping allows for early
- 16:07:32 19 public notification when the federal government
- 16:07:35 20 anticipates a proposed federal action of some
- 16:07:39 21 significance.
- 16:07:39 22 And in this particular occasion it
- 16:07:41 23 would provide the Marine Fisheries Service the
- 16:07:44 24 opportunity to present the proposed action to

- 16:07:47 1 you here at this meeting, and, obviously, to
- 16:07:49 2 seek input on the scope of the EIS.
- 16:07:52 3 For your information we are holding
- 16:07:54 4 three such meetings. This is the first.
- 16:07:56 5 Obviously this is the one in New Bedford.
- 16:07:58 6 We are trying to take advantage of,
- 16:08:01 7 rather than you having to come to them, to go
- 16:08:03 8 to you, where you congregate. They did a
- 16:08:08 9 demographic study and we dotted -- no, that's
- 16:08:10 10 the right whale -- but you were congregating
- 16:08:15 11 here, and here we are, where you are.
- 16:08:17 12 And in a similar fashion, on December

16:08:19 13	10th we will be in San Diego at the 16th annual
16:08:23 14	conference on the biology of marine mammals,
16:08:26 15	another confluence of people that have an
16:08:28 16	interest in this subject.
16:08:29 17	And, finally, to both facilitate the
16:08:33 18	participation of government agencies and
16:08:37 19	non-governmental organizations, or NGOs, we
16:08:39 20	would be at Silver Springs on January 19th.
16:08:43 21	The agenda for today is pretty
16:08:45 22	straightforward. We have a couple of
16:08:47 23	presentations that will provide information on
16:08:50 24	the scoping process and the background of the

- 16:08:52 1 need for the process itself, and a quick
- 16:08:54 2 overview of the right whale research. My guess
- 16:08:56 3 is each one of you knows a little to a lot
- 16:08:59 4 about that, but this would be an opportunity
- 16:09:01 5 to, hopefully, look more comprehensively at the
- 16:09:05 6 scope of the research going on out there, which
- 16:09:07 7 is the subject of this EIS.
- 16:09:09 8 And a review of the proposed action
- 16:09:12 9 and alternatives followed by a, perhaps the

- 16:09:15 10 most important part, your opportunity to
- 16:09:17 11 comment.
- 16:09:20 12 In terms of the layout, I think most
- 16:09:23 13 all of you registered already at the processing
- 16:09:26 14 station. If you didn't, on your way out if you
- 16:09:29 15 don't mind doing that, that allows us to keep
- 16:09:31 16 you informed of the progress during the
- 16:09:33 17 development of the EIS. Take advantage of the
- 16:09:36 18 staff exhibit area, this is where the formal
- 16:09:39 19 presentation is and where we take comments.
- 16:09:41 20 I have three people that already
- 16:09:43 21 signed the speaker sign-up card. That's the
- 16:09:45 22 only thing that we ask of you, if you want to
- 16:09:47 23 make a comment this evening, is to fill one
- 16:09:47 24 out.

- 16:09:49 1 And those of you who did not do that,
- 16:09:51 2 that's available at the registration table
- 16:09:53 3 which we set up just outside this door.
- 16:09:55 4 Also, I know some people have taken
- 16:09:59 5 advantage of the written comment form, just
- 16:10:01 6 fill it out and bring that in and turn those in

16:10:02 7	tonight, you may.
16:10:03 8	I would also like to let you know
16:10:04 9	that Lisa is here with us here to take a
16:10:06 10	transcript of these proceedings here, and it
16:10:09 11	would be audio-taped to help with that purpose,
16:10:13 12	as well.
16:10:13 13	And with that I would like to turn
16:10:14 14	this over to Stephen Leathery for an overview
16:10:18 15	of the need of this process.
16:10:19 16	MR. LEATHERY: Thank you. And good
16:10:26 17	evening.
16:10:26 18	I appreciate everybody hanging around
16:10:29 19	tonight after the long meeting, and thank you
16:10:31 20	for coming, and would personally like to thank
16:10:33 21	the consortium for letting us present this
16:10:38 22	first of our series of scoping meetings.
16:10:41 23	And especially I want to emphasize
16:10:45 24	the importance of the involvement and
	7
16:10:47 1	cooperation of the right whale research

- 16:10:50 2 community in this effort, because you all are
- 16:10:55 3 the ones who know the most about the species

- 16:10:57 4 and the research needs and concerns.
- 16:11:00 5 So that's of vital importance in this
- 16:11:02 6 issue. And I really look forward to working
- 16:11:05 7 with everyone as we move forward on this.
- 16:11:09 8 I guess the other thing, you know,
- 16:11:14 9 this morning we had two excellent kick off
- 16:11:18 10 speakers. I don't know if everyone here
- 16:11:20 11 attended or not, but Diane gave a good overview
- 16:11:23 12 of the need for the process that she is
- 16:11:26 13 involved in, and that was a good, broad
- 16:11:29 14 overview of the NEPA role relative to the
- 16:11:32 15 rulemaking.
- 16:11:32 16 And then I want to focus on what
- 16:11:35 17 Sharon Young had to say about the overview of
- 16:11:38 18 the legal options available. And she
- 16:11:41 19 highlighted the legal vulnerability that the
- 16:11:45 20 agency takes on if we do not do adequate NEPA
- 16:11:49 21 analysis.
- 16:11:50 22 And the Humane Society of the United
- 16:11:53 23 States has sued us on the sea lion research
- 16:11:56 24 program. And that happened this summer. And

- 16:11:59 1 virtually all those permits are vulnerable to 16:12:03 2 being stopped by a federal judge when we go to 16:12:06 3 court. So that's the worst case scenario. 16:12:10 4 That's what we hope never happens with right 16:12:12 5 whales. And we made the decision a while back 16:12:13 6 16:12:16 7 when money was scarce but became available, 16:12:18 8 that our first priority was doing the EIS on 16:12:22 9 right whale research, because of the vital 16:12:24 10 needs of conducting research in order to 16:12:27 11 conserve and recover the species; so I hope 16:12:33 12 everyone recognizes that this is the first 16:12:35 13 effort EIS on research permitting that's ever 16:12:38 14 been done. 16:12:39 15 So this has been our top priority and 16:12:42 16 remains my top priority, but with the 16:12:45 17 litigation, and the Navy, and those other 16:12:48 18 things looming on the horizon, there's a lot of competing demands on me at this time. 16:12:52 19 16:12:53 20 And, you know, the litigation is
  - 16:12:58 21 unfortunate, but it's a reality of the public
  - 16:13:01 22 policy process. And if it wasn't for
  - 16:13:05 23 litigation we might not ever have -- if it

16:13:10 1	litigation, we might not get the resources we
16:13:14 2	need to focus on some of these issues, both on
16:13:17 3	the regulatory legal side, that I struggle
16:13:19 4	with, as well as helping focus on the needs.
16:13:38 5	Here's the purpose of NEPA. These
16:13:40 6	are right out of legislative language, you
16:13:45 7	know, and you can read what they are. It's
16:13:49 8	this is kind of broad, overview language, you
16:13:52 9	know, encourage harmony, promote efforts to
16:13:56 10	prevent or eliminate environmental damage,
16:13:59 11	enrich our understanding of the systems. The
16:14:01 12	importance, really, to the people here is that
16:14:04 13	we are by going through this process we've
16:14:08 14	very much reduced the legal vulnerability, we
16:14:11 15	are hoping to put together a bullet proof
16:14:14 16	regulatory program for right whales and, also,
16:14:16 17	we are front-loading and taking a comprehensive
16:14:20 18	approach to permitting by doing this NEPA
16:14:22 19	analysis.
16:14:27 20	Requirements of NEPA are to analyze

