

## Summary Sheet 1. Reviewer Scores for Each Proposal

Mandatory Reference: 577  
 File Name: 577mac\_091503\_cd33  
 New Reference: 09/15/2003

(Prepare one copy for each proposal)

Investment Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Investment Sponsor: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Topic	Definition	Total Possible Points for the Topic (out of 100)	Questions	Total Possible Score	Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Reviewer 4	Reviewer 5	Reviewer 6	Total	Average
<b>1. Value</b>	Demonstration of tangible or measurable benefits resulting from application of requested investment	50	Return on Investment	20								
			Value to the Direct User	20								
			Ease of Use	10								
<b>2. Risk</b>	Assessment of the risks - To successful implementation; - To USAID of not implementing the initiative	25	Risk Category 1	8								

**Summary Sheet 1. Reviewer Scores for Each Proposal (contd.)**

<b>Topic</b>	<b>Definition</b>	<b>Total Possible Points for the Topic (out of 100)</b>	<b>Questions</b>	<b>Total Possible Score</b>	<b>Reviewer 1</b>	<b>Reviewer 2</b>	<b>Reviewer 3</b>	<b>Reviewer 4</b>	<b>Reviewer 5</b>	<b>Reviewer 6</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Average</b>
			Risk Category 2	10								
			Risk Category 3	7								
<b>3. Cost</b>	Assessment of the extent to which the initiative either saves money or resources and the extent to which key cost factors have been considered	25	<i>Subcategory - Technology &amp; Software</i>	4								
			<i>Subcategory - Personnel</i>	5								
			<i>Subcategory - Change Management</i>	8								
			<i>Subcategory – Life-Cycle Costs</i>	8								
<b>4. IT Security</b>	Indication of whether or not the appropriate officials have determined that the investment meets computer security and privacy protection	Y/N	<i>Subcategory - Policy Compliance</i>	Y/N								<i>Indicate Yes or No</i>

**Summary Sheet 1. Reviewer Scores for Each Proposal (contd.)**

<b>Topic</b>	<b>Definition</b>	<b>Total Possible Points for the Topic (out of 100)</b>	<b>Questions</b>	<b>Total Possible Score</b>	<b>Reviewer 1</b>	<b>Reviewer 2</b>	<b>Reviewer 3</b>	<b>Reviewer 4</b>	<b>Reviewer 5</b>	<b>Reviewer 6</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Average</b>
	requirements.											
			<i>Subcategory - Privacy Impact Assessment</i>	Y/N								
<b>5. Alternatives</b>	Assessment of the potential investment against alternative methods to achieve the same functional need.	Y/N	<i>Subcategory - Viability of Alternatives</i>	Y/N								<i>Indicate Yes or No</i>
<b>6. EA Relationships</b>	Evidence that the Enterprise Architecture has been applied to inform the investment request.	Y/N	1. Have enterprise (Agency-wide) business line functions been identified which the solution will support?	Y/N								<i>Indicate Yes or No</i>
			2. Has the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM) been referenced?	Y/N								<i>Indicate Yes or No</i>

**Summary Sheet 1. Reviewer Scores for Each Proposal (contd.)**

Topic	Definition	Total Possible Points for the Topic (out of 100)	Questions	Total Possible Score	Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Reviewer 4	Reviewer 5	Reviewer 6	Total	Average
			3. Has a correlation to the EA service architecture been referenced in the solution?	Y/N								<i>Indicate Yes or No</i>
			4. Has a correlation to the EA technical architecture been referenced in the solution?	Y/N								<i>Indicate Yes or No</i>
<b>7. Government Function Consideration</b>	Determination of whether or not the proposed investment supports a core/priority mission function that needs to be performed by the Federal government and that no alternative private sector or governmental source can efficiently support	Y/N	1. Does another agency have an IT solution with the same functionality?	Y/N								<i>Indicate Yes or No</i>
		Y/N	2. Should USAID seek partnership with another agency to develop a cross-investment strategy to meet the need?	Y/N								<i>Indicate Yes or No</i>
		Y/N	3. Does the investment contribute to the Agency's ability to deliver better services in one or more of the	Y/N								<i>Indicate Yes or No</i>

**Summary Sheet 1. Reviewer Scores for Each Proposal (contd.)**

<b>Topic</b>	<b>Definition</b>	<b>Total Possible Points for the Topic (out of 100)</b>	<b>Questions</b>	<b>Total Possible Score</b>	<b>Reviewer 1</b>	<b>Reviewer 2</b>	<b>Reviewer 3</b>	<b>Reviewer 4</b>	<b>Reviewer 5</b>	<b>Reviewer 6</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Average</b>
	the function.		following areas: G2C, G2B, G2G, or IEE (internal effectiveness and efficiency)?									
<b>Total Score (by reviewer)</b> (Totals of Numeric Scores Only)												
<b>Average Total Score for All Reviewers</b> (Average of Numeric Scores Only)												

577mac\_091503\_w091603