UNITED STATES INSTITUTE OF PEACE
Suite 200
1200 17th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036-3011

+ + + + +

PASSING THE BATON

FOREIGN POLICY CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES FACING THE NEW ADMINISTRATION

THURSDAY JANUARY 8, 2009

+ + + + +

+ + + + +

PLENARY SESSION 4:30-5:30 P.M. THE WAY FORWARD IN AFGHANISTAN

+ + + + +

Ballroom A
Walter E. Washington Convention Center
801 Mount Vernon Place, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20001

PANELISTS:

GENERAL DAVID H. PETRAEUS ASHRAF GHANI

FRANCESC VENDRELL TOM PERRIELLO

MODERATED BY J. ALEXANDER THIER

INTRODUCED BY DR. RICHARD H. SOLOMON

This transcript done from audio provided by the United States Institute of Peace.

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	4:30 p.m.
3	DR. SOLOMON: I don't think I need
4	to stress the importance of the issue of
5	trying to deal with the situation in
6	Afghanistan. It's been part of our debate and
7	part of the Presidential discussions for the
8	last year and more and so we're going to hear
9	from people who really understand the
10	situation.
11	I want to turn the moderation over
12	to my colleague Alex Thier. He's a
13	soothsayer. We have a lot of smart people on
14	the staff. Alex has just written and
15	published a book called The Future of
16	Afghanistan. So he may know something that
17	all of us may not know. But, Alex, will you
18	please introduce your panel and we look
19	forward to a very lively discussion. Thank
20	you.
21	MODERATOR THIER: Thanks, Dick,
22	for your great and fearless leadership. This

has been a magnificent day and I think we're in for a magnificent close.

I'm Alex Thier, the Senior Rule of Law Advisor, at the U.S. Institute of Peace and Director of the Institute's Future of Afghanistan project and I'm thrilled to be moderating this remarkable panel concerning one of the greatest foreign policy challenges facing the United States today.

The U.S. Institute of Peace is heavily engaged in working to create a better future in Afghanistan. We have an office on the ground in Kabul and support several partner organizations as well as a growing network of peace mediators around the country. Much of our work there to date has focused on establishing the rule of law, building relationships between state justice institutions and informal or tribal mechanisms that are prevalent throughout the country as well as efforts to end impunity and make the powerful accountable to the law. We also

bring together all manner of people here in

Washington, policymakers, soldiers, diplomats

and academics to exchange ideas, inform the

public and encourage them to think in new

directions about the challenges facing

Afghanistan and the region.

As Dick mentioned today, we are launching our new book, The Future of Afghanistan, which is a collection of essays by leading thinkers that looks at Afghanistan in a longer term perspective. The purpose of this book and the project as a whole is to get past the usual short-term thinking to formulate a realistic and coherent strategy for Afghanistan going forward and there are copies in the back if you haven't gotten one.

Our time is short and we have a panel of exceptional expertise and wisdom. You have their bios in front of you and a decent introduction for each of them would eat up all time we have. So I'll briefly remind you of who each is now.

Our first speaker is Ashraf Ghani, 1 2. Former Afghan Finance Minister and Governor of its Central Bank and one of the world's 3 leading voices on how to address weak and 5 failing states. Our second speaker is General David Petraeus, the United States Cent Com 7 Commander and perhaps the leading military 8 figure of his generation. Our third speaker is 9 Francesc Vendrell, former EU and U.N. Special Representative for Afghanistan. 10 Francesc has 11 been the Dean of the Diplomatic Corps in Kabul 12 for much of the last decade. And finally we 13 have Representative Tom Perriello, one of our newest members of Congress who won a 14 15 remarkable and remarkably close race in 16 Virginia this fall. Tom is a Human Rights lawyer who has worked on post conflict justice 17 around the world including in Afghanistan. 18 19 Each of our panelists will speak 20 for ten minutes and although time is quite 21 short we hope that there will be some time for 22 questions at the end. So without further ado,

- 1 I give the floor to Ashraf Ghani.
- 2 (Applause.)