16:14:29 21	the potential environmental consequences of
16:14:32 22	federal agency actions and to consider the
16:14:34 23	environment consequences before deciding to
16:14:36 24	proceed, and this allows an opportunity for the

- 16:14:39 1 public involvement in a number of key phases.
- 16:14:41 2 Generally NEPA is a sunshine law that
- 16:14:45 3 requires the federal government to take a hard
- 16:14:47 4 look, and an open look and involve the public
- 16:14:50 5 in that kind of broad, hard look at any
- 16:14:56 6 actions; and in this case the environmental
- 16:14:59 7 impacts of concern are the adverse and
- 16:15:02 8 beneficial effects of the outcomes from
- 16:15:05 9 research permits.
- 16:15:08 10 This is kind of a standard
- 16:15:10 11 boilerplate slide, the components of an EIS,
- 16:15:14 12 proposed action, and a number of alternatives
- 16:15:17 13 (indicating). I have to say, in this case, our
- 16:15:22 14 proposed action and alternatives are maybe a
- 16:15:24 15 little confusing, may not be like what other
- 16:15:27 16 people have seen before, and we would be glad
- 16:15:29 17 to talk more about what we propose.

16:15:31 18	And we really urge the community to
16:15:33 19	look at these alternatives and say, yeah, you
16:15:35 20	have got it right, or no, you do don't have it
16:15:38 21	right, here's what you really need to be
16:15:40 22	considering.
16:15:42 23	And in the documents it's
16:15:46 24	structured there's several sections in the

- 16:15:48 1 documents of the proposed action and
- 16:15:50 2 alternatives, discussions that affect the
- 16:15:53 3 environment, potential environmental
- 16:15:54 4 consequences, mitigation, and consideration of
- 16:15:57 5 public input and comments. And we can talk
- 16:16:00 6 more about process, but at the final impact
- 16:16:03 7 stage, we actually have a formal response to
- 16:16:05 8 all the comments that are made on the draft so
- 16:16:07 9 there is -- you can see in there, at the end,
- 16:16:11 10 which comments were made and how we responded
- 16:16:13 11 to them (indicating).
- 16:16:15 12 Here is -- this is, again, typical in
- 16:16:18 13 the broad EIS world about the kinds of things
- 16:16:20 14 that are considered in environmental impact

16:16:24 15	statements (indicating).
16:16:24 16	In this case the take home focus is,
16:16:28 17	what did and our real concern is the whales,
16:16:32 18	and especially the last bullet is cumulative
16:16:36 19	impact (indicating). So the cumulative impact
16:16:37 20	of everything that we know that is going on,
16:16:39 21	and in the environment, and then anything that
16:16:42 22	may be on top of that either good or bad as a
16:16:45 23	result of research.
16:16:51 24	And this is a general process phase

16:16:57 1	where the first sub-bullet of scoping, very
16:17:00 2	early in the process, we are going to work
16:17:02 3	we will listen to your comments and we will
16:17:05 4	develop a draft EIS and we will publish a
16:17:09 5	notice of availability and send out copies to
16:17:12 6	people who are interested. And that's another
16:17:15 7	key point in this, of input for everyone who is
16:17:19 8	interested, when the draft is out, to make
16:17:21 9	comments on what we have in the draft, take a
16:17:24 10	real hard look at the analysis and range of
16:17:27 11	things that we are considering in more detail.

16:17:29 12	And we get comments on that.
16:17:31 13	And then we produce a final
16:17:34 14	environmental impact statement in that, a
16:17:37 15	response to all the comments that were made.
16:17:39 16	And once that's issued, there's a record of
16:17:41 17	decision.
16:17:44 18	Here's our tentative schedule. For
16:17:47 19	those of you that are familiar with NEPA
16:17:49 20	documents, you will recognize this as a
16:17:53 21	relatively ambitious schedule. We are trying
16:17:55 22	to get this moving as quickly as possible and
16:17:57 23	move through this process. And we plan to have
16:18:02 24	this done by the summer of next summer,

- 16:18:05 1 basically.
- 16:18:10 2 And I guess at this point I will turn
- 16:18:14 3 it over to Carrie Hubard to discuss more of the
- 16:18:19 4 proposed action and alternatives.
- 16:18:31 5 MS. HUBARD: Good evening. If you
- 16:18:37 6 visited --
- 16:18:37 7 MODERATOR MICHAELSON: Speak louder,
- 16:18:37 8 please.

16:18:38 9 MS. HUBARD: Better? Okay. 16:18:39 10 So I would talk a little bit more 16:18:41 11 about the specifics of the proposed action, the 16:18:44 12 alternatives, and, actually, to start off with 16:18:47 13 a little information about the current state of 16:18:49 14 right whale research. 16:18:49 15 I know we can spend a day and a half 16:18:52 16 talking about that. But from the permitting 16:18:54 17 side, there are currently 13 active permits 16:18:57 18 issued for right whale takes, that includes two in the Pacific, two that cover both coasts 16:19:00 19 16:19:03 20 having to do with stranded animals, and the 16:19:06 21 rest are in the Atlantic. 16:19:07 22 The expiration dates on the permits 16:19:10 23 range from 2006 to 2010. And, essentially, these permits authorize 70 researchers, that's 16:19:13 24

- 16:19:16 1 principal investigators with co-investigators,
- 16:19:19 2 to conduct research on right whales.
- 16:19:24 3 Again, we covered all the good
- 16:19:26 4 research in the last two days, but we are going
- 16:19:28 5 on -- but just to reiterate some of that, I

- 16:19:31 6 guess, this is the large category that right
- 16:19:34 7 whale research falls: Approach, observation,
- 16:19:39 8 past acoustic, photo ID, sampling, tissue
- 16:19:44 9 sampling, biopsy, tagging, ultrasound, and
- 16:19:50 10 plantable tags.
- 16:19:51 11 We heard about up and coming
- 16:19:54 12 technology from Professor Woodward, possible up
- 16:19:58 13 and coming tags, monitoring and aerial and
- 16:20:02 14 shipboard surveys, acoustics, playbacks, as
- 16:20:06 15 well as controlled exposure experiments and
- 16:20:11 16 distance responses. These are some of -- a
- 16:20:17 17 broad category of research identified by the
- 16:20:19 18 right whale recovery plan that are necessary
- 16:20:21 19 for recovery of the species we have.
- 16:20:23 20 Again, detection, which is looking
- 16:20:26 21 for the animal, distributions, entanglement,
- 16:20:30 22 stranding response, looking at contaminant
- 16:20:32 23 levels and overall reproduction and health and
- 16:20:35 24 habitat-use patterns and monitoring trends and

- 16:20:38 1 abundance distributions.
- 16:20:41 2 A little bit about the scope of the

16:20:44 3 EIS. In the Atlantic the EIS would be covering 16:20:48 4 the summering grounds of New England, the 16:20:52 5 migratory doors along the mid-Atlantic, and 16:20:54 6 calving grounds of the Southeast, and as well 16:20:57 7 as research areas looking for right whale 16:21:00 8 habitat that is currently unknown. 16:21:03 9 Maybe less important to the people in 16:21:05 10 the room but still important to some people, is 16:21:08 11 that the EIS would be covering the North 16:21:11 12 Pacific right whale, and so we can include the 16:21:14 13 areas where that research takes place both off 16:21:17 14 Alaska and possible opportunistic survey locations. 16:21:22 15 16:21:22 16 The EIS, our purpose and need. The 16:21:25 17 purpose is to provide exceptions to take-hold 16:21:27 18 protections as described and established by the 16:21:31 19 **Environmental Protection and Endangered Species** 16:21:33 20 Act with the issuance of scientific research 16:21:34 21 permits for specific purposes related to the 16:21:35 22 recovery of the species, and the need for this 16:21:39 23 to facilitate research activities which are 16:21:42 24 likely to result in collecting information that

- 16:21:44 1 we can use to recover right whales.
- 16:21:48 2 Proposed action, is to issue permits
- 16:21:51 3 to qualified individuals and institutions to
- 16:21:54 4 conduct those research activities deemed
- 16:21:57 5 critical or essential to the conservation and
- 16:22:00 6 recovery of right whales. And another term for
- 16:22:02 7 this is the minimum take level.
- 16:22:07 8 Another alternative to the proposed
- 16:22:10 9 action would be to maximum allowable take
- 16:22:13 10 level. That is research based on the
- 16:22:14 11 combination of current and future proposed
- 16:22:16 12 research; so, essentially, on continuing to
- 16:22:20 13 issue research, unlimited, until just below the
- 16:22:29 14 jeopardy threshold.
- 16:22:30 15 And, also, in the process that
- 16:22:33 16 requires NEPA's "take no action alternative."
- 16:22:36 17 And in this case the no action alternative is
- 16:22:38 18 to allow permits that are currently issued to
- 16:22:42 19 stay in place, but, however, we would not be
- 16:22:45 20 issuing anymore permits.
- 16:22:47 21 So what would happen in 2010, all the
- 16:22:51 22 research permits that have expired, no future

- 16:22:54 23 research on right whales, and we also will
- 16:22:57 24 allow modification or amendments, and clearly

- 16:22:59 1 recognize that this -- this doesn't meet the
- 16:23:04 2 mandate to work with the recovery and
- 16:23:06 3 conservation of the species in the DSA.
- 16:23:11 4 Some other alternatives that have
- 16:23:13 5 been considered but may not be carried forward
- 16:23:15 6 into the future into the EIS, one is a permit
- 16:23:20 7 moratorium, where we cease all research
- 16:23:23 8 activities whether your permit has expired or
- 16:23:25 9 not.
- 16:23:26 10 And second is suspension of intrusive
- 16:23:29 11 research, so we would eliminate activities such
- 16:23:33 12 as tagging and biopsy, and we recognize that,
- 16:23:35 13 of course, that would not allow people to
- 16:23:38 14 collect important genetic information.
- 16:23:40 15 And status quo, another alternative,
- 16:23:43 16 that would mean that those people who have
- 16:23:45 17 research permits could, when they expire, could
- 16:23:48 18 have a new permit, but no one else can get a
- 16:23:51 19 new permit and not amend or make any changes.

- 16:23:54 20 So only the researchers that are currently
- 16:23:55 21 authorized would be authorized into the future.
- 16:23:57 22 And then, again, we recognize that
- 16:23:59 23 that would not allow for any kind of evolution
- 16:24:02 24 of recovery needs or research needs, and,

- 16:24:04 1 again, we recognize that these alternatives do
- 16:24:09 2 not meet the proposed action to manage,
- 16:24:15 3 conserve and recover Northern right whales.
- 16:24:18 4 Major environmental issues that are
- 16:24:20 5 to be addressed in the EIS, first of all, NMFS,
- 16:24:25 6 information needs, what do we require for the
- 16:24:28 7 conservation for recovery of the species
- 16:24:29 8 (indicating). And secondly, the types of
- 16:24:31 9 research activities to be permitted that
- 16:24:34 10 includes the geographical scale, the temporal
- 16:24:38 11 scale, the level of activities, how many takes
- 16:24:40 12 over what time, how many repeat samplings, all
- 16:24:45 13 those things are drafted into that. Mitigation
- 16:24:48 14 measures for research.
- 16:24:48 15 And then lastly, looking at
- 16:24:51 16 cumulative impacts of research activities on

16:24:53 17	the right whales and in the environment.
16:24:57 18	And to the advantage of the EIS, and
16:25:01 19	maybe some people in this room don't think
16:25:03 20	there are some, but there are some. The full
16:25:05 21	disclosure of the potential effects related to
16:25:07 22	all research that may be authorized, so looking
16:25:11 23	at everything, being very transparent about
16:25:13 24	that.

16:25:13 1	And second a comprehensive evaluation
16:25:15 2	of the cumulative effects.
16:25:18 3	Third, the advantage of the EIS in
16:25:20 4	the development of mitigation measures and best
16:25:23 5	management programs for research on right
16:25:26 6	whales.
16:25:27 7	And lastly, the EIS would help
16:25:30 8	produce the need to address environmental
16:25:33 9	impacts at a permit specific level, and those
16:25:35 10	of you who I chatted with at the poster
16:25:37 11	session, essentially this means front loading
16:25:41 12	the NMFS analysis, and everything in the EIS,
16:25:44 13	and that should help later on in processing

16:25:47 14	further permits.
16:25:50 15	So the last part of my presentation
16:25:52 16	is, kind of, to sell you on what we really need
16:25:56 17	from you as the primary researchers on the
16:25:59 18	right whale. We need your information and your
16:26:01 19	input. We really want to encourage you to
16:26:04 20	provide written comments to speak today. And
16:26:07 21	if you look in both the fact sheets and federal
16:26:12 22	register notice of intent, there's a list of
16:26:13 23	specific questions that we hope you look at.
16:26:16 24	Don't feel that that's all you can

- 16:26:18 1 comment on, but those are the things you want
- 16:26:20 2 to consider. And just to walk through those
- 16:26:22 3 quickly.
- 16:26:22 4 The first and broad category we would
- 16:26:24 5 like your comments on are the types of
- 16:26:27 6 research. Essentially are there critical
- 16:26:29 7 research needs that are not already identified
- 16:26:31 8 in the recovery plan; if so, what are those and
- 16:26:34 9 how do they help the species, and what do they
- 16:26:38 10 entail. Also, what are the most appropriate

16:26:41 11	methods to obtain the requirement of the
16:26:44 12	recovery plan.
16:26:44 13	We would also like to get your
16:26:46 14	feedback about the level of research effort,
16:26:48 15	how much of a certain activity is enough for
16:26:51 16	management conservation needs, can there be too
16:26:54 17	much? Should NMFS set limits on these
16:26:54 18	activities?
16:26:58 19	For example, should there be
16:26:59 20	different standards or more restrictions for
16:27:01 21	certain age, sex, or reproductive classes, or
16:27:03 22	life history stages; if so, we want to hear
16:27:06 23	from you, what you think those classes or
16:27:07 24	stages are, and what the limitations should be.