MR. GHANI: It is an honor and a 3 4 pleasure to be with you and to participate in 5 such a distinguished panel, all of whom have made or are in the process of making 7 significant contributions to peace and stability in Afghanistan. I would also like 8 9 to thank Ambassador Brahimi who is in the 10 audience and is the godfather of Bonn process 11 and the peace in Afghanistan, an ambassador who did so much and then thank USIP in general 12 13 and Alex Thier in particular for their

15 As far as governance of the economy and policy in Afghanistan is 16 concerned, we are the fork between two roads. 17 The first is the road of narcotics, 18 19 criminalization of the economy, corruption of governance and the erosion of trust of the 20 21 population leading to prolonged conflict, instability and violence. According to the IS 22

commitment to Afghanistan.

14

indices, Afghanistan is now the fourth most

war-torn country, the fifth most corrupt

country and the seventh most fragile state in

the world. As a result, media commentary in

the West is increasing focusing on the vicious

circle.

The second alternative road

however is predicated on a competitive economy
revolving around the agriculture, mining and
services, an effective state that performs all
functions for its citizens and a national
identity that renews the tolerant and
cosmopolitan values of our Afghanistan's
Islamic civilization and embraces a place in
our global society. Taking this road will not
only lead to peace, prosperity and stability
to a virtual circle that will turn Afghanistan
into a bridge between the Islamic and Western
worlds.

In late 2001, international intervention of Afghanistan was based on twin pillars of legitimacy, unanimity of General

1 Assembly and Security Council of the U.N. and the enthusiastic welcome accorded to 2. 3 International Security Forces by the Afghan 4 population. However, a double failure of both 5 the Afghan government and the international community has led us to this vicious circle in 7 which there has been a privatization of politics and a politicalization of the private 8 9 sector. 10 The international community 11 instead of designing instruments suited to 12 context has relied on generic development 13

instead of designing instruments suited to context has relied on generic development tools and approaches that have undermined state capacity and legitimacy and failed to understand the central message of the counterinsurgency doctrine that General Petraeus has done so much to remind us that people must be at the center of any effort to create stability.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

As the vicious circle in

Afghanistan was not invisible, we can be

optimistic that the virtual circle is still

possible. The priority assigned by the new administration to Afghanistan provides the opportunity for the development of a focused international strategy. With the forthcoming elections in Afghanistan itself provides the possibility of a renewed contract between the Afghan people and our government.

2.

Afghan politics is two phases.

One is network of violence and corruption both inside and outside the government. The other is a proliferation of social groups, women groups, youth groups and urban volunteer associations which demonstrates deep cravings for justice, law and order and a functioning policy and economy. The presidential election if conducted in a free and fair manner will allow these new forces of order to acquire a voice to articulate the need for a binding social contract, develop a national consensus on the way forward and begin to implement an agenda for building an effective state.

Success in Afghanistan will not be

1 brought about through military means alone. 2. The Counterinsurgency Doctrine has made it 3 abundantly clear that successful counterinsurgency operations are only 20 5 percent, about military solutions in 80 percent, about political governance and 7 economic solutions. The tactical intent therefore is to arrive at the strategy that 8 9 makes use of national and international 10 instruments of power to systematically reduce 11 the use of force. This requires maximum utilization of Afghan assets and capabilities 12 13 ranging from our natural capital to social and human capital supported by the international 14 15 community rather than ignored or substituted for development projects and system. 16 For the international community to 17 18 become a catalyst for state building in 19 Afghanistan, the following steps are 20 necessary. First, design counterinsurgency 21 operations in a manner that places the needs 22 and aspirations of the Afghan at center stage,

avoid the unsuccessful tactics used by the 1 2 Soviet Army in Afghanistan and articulate a clear strategy for securing, governing and 3 4 developing. Afghanistan is especially diverse 5 and the needs of regions that are currently peaceful need to be given equal priority to 6 7 those where the insurgency is its strongest. Moreover, if counterinsurgency efforts are to 8 9 become the foundation for a state building 10 strategy, then it needs to frame security 11 within a larger framework of law and order.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Second, the international community needs to arrive with a clear understanding of the functions of the state and the levels with which these functions must be performed. Afghanistan has five levels of governance, village, district, municipality, province and central government. Legally it's a unitary state, but it is effectively highly decentralized. Measures for support of each level of governance and mechanisms of international engagement such as PRTs must

therefore create alignment of state
effectiveness at all levels. The success of
the National Solidarity Program, something
that Bob Zellick reminded us this afternoon,
a program directed to villages indicate that
Afghan citizens are willing to do the heavy
lifting of development when given the decision
right and the resources.

The National Telecom Program indicates how the regulatory function of the government can be used to encourage the private sector to provide services. This program brought about an increase from 100 mobile phones in 2002 to the current estimate of 7.5 million phones simultaneously creating one of the largest sources of fiscal revenue for the government.

Three, a land or country where narcotics play such a large role requires the design of an economic policy tailored to unique contextual assets, capabilities and constraints. The aid system has shown little

ability to come forward with such a design and therefore innovation should come from outside the system and draw on the most innovative minds that exist across the business, social and government sectors.

2.

Four, overcoming the challenge presented by narcotics in Afghanistan requires international partnership on designing and implementing an innovative agricultural strategy that could increase the income of rural Afghans from \$1 to \$4 per capita which is the deciding point between engagement in legal and illegal economies.

The feasibility of this approach depends on two conditions beginning first with market access to Europe, the Gulf, China and India but particularly NATO and U.S. Forces as consumers of Afghan produce. Second, investment in infrastructure and institutional support mechanisms that have been proven necessary to underpin successful agricultural development.

Fifth, investment in youth through 1 2. vocation and higher education needs to become 3 a priority. Harnessing the global communication revolution could provide Afghan 5 youth, who constitute the population, with access at minimum cost to institutions of 7 learning across the globe and thereby turn them into stakeholders in the prosperity and 8 9 stability of the global system.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Six, the international community needs to shift from the role as a substituter to that as a partner in capitalists for good governance. This means a commitment both on the part of the Afghan leaders and international actors to create criteria for transparency, accountability and mutual responsibility. Such an approach in turn will require changes in the current instruments of foreign aid and a shift from short term by annual financial support to medium to long term mechanisms for resource allocation and effective utilization.

1 Afghanistan was the first 2. challenge of the 21st century to our inherited institutions of the 20th century. 3 The 4 performance thus far has been decidedly mixed 5 as these organizations have failed to overcome the organizational stove pipes of security, 7 diplomacy and development. Getting Afghanistan right is not just important for 8 9 Afghanistan's sake, but for the creation of 10 global capacity to deal with international 11 challenges. With a commitment of the new 12 13 administration to Afghanistan, the deep reflection on counterinsurgency, the 14 15 increasing acknowledgment of the centrality of the effective states, by both the development 16 and security communities, the conditions exist 17 for a type of approach that can devise 18 19 strategies suited to context. 20 The people of Afghanistan are 21 ready for fundamental change and want nothing more than to lead normal lives. We hope the 22

- international community is ready to partner 1 with us and embark on the difficult but 2. promising journey of transforming Afghanistan 3 into the state that can fulfill its obligation 5 towards the citizens, the region and the world 6 at large. 7 Thank you. 8 (Applause.) 9 MODERATOR THIER: Thank you. 10 General Petraeus. 11 GENERAL PETRAEUS: Good afternoon. 12 Alex, thanks for this opportunity. USIP, 13 thanks for organizing such an important gathering and I see that congratulations are 14 15 in order on your 25th anniversary and I, too, want to start by saluting Ambassador Lakhdar 16 Brahimi. The SRSG in Haiti for whom many of 17 18 us were privileged to serve and a man who has 19 contributed so much in so many difficult 20 places over the years and it's a privilege to
- One observation up front if I

see him again here.

21

could, Alex, I have to tell you that requiring

a U.S. Army General Officer to speak without

PowerPoint slides is a violation of the

standards in the U.S. Army Field Manual on

interrogation techniques.

(Laughter.)

But I'll try to do my best without our normal communications aids as we tackle this important topic.

Afghanistan is obviously a topic of enormous importance not just to the United States as Ashraf made very clear, but to all the Alliance Coalition countries in the world, it is imperative that Afghanistan not become again a sanctuary for transnational, violent extremists. It was for that reason that the United States took action in Afghanistan over seven years and that basic objective remains valid today.

The past seven years have seen accomplishments and setbacks in Afghanistan.