16:27:10 1	And a good example of this, an issue
16:27:17 2	that has come up in the recent past is calf
16:27:19 3	biopsy, and so you can consider that or comment
16:27:21 4	on that.
16:27:21 5	Another area where we want your input
16:27:23 6	is on the coordination of research. What are
16:27:26 7	the most appropriate mechanisms that show the

- 16:27:28 8 research is coordinated. Should NMFS consider
- 16:27:33 9 limiting the number of permits to increase
- 16:27:34 10 coordination; and if so, how is that
- 16:27:36 11 accomplished.
- 16:27:36 12 Should researchers operate under
- 16:27:38 13 different permits or be required to use the
- 16:27:40 14 same or similar methods so that they can be
- 16:27:42 15 compared; if so, what are the methods that are
- 16:27:45 16 most appropriate for different research
- 16:27:47 17 categories.
- 16:27:49 18 And I would also like to get your
- 16:27:51 19 feedback on the qualification of researchers.
- 16:27:54 20 How much experience should a permit applicant
- 16:27:56 21 or PIV have before they can get a permit to
- 16:27:59 22 conduct certain activities.
- 16:28:02 23 And last, but definitely not least,
- 16:28:07 24 we would really like to get your feedback on

- 16:28:07 1 the effects of the research as part of the EIS
- 16:28:10 2 process, we may be looking at the possible
- 16:28:12 3 cumulative affects of research on right whales.
- 16:28:14 4 So if you already have data, you have

16:28:20 6that is, citations, references, if you know of16:28:22 7other references out there, for instance, it16:28:24 8doesn't have to be right whales, it could be16:28:26 9other terrestrial mammals, we would like to16:28:30 10receive that.16:28:30 11And secondly, if you have any good16:28:33 12ideas for ways to design the study to look at16:28:36 13the effects of research, we would like to hear16:28:37 14that, too; essentially conducting research on16:28:40 15research, or maybe you already know data sets16:28:42 16that are already available that need to be16:28:45 17analyzed, to look at those kinds of issues. So16:28:50 19and subjects, and we really hope to get16:28:55 21issues that would be incorporated into the EIS.16:28:58 22And now we start with the oral16:29:01 23comments.16:29:01 23MODERATOR MICHAELSON: I think I	16:28:18 5	already written papers, please send us those,
16:28:24 8doesn't have to be right whales, it could be16:28:26 9other terrestrial mammals, we would like to16:28:30 10receive that.16:28:30 11And secondly, if you have any good16:28:31 12ideas for ways to design the study to look at16:28:36 13the effects of research, we would like to hear16:28:37 14that, too; essentially conducting research on16:28:40 15research, or maybe you already know data sets16:28:42 16that are already available that need to be16:28:45 17analyzed, to look at those kinds of issues. So16:28:50 19and subjects, and we really hope to get16:28:55 21issues that would be incorporated into the EIS.16:28:58 22And now we start with the oral16:29:01 23comments.	16:28:20 6	that is, citations, references, if you know of
16:28:26 9other terrestrial mammals, we would like to16:28:30 10receive that.16:28:30 11And secondly, if you have any good16:28:30 11And secondly, if you have any good16:28:31 12ideas for ways to design the study to look at16:28:36 13the effects of research, we would like to hear16:28:37 14that, too; essentially conducting research on16:28:40 15research, or maybe you already know data sets16:28:42 16that are already available that need to be16:28:45 17analyzed, to look at those kinds of issues. So16:28:50 19and subjects, and we really hope to get16:28:55 21issues that would be incorporated into the EIS.16:28:58 22And now we start with the oral16:29:01 23comments.	16:28:22 7	other references out there, for instance, it
16:28:30 10receive that.16:28:30 11And secondly, if you have any good16:28:33 12ideas for ways to design the study to look at16:28:36 13the effects of research, we would like to hear16:28:37 14that, too; essentially conducting research on16:28:40 15research, or maybe you already know data sets16:28:42 16that are already available that need to be16:28:45 17analyzed, to look at those kinds of issues. So16:28:50 19and subjects, and we really hope to get16:28:53 20feedback from you on these issues and other16:28:58 22And now we start with the oral16:29:01 23comments.	16:28:24 8	doesn't have to be right whales, it could be
16:28:30 11And secondly, if you have any good16:28:33 12ideas for ways to design the study to look at16:28:36 13the effects of research, we would like to hear16:28:37 14that, too; essentially conducting research on16:28:40 15research, or maybe you already know data sets16:28:42 16that are already available that need to be16:28:45 17analyzed, to look at those kinds of issues. So16:28:45 18please think about all the different categories16:28:50 19and subjects, and we really hope to get16:28:55 21issues that would be incorporated into the EIS.16:28:58 22And now we start with the oral16:29:01 23comments.	16:28:26 9	other terrestrial mammals, we would like to
16:28:33 12ideas for ways to design the study to look at16:28:36 13the effects of research, we would like to hear16:28:37 14that, too; essentially conducting research on16:28:40 15research, or maybe you already know data sets16:28:42 16that are already available that need to be16:28:45 17analyzed, to look at those kinds of issues. So16:28:50 19and subjects, and we really hope to get16:28:55 21issues that would be incorporated into the EIS.16:28:58 22And now we start with the oral16:29:01 23comments.	16:28:30 10	receive that.
16:28:36 13the effects of research, we would like to hear16:28:37 14that, too; essentially conducting research on16:28:37 14that, too; essentially conducting research on16:28:40 15research, or maybe you already know data sets16:28:40 15that are already available that need to be16:28:42 16that are already available that need to be16:28:45 17analyzed, to look at those kinds of issues. So16:28:45 18please think about all the different categories16:28:50 19and subjects, and we really hope to get16:28:53 20feedback from you on these issues and other16:28:55 21issues that would be incorporated into the EIS.16:28:58 22And now we start with the oral16:29:01 23comments.	16:28:30 11	And secondly, if you have any good
16:28:37 14that, too; essentially conducting research on16:28:40 15research, or maybe you already know data sets16:28:42 16that are already available that need to be16:28:45 17analyzed, to look at those kinds of issues. So16:28:48 18please think about all the different categories16:28:50 19and subjects, and we really hope to get16:28:55 21issues that would be incorporated into the EIS.16:28:58 22And now we start with the oral16:29:01 23comments.	16:28:33 12	ideas for ways to design the study to look at
16:28:40 15research, or maybe you already know data sets16:28:42 16that are already available that need to be16:28:45 17analyzed, to look at those kinds of issues. So16:28:48 18please think about all the different categories16:28:50 19and subjects, and we really hope to get16:28:53 20feedback from you on these issues and other16:28:55 21issues that would be incorporated into the EIS.16:28:58 22And now we start with the oral16:29:01 23comments.	16:28:36 13	the effects of research, we would like to hear
16:28:42 16that are already available that need to be16:28:45 17analyzed, to look at those kinds of issues. So16:28:48 18please think about all the different categories16:28:50 19and subjects, and we really hope to get16:28:53 20feedback from you on these issues and other16:28:55 21issues that would be incorporated into the EIS.16:28:58 22And now we start with the oral16:29:01 23comments.	16:28:37 14	that, too; essentially conducting research on
16:28:45 17analyzed, to look at those kinds of issues. So16:28:48 18please think about all the different categories16:28:50 19and subjects, and we really hope to get16:28:53 20feedback from you on these issues and other16:28:55 21issues that would be incorporated into the EIS.16:28:58 22And now we start with the oral16:29:01 23comments.	16:28:40 15	research, or maybe you already know data sets
16:28:48 18please think about all the different categories16:28:50 19and subjects, and we really hope to get16:28:53 20feedback from you on these issues and other16:28:55 21issues that would be incorporated into the EIS.16:28:58 22And now we start with the oral16:29:01 23comments.	16:28:42 16	that are already available that need to be
16:28:50 19and subjects, and we really hope to get16:28:53 20feedback from you on these issues and other16:28:55 21issues that would be incorporated into the EIS.16:28:58 22And now we start with the oral16:29:01 23comments.	16:28:45 17	analyzed, to look at those kinds of issues. So
16:28:53 20feedback from you on these issues and other16:28:55 21issues that would be incorporated into the EIS.16:28:58 22And now we start with the oral16:29:01 23comments.	16:28:48 18	please think about all the different categories
16:28:55 21issues that would be incorporated into the EIS.16:28:58 22And now we start with the oral16:29:01 23comments.	16:28:50 19	and subjects, and we really hope to get
16:28:58 22And now we start with the oral16:29:01 23comments.	16:28:53 20	feedback from you on these issues and other
16:29:01 23 comments.	16:28:55 21	issues that would be incorporated into the EIS.
	16:28:58 22	And now we start with the oral
16:29:03 24 MODERATOR MICHAELSON: I think I	16:29:01 23	comments.
	16:29:03 24	MODERATOR MICHAELSON: I think I

16:29:09 1 do want to add a couple of extemporaneous

16:29:13 2	comments; and that is, I have been involved in
16:29:14 3	dozens of scoping processes, and the purpose
16:29:16 4	and need is fairly and commonly written, and as
16:29:21 5	the process goes forward, I would have to say
16:29:23 6	this is probably the truly most open-ended and
16:29:28 7	welcoming opportunities for people to be
16:29:30 8	involved in the scoping process that I have
16:29:32 9	been involved in. Oftentimes the scoping
16:29:34 10	process and the purposes are written in such a
16:29:37 11	definitive and fairly defined way, that it
16:29:39 12	doesn't leave a lot of wiggle room. So I hope
16:29:42 13	you listen very carefully to Carrie and Steve.
16:29:45 14	They honestly do need and want your
16:29:48 15	participation in order to make this a
16:29:51 16	successful effort.
16:29:52 17	We have a straight-forward process
16:29:54 18	for this, again. If you have not signed in,
16:29:57 19	and you would like to speak I have three
16:29:59 20	people that signed in so far. Because we have
16:30:01 21	an abundance of time, we set the meeting to go
16:30:05 22	to 6:00, and only have three people, we can
16:30:08 23	adopt a special procedure, which is, everyone
16:30:10 24	gets a first helping of four minutes: but after

16:30:10 24 gets a first helping of four minutes; but after

- 16:30:12 1 that if no one else wants to talk, you can come
- 16:30:15 2 back for a second helping, okay. But to make
- 16:30:19 3 the four minutes work, I have a
- 16:30:22 4 really sophisticated way of indicating time.
- 16:30:23 5 When you have been speaking for three minutes,
- 16:30:25 6 I would put up one finger like this
- 16:30:27 7 (indicating), and that means you have one
- 16:30:29 8 minute left.
- 16:30:29 9 And then when you are at four
- 16:30:30 10 minutes, I put a closed hand up like that, that
- 16:30:33 11 allows you to wrap up your comments; but,
- 16:30:35 12 again, you will be able to come back. That way
- 16:30:37 13 everyone -- everybody would get one bite of the
- 16:30:39 14 apple before we come back.
- 16:30:41 15 And as I mentioned, the meeting is
- 16:30:43 16 being recorded and there is going to be a
- 16:30:45 17 transcript.
- 16:30:46 18 Keep in mind you have other options;
- 16:30:48 19 in fact, I said it on a couple of sessions and
- 16:30:52 20 feel fairly confident, if you are here right
- 16:30:54 21 now and have something to contribute, there's

- 16:30:56 22 probably a lot more than you want to say that
- 16:30:58 23 you can say in four minutes, so I'm
- 16:31:01 24 anticipating that most of you would also want

- 16:31:03 1 to take advantage of written comments, and
- 16:31:05 2 that's where you get involved in a lot more
- 16:31:09 3 depth and detail.
- 16:31:09 4 So you have an opportunity to have
- 16:31:11 5 handwritten comments. We have sheets that are
- 16:31:13 6 provided. And one thing we do ask is that
- 16:31:16 7 there's a deadline of January 31st, 2006, for
- 16:31:19 8 receipt of any written comments. And keep in
- 16:31:22 9 mind they can be mailed in, they can be emailed
- 16:31:24 10 in, and they can be faxed in.

16:31:26 11 And I believe in the handouts that --

- 16:31:28 12 you got them, or want to get them on the way
- 16:31:31 13 out, those addresses are available on those.
- 16:31:35 14 And oral and written comments are
- 16:31:38 15 given equal consideration in this process.
- 16:31:40 16 There's also information available
- 16:31:41 17 for review at public libraries, those are
- 16:31:44 18 listed on the handouts as well.

16:31:46 19	And, basically, they are in the same
-------------	--------------------------------------

- 16:31:48 20 locations as we will be holding our scoping
- 16:31:51 21 meetings. They are also available on the NMFS
- 16:31:56 22 homepage, if you want to access that
- 16:31:59 23 electronically.
- 16:31:59 24 Also, if you signed in attendance,

- 16:32:01 1 you had an opportunity to check the box about
- 16:32:04 2 receiving future copies of the draft EIS; so if
- 16:32:07 3 you want to get those, if you did not sign it
- 16:32:09 4 yet, again, do that on your way out at the
- 16:32:13 5 registration. Okay. It says five minute
- 16:32:15 6 break, but perfect timing, did you get any more
- 16:32:18 7 of these turned in?
- 16:32:20 8 MS. HILLER: No, I did not. Would
- 16:32:22 9 someone like to fill one out now? We can just
- 16:32:26 10 bring them in and hand him one.
- 16:32:27 11 MODERATOR MICHAELSON: I would read
- 16:32:28 12 out the names and the order. I think that's
- 16:32:31 13 the easiest. Come down here. It's important
- 16:32:33 14 to use the mike so we can all hear what you say
- 16:32:37 15 and so the court reporter can capture it.

- 16:32:39 16 So I would sit out there so you can
- 16:32:42 17 see my fingers and hand. So you may look at me
- 16:32:45 18 occasionally, as we are going through this
- 16:32:46 19 process. The order that they are turned in:
- 16:32:49 20 Michael Moore, followed by Regina -- sorry, I
- 16:32:55 21 can't read this or pronounce it --
- 16:32:56 22 Asmutis-Silva, and Mark Baumgaringer.
- 16:32:58 23 So, Mr. Moore.
- 16:33:04 24 MR. MOORE: Thank you. My name is

- 16:33:07 1 Mike Moore. I work with Woods Hole
- 16:33:11 2 Oceanographic Institute in Woods Hole, Mass.
- 16:33:13 3 First, I would like to recognize the
- 16:33:14 4 value of the permit process to maximize the
- 16:33:18 5 information gained and the benefit versus the
- 16:33:20 6 cost to the individual and/or the population of
- 16:33:22 7 the right whales; so, I think this is, although
- 16:33:28 8 a bureaucratic process, it's still a worthwhile
- 16:33:31 9 thing.
- 16:33:31 10 Specifically I would like to ensure
- 16:33:34 11 that the review recognizes the significant
- 16:33:38 12 long-term regional dwellings that have been

16:33:41 13 observed in right whales in the 1990's, in 16:33:44 14 particular in response to planetary satellite 16:33:49 15 tags and a workshop that reviewed that material 16:33:51 16 in 1999; and I, with the agreement of the 16:33:58 17 office, forwarded that material for inclusion in the review. 16:34:01 18 16:34:03 19 I should note there's ancient 16:34:07 20 analysis, as much as there's been no further 16:34:10 21 re-analysis of those issues subsequent to that 16:34:14 22 workshop, although there is a pending proposal with the right whale grant program to do so. 16:34:17 23 16:34:22 24 I think it is, in the light of those

- 16:34:25 1 observations, important to encourage the
- 16:34:28 2 development of non-invasive alternatives for
- 16:34:31 3 long-term tagging studies in right whales and
- 16:34:34 4 other large whales, and small whales as well,
- 16:34:37 5 and dolphins.
- 16:34:40 6The only other comment I have is
- 16:34:44 7 concerning import/export process, and I'm not
- 16:34:46 8 sure whether the EIS will impact U.S. Fish and
- 16:34:52 9 Wildlife Service involvement in the export and

- 16:34:55 10 import process, but it should, if it does not.
- 16:34:58 11 Because they -- the sighting requirements are
- 16:35:02 12 part and parcel of the control of how we manage
- 16:35:07 13 that process. And, in particular, we do fairly
- 16:35:12 14 routine large whale, right whale recoveries in
- 16:35:16 15 Eastern Canada and are faced with moving
- 16:35:18 16 materials from Canada to this country, and this
- 16:35:21 17 country back up there, and one of the major
- 16:35:23 18 frustrations is not actually part of the
- 16:35:27 19 process at all, so you can require and maintain
- 16:35:30 20 and report on and renew that part of the
- 16:35:33 21 process quite easily. The export, you can get
- 16:35:40 22 one at a time with this country and it takes
- 16:35:43 23 six months to get, even though you plan the
- 16:35:46 24 research, you may plan when you are going to

- 16:35:49 1 get the sample you have for export, and with
- 16:35:52 2 that is also the issue of the designated
- 16:35:56 3 permits, the wildlife issues are limited to
- 16:36:00 4 Calais, Maine, so if you want to enter the
- 16:36:03 5 country with material via ferry, you can do
- 16:36:06 6 that, and so that urging would be to broaden

- 16:36:13 7 the base to a general multi-port, multi
- 16:36:19 8 non-designated port destination permit. And
- 16:36:21 9 that's all I have to say.
- 16:36:23 10 MODERATOR MICHAELSON: Thank you very
- 16:36:25 11 much.
- 16:36:25 12 Regina Asmutis-Silva.
- 16:36:39 13 MS. ASMUTIS-SILVA: My name is Regina
- 16:36:40 14 Asmutis-Silva. I am a biologist with the Whale
- 16:36:42 15 and Dolphin Conservation Society and am also a
- 16:36:45 16 member of the Atlantic large whale take
- 16:36:49 17 production team. And I would like to echo
- 16:36:52 18 Michael's comments on our concerns with regards
- 16:36:52 19 to invasive research and would like to applaud
- 16:36:56 20 them for trying to coordinate the research,
- 16:36:58 21 efforts we believe that all the impacts,
- 16:37:01 22 including research needed to be considered for
- 16:37:03 23 the endangered species; however, in addition to
- 16:37:06 24 that I do have some questions here regarding

- 16:37:09 1 the proposed action that I think needs to be
- 16:37:13 2 considered.
- 16:37:13 3 And saying that, I'm not quite sure

16:37:16 4	what the qualifications, or the qualified
16:37:18 5	individuals or institutions that will conduct
16:37:20 6	the research would be and exactly what NEPA is
16:37:24 7	considering right now for low priority versus
16:37:27 8	high priority research and how that would be
16:37:31 9	conducted. As a member of the take-production
16:37:33 10	team and participant in a number of meetings on
16:37:37 11	shipping and fishing issues, there's been no
16:37:39 12	resolution to a lot of things that are causing
16:37:42 13	a depletion of the population, and partly
16:37:45 14	because of absence of information. So I'm very
16:37:48 15	concerned that if the permits are not granted
16:37:49 16	and there's a delay in some of the information
16:37:51 17	that is critical in order to get the
16:37:53 18	information that we need for some of the
16:37:56 19	issues, like how do whales use the bottom part
16:38:00 20	of the water home, so that we are not go going
16:38:02 21	to be able to resolve issues like the fisheries
16:38:05 22	and shipping. And I'm concerned with a
16:38:07 23	moratorium or possible moratorium, or where
16:38:09 24	some of the delays are going to be made and in

16:38:11 1	regards there has to be a balance, but not
16:38:14 2	eliminate the research that is going on with
16:38:15 3	the right whales. It's important for their
16:38:18 4	future survival.
16:38:19 5	MODERATOR MICHAELSON: Thank you.
16:38:22 6	MR. BAUMGARINGER: I would hold my
16:38:24 7	comments.
16:38:25 8	MODERATOR MICHAELSON: All right. So
16:38:28 9	Scott Kraus.
16:38:40 10	MR. KRAUS: I was going to say
16:38:43 11	something clever, without notes, but didn't
16:38:44 12	have time. I am with the New England Aquarium
16:38:47 13	in Boston, and also a member of the
16:38:49 14	take-production team, the technical advisor of
16:38:51 15	the team, and probably some other things.
16:38:57 16	MODERATOR MICHAELSON: Speak up just
16:38:57 17	a little bit.
16:38:58 18	MR. KRAUS: I think I have two, maybe
16:39:00 19	three points that I would like to make. The
16:39:02 20	first one is, as far as I can tell, there's no
16:39:05 21	quantitative or scientific way to assess
16:39:10 22	cumulative impacts. And the terminology is
16:39:12 23	misleading, because we are actually looking for

16:39:15 24 examples for cumulative impacts on the failure

- 32
- 16:39:18 1 of reproduction, and we cannot figure out of
- 16:39:21 2 15 -- well, let's say, out of about six
- 16:39:24 3 well-defined hypotheses, we can't figure out
- 16:39:26 4 which one of those things is actually
- 16:39:27 5 contributing to the failed reproduction.
- 16:39:29 6 I therefore find it almost
- 16:39:32 7 impossible to believe that we can find an
- 16:39:33 8 objective and quantifiable way to assign
- 16:39:37 9 cumulative impacts on research activities on
- 16:39:39 10 right whales. And that worries me. Because
- 16:39:44 11 these kinds of decisions that will be made in
- 16:39:45 12 the absence of, as far as I can tell, peer
- 16:39:48 13 review from outside researchers who actually do
- 16:39:51 14 work in the field, most of the permitting
- 16:39:53 15 decisions have been made in the absence of peer
- 16:39:55 16 reviews for people who know what they are
- 16:39:58 17 talking about.
- 16:39:58 18 And one example, I will tell you, one
- 16:40:00 19 of the biological opinions on my permitting was
- 16:40:03 20 denied on the permitting of calves because the

- 16:40:06 21 researchers have a difficult time telling a
- 16:40:09 22 calf from adult right whales. Now, I bet you
- 16:40:12 23 that I could teach even a lawyer to tell the
- 16:40:15 24 difference between a calf and an adult in about

- 16:40:18 1 30 seconds. And I don't think that this clause
- 16:40:24 2 was written by anybody who knew anything about
- 16:40:27 3 marine mammal science. And that worries me.
- 16:40:30 4 Because the cumulative impact piece is very
- 16:40:33 5 dangerous ground to be treading on when you
- 16:40:35 6 don't know, or you have an objective way to
- 16:40:38 7 quantify the data.
- 16:40:41 8 The second thing I worry about is
- 16:40:43 9 that this process, which I may be the most
- 16:40:48 10 egregious example in the room, has dragged on
- 16:40:51 11 for years, and looks like at least two more
- 16:40:53 12 years, and let's imagine that somebody found or
- 16:40:58 13 had some evidence that, let's say, something
- 16:41:00 14 like magnetism or electricity had some ways of
- 16:41:05 15 keeping whales away from fishing areas.
- 16:41:07 16 Because it does not appear anywhere in any of
- 16:41:09 17 the context in the kind of scope of activities

- 16:41:13 18 that might be permitted under this EIS, you
  16:41:16 19 might have to start a new EIS.
  16:41:17 20 The whole purpose of research is
  16:41:19 21 actually to find out things that we don't know
  16:41:22 22 yet. And when you actually find out things it
  16:41:25 23 leads you in a new direction. This process is
- 16:41:27 24 going to stop it. So let's imagine you had

- 16:41:30 1 some sort of a magnet that actually keeps
- 16:41:33 2 whales away from fishing areas, you would not
- 16:41:35 3 be able to test it because you are going to
- 16:41:37 4 have to go through this process again because
- 16:41:40 5 it doesn't appear in this EIS.

16:41:42 6 And that kind of -- that kind of

- 16:41:45 7 problem is something that you are all going to
- 16:41:47 8 be facing whatever field you are in, in this
- 16:41:49 9 kind of activity, because we cannot anticipate
- 16:41:49 10 that.
- 16:41:54 11 I think the third thing that is, of
- 16:41:56 12 course, most, I think, grating to many of the
- 16:42:00 13 researchers in this room, is that while this
- 16:42:03 14 process grinds on and the activities of

16:42:08 15	researchers are subject to such incredible
16:42:11 16	scrutiny, including lawsuits, whales are dying
16:42:15 17	at extraordinary rates. And as far as we can
16:42:17 18	tell the shipping industry, nor the fishing
16:42:21 19	industry, have any permits to do so, at least I
16:42:24 20	have not seen that. And that kind of inequity
16:42:27 21	leads me to believe that what we need is a
16:42:28 22	tiered system for evaluating effects that is
16:42:31 23	different than just level A/B harassment. It

16:42:35 24 actually looks at the potential for serious

16:42:37 1	impact on the population at different levels
16:42:41 2	and revises the permitting process to take that
16:42:45 3	into account.
16:42:45 4	So if you want to go look at whales
16:42:48 5	and take pictures and go inside the 500 yards,
16:42:51 6	that's a process that takes you a few months to
16:42:53 7	do so. If you want to biopsy or do something
16:42:54 8	like that, maybe that takes a year. But if you
16:42:57 9	want to do something more invasive than that,
16:43:00 10	or you wanted to test large scale sonar over
16:43:05 11	vast areas of the ocean, and maybe that's a

- 16:43:07 12 bigger impact, that should be evaluated
- 16:43:10 13 separately.
- 16:43:17 14 MODERATOR MICHAELSON: I just want to
- 16:43:19 15 say that the kinds of comments we received
- 16:43:21 16 already, so far, are entirely apropos to, I
- 16:43:26 17 think, what NMFS is looking for. In the sense
- 16:43:26 18 that instead of you asking them, well, what do
- 16:43:29 19 they think; they are really asking you now what
- 16:43:31 20 do you think. For example, somebody mentioned
- 16:43:35 21 what does NMFS think is a qualified individual,
- 16:43:39 22 they are asking you right now to tell them what
- 16:43:41 23 you think a qualified individual looks like.
- 16:43:43 24 So if you go back to those questions

- 16:43:45 1 that Carrie had that are on the board there,
- 16:43:48 2 and take a look at those, and take a look at
- 16:43:51 3 the notice of intent, they really give you a
- 16:43:54 4 very good road map to the types of input from
- 16:43:57 5 you that is going to be very useful in
- 16:43:58 6 formulating this, and, hopefully, getting to as
- 16:44:00 7 good of an answer as we can get to.
- 16:44:02 8 With that, is there anyone else that

- 16:44:05 9 decided they would like to speak? 16:44:07 10 Why don't you come up here. All I 16:44:08 11 need is your name, and fill one of these out on 16:44:11 12 the back end. 16:44:13 13 MR. ROSSITER: My name is Bill 16:44:15 14 Rossiter. I am with the Cetacean Society 16:44:16 15 International. I'm not a researcher, so I 16:44:20 16 would not try and speak for you folks. 16:44:21 17 But in reading this for the first 16:44:23 18 time, I see it's -- I see the science that you 16:44:26 19 are trying to do, the science is about the 16:44:28 20 freedom to investigate the questions that you 16:44:30 21 think are important. And here it seems as if 16:44:33 22 an unknown group of people, sort of like the 16:44:36 23 WTO, may control what access you have for 16:44:39 24 permits. And already you feel that pressure
  - 37
- 16:44:40 1 because of the delay of permits coming to you.
- 16:44:43 2 This EIS can be seen as a threat to
- 16:44:45 3 you. I see it as a threat. And my suggestion
- 16:44:48 4 is that you come together with some idea of an
- 16:44:52 5 impartial panel of scientists that NMFS would

- 16:44:56 6 allow that would advise NMFS, not whose to get
- 16:44:59 7 the permits for what -- that is, they have
- 16:45:01 8 implied there's going to be a priority for
- 16:45:03 9 research questions to be answered. They are
- 16:45:05 10 going to be under a lot of funding pressures,
- 16:45:07 11 time related issues and so on, and you have a
- 16:45:09 12 certain amount of -- you need to feed the
- 16:45:11 13 family. So it's going to come to a lot of
- 16:45:13 14 questions here. And I understand why Steve is
- 16:45:15 15 concerned by the bullet-proof aspects of the
- 16:45:18 16 lawsuits, they are trying to cover themselves
- 16:45:20 17 here. Your best way to help them is to be able
- 16:45:22 18 to advise them directly. And NMFS has to agree
- 16:45:25 19 on it. I would suggest that they be allowed,
- 16:45:27 20 that scientists be allowed to advise them on
- 16:45:30 21 what the priorities should be.
- 16:45:31 22 This EIS, I think, should designate a
- 16:45:34 23 group of people that you find and suggest to
- 16:45:37 24 them that everybody is comfortable with so that

- 16:45:40 1 they will get the right evidence of who should
- 16:45:42 2 do the research and on what; and that includes

- 16:45:45 3 the flexibility so that within a year or so
- 16:45:49 4 this becomes an issue, somebody with
- 16:45:52 5 credibility can attack it, what funds does that
- 16:45:53 6 come out of.
- 16:45:55 7 My interest is in saving the whales,
- 16:45:56 8 and yours is, as well. Science is only a tool,
- 16:45:59 9 it's not putting bread on the table. But I'm
- 16:46:01 10 hoping you can come up with answers. I don't
- 16:46:03 11 think the EIS is going to help you come up with
- 16:46:06 12 the answers the way it is structured now.
- 16:46:08 13 I'm a bit inflammatory with my
- 16:46:10 14 general style, but my hope is that you will
- 16:46:12 15 come together and advise NMFS with an impartial
- 16:46:16 16 panel. Am I making the point clear?
- 16:46:23 17 MODERATOR MICHAELSON: Anyone else
- 16:46:24 18 that would say anything? And sometimes when
- 16:46:29 19 somebody else speaks you get fired up.
- 16:46:31 20 Anyone interested in a second
- 16:46:31 21 helping?
- 16:46:34 22 Yes?
- 16:46:35 23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I just
- 16:46:36 24 wondered if it was appropriate in the format we

- 16:46:38 1 are in to ask questions of Steve; is that
- 16:46:41 2 something that fits?
- 16:46:42 3 MODERATOR MICHAELSON: It doesn't fit
- 16:46:44 4 well with this particular type of format. But
- 16:46:48 5 that's up to Mr. Leathery to decide whether he
- 16:46:52 6 would entertain questions or not. But you said
- 16:46:55 7 you would like a second helping. We can do
- 16:46:58 8 that first. Okay.
- 16:47:02 9 MR. MOORE: Michael Moore from Woods
- 16:47:11 10 Hole Geographic Institution.
- 16:47:11 11 In the interest of trying to define
- 16:47:14 12 as many of those magnets as easily as possible,
- 16:47:20 13 I would like to remind persons of the
- 16:47:25 14 possibility of non-invasive, long-term tagging
- 16:47:29 15 as an option, particularly the work that the
- 16:47:32 16 Woods Hole research shows, and the
- 16:47:33 17 environmental work, because, obviously, such
- 16:47:38 18 and such tag is one kind of tag, it's
- 16:47:40 19 relatively short term; and invasive tagging is
- 16:47:43 20 a longer tag, and there's the potential for a
- 16:47:49 21 towed tag as a possibility. There's also
- 16:47:53 22 floating around here the idea of doing some

- 16:47:55 23 form of long term tag. Now, all of these
- 16:48:02 24 things, if they have to be on the horizon to be

- 16:48:04 1 part of the EIS, should, then, therefore,
- 16:48:07 2 should be part of the record; and, I guess I
- 16:48:12 3 absolutely agree with Scott, these concerns are
- 16:48:14 4 very real in terms of the scientific community,
- 16:48:19 5 our ingenuity, and so on, but we have yet to
- 16:48:21 6 kill a right whale doing science; whereas, God
- 16:48:25 7 damn it, I have seen so many dead right whales
- 16:48:29 8 from the shipping industry, and I'm fed up with
- 16:48:32 9 it. Thank you.
- 16:48:38 10 MODERATOR MICHAELSON: The difficulty
- 16:48:39 11 of doing the Q and A -- okay.
- 16:48:53 12 MR. WOWACEK: Douglas Wowacek. The
- 16:48:54 13 Oceanography Department of Florida State
- 16:48:57 14 University.
- 16:48:58 15 I thought, just for the record, it's
- 16:49:01 16 worth, again, echoing a couple things Scott
- 16:49:04 17 said, and maybe stating them a little
- 16:49:07 18 differently for a different perspective on it.
- 16:49:09 19 One is the circular process this may

16:49:16 20	really represent. Because as you set out to
16:49:18 21	assess the cumulative impact, if you could do
16:49:21 22	that during a short term impact, one of the
16:49:23 23	ways that that is done is by is through
16:49:28 24	research on the impact on the animal from some

16:49:31 1	activity. And so I hope everyone everybody
16:49:36 2	can see the circularity there, you cannot get
16:49:39 3	any information if what you are trying to
16:49:40 4	assess is the accepted methodologies. So I
16:49:44 5	think that's and it's as it was pointed
16:49:49 6	out, any EIS done on a permitting process
16:49:51 7	that is one of the reasons, is that you are
16:49:53 8	trying to assess the assessment methods, so I
16:49:58 9	think that's a difficult task. And along the
16:50:01 10	same lines of Dr. Kraus' magnets, to just state
16:50:07 11	it a different way, perhaps not to lose sight
16:50:10 12	of the fact that the activities, research
16:50:18 13	activities, if you strictly restrict them to
16:50:23 14	applications that are very small in focus and
16:50:26 15	scope, you may miss out on some of the more
16:50:30 16	important information we learn from basic

- 16:50:32 17 biological information. And an extreme example
  16:50:34 18 would be the polio vaccine, or things that
  16:50:38 19 happen on -- almost by accident, but in the
  16:50:41 20 process of basic research.
  16:50:42 21 And I think that's what, also, what
  16:50:45 22 Scott was trying to get at, but I wanted to
  16:50:48 23 add my voice to that. Thank you.
- 16:50:50 24 MODERATOR MICHAELSON: Do I get

- 16:50:57 1 another one? Has anyone else filled one out?
- 16:51:00 2 Okay. Here is what I think makes
- 16:51:02 3 sense. That because of the difficulty of
- 16:51:04 4 getting things on the record, we can ask Mr.
- 16:51:07 5 Leathery to give a closing comment and close
- 16:51:10 6 the meeting.
- 16:51:10 7 And those of you who are getting
- 16:51:12 8 ready to leave, when you -- if you need to go
- 16:51:16 9 somewhere, we can allow you to do that and not
- 16:51:19 10 embarrass you. And then Steve would be happy
- 16:51:21 11 to come up here and do an informal Q and A.
- 16:51:32 12 MR. LEATHERY: I want to thank all
- 16:51:33 13 the speakers for their comments. I think they

16:51:36 14 were all really good comments, and I think 16:51:38 15 those are exactly the kinds of things that we 16:51:41 16 need to hear from the research community. 16:51:43 17 So that's a very sincere thank you 16:51:45 18 for coming and participating in this process. 16:51:49 19 And we can, certainly, we want to hear your 16:51:52 20 formal comments. And as this process goes 16:51:55 21 through, I want to encourage everyone here to 16:51:58 22 feel free to pick up the phone and give me a 16:52:00 23 call and talk personally, one on one, about any issues of concern they might have. 16:52:03 24

- 16:52:04 1 It's one of those important parts of
- 16:52:06 2 my job, is being available to talk to people
- 16:52:12 3 and, in my mind, the right whale research
- 16:52:14 4 community is a very close-knit and almost a
- 16:52:18 5 model community for a broad research community
- 16:52:20 6 because of the level of coordination and
- 16:52:23 7 corporation and communication among and between
- 16:52:26 8 the researchers.
- 16:52:26 9 So, again, feel free to give me a
- 16:52:29 10 yell and talk about your personal permitting

16:52:32 11	issues over the shorter term and longer term,
16:52:35 12	and also about any kind of concerns that you
16:52:37 13	may have.
16:52:38 14	And with that I would close the
16:52:41 15	public record, and then have a little bit of
16:52:44 16	pause, and then I can have your informal
16:52:47 17	question and answers.
16:52:47 18	MODERATOR MICHAELSON: Okay. We are
16:52:47 18 16:52:50 19	MODERATOR MICHAELSON: Okay. We are adjourned.
16:52:50 19	adjourned.
16:52:50 19 20	adjourned. (Whereupon the proceedings
16:52:50 19 20 21	adjourned. (Whereupon the proceedings

# 1 COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

- 2 Worcester, ss.
- 3

I, Lisa Lee Gross, Registered Professional

4 Reporter and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the Commonwealth of

- 5 Massachusetts, do hereby certify that there came before me on the day 3rd of November, the persons
- 6 hereinbefore named; their comments reduced to typewritten record in the matter: Public Scoping
- 7 Hearing for Proposed Action.

8	
9	
10	
11	In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal this 10th day of November, 2005.
12	and arrived my sear this 10th day of November, 2005.
13	
14	Notary Public My Commission Expires:
15	January 17, 2011
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	