There's been agreement on a constitution and

1 a government, increased access to education 2. and telecommunications, construction of infrastructure, establishment of various 3 national institutions, improvements in certain 5 basic services, development of the Afghan national army and so on. But we've also seen 7 reconstitution of the Taliban and Al-Qaeda and other extremist elements, a corresponding 8 9 spiral downward in security especially in the 10 east and south, the emergence of considerable 11 corruption problems, the expansion until last year at least of poppy production and numerous 12 13 challenges in developing governmental institutions and local police that have 14 15 legitimacy in the eyes of the people. There has been nothing easy about Afghanistan. 16 Indeed virtually every aspect has been hard 17 and that will continue to be the case in 2009 18 19 and the years beyond. 20 In my brief comments here this 21 afternoon, I'll seek to make three points: 22 first, that Afghanistan is not Iraq; second,

that the effort in Afghanistan will require

not just more U.S. coalition and Afghan forces

but also a more comprehensive and coordinated

effort than has been the case to date; and

third, that achieving progress in Afghanistan

will require a regional approach.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

First, I said is the fact that Afghanistan is not Iraq. We and our Afghan and Coalition partners are in a tough fight in Afghanistan and the natural tendency will be to look to the ways in which progress was achieved in Iraq for possible answers. this regard, it's important to remember a key principle of counterinsurgency operations that every case is unique and requires a carefully designed approach that is appropriate to the specific situation. Thus, while as Ashraf pointed out, the principles of counterinsurgency operations remain very valid, concepts such as the importance of securing and serving the population and the necessity of living among the people in order

to do so. These principles nonetheless have
to be adapted to the unique cultural,

political, geographic and human terrain of
Afghanistan.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

In examining this terrain, it's abundantly clear just how different Afghanistan is from Iraq. A few comparisons. Afghanistan is more than 200,000 square miles larger than Iraq with a population of five million more and borders that are almost 2,000 miles longer than Iraq's which are plenty challenging themselves. Dominated by the rugged Hindu Kush, much of Afghanistan is extremely harsh mountainous terrain while Iraq outside the northern Kurdish areas is relatively flat. The weather in Afghanistan, of course, is much more extreme than that of Iraq as well. Hence, our operations there must always confront what our commanders call "the tyrannies of distance, topography and weather" that are much challenging than those in Iraq and are aggravated further by the

relative lack of infrastructure in Afghanistan 1 2. as well.

3

20

21

22

In addition to the kaleidoscope of 4 ethnic, sectarian and tribal elements in 5 Afghanistan, other elements of the human terrain are also quite different from those in 7 Iraq. Afghanistan has for example significantly higher illiteracy rates and much 8 9 less of the well educated human capital that 10 exists in Iraq even after the sectarian violence in Iraq prompted some two million of 11 their citizens to leave the country. 12 13 Additionally, while Iraq has had experience in muscle memory, if you will, of a strong 14 15 central government, Afghanistan's experience has been quite different within general much 16 greater autonomy exercised by tribal elements 17 and other local and regional strong men. 18 19

Afghanistan also lacks the incredible natural resource blessings of Iraq. It does have significant mineral resources and could certainly be an exporter of significant

quantities of certain agricultural products as
well. But these pale by comparison by the

potential wealth of Iraq's resources. As a

result, while Iraq this past year generated

nearly \$60 billion in oil export revenue

alone, Afghanistan's total revenue generation

was well under \$1 billion.

Finally, although Afghanistan has achieved progress in certain basic service provisions since 2001, it still lags well behind Iraq in provision of electricity, drinking water and education particularly in the rural areas. For all of Iraq's shortcomings, it does have relatively mature, if poorly maintained, infrastructure that has been and is being rebuilt while Afghanistan again has very little by comparison. In Afghanistan, it is important to remember that we are helping the Afghans construct basic infrastructure not reconstruct it.

Having highlighted those

differences between Afghanistan and Iraq, now

1 let me turn to my second point and highlight 2. the need for our commitment to be sustained, 3 comprehensive and coordinated. After a trip 4 to Afghanistan in September 2005 to evaluate 5 the Afghan security force development effort, I offered to Secretary Rumsfeld an assessment 7 that Afghanistan would likely be the longest 8 campaign in the Long War. Developments in 9 recent years appear to have validated that 10 conclusion. As such, achieving progress 11 needless to say will take time and our commitment including our combat forces, 12 13 enablers, training teams and others will have to be sustained. 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Our commitment must also extend

well beyond the security realm. To be sure,

it's vital that the Coalition and our Afghan

partners arrest and then reverse the downward

spiral in security. Doing so, however, will

require progress not just in the security

arena but in a host of other areas as well, in

development of infrastructure and building

governmental capacity and reducing corruption 1 2. and other practices that undermine governmental legitimacy and in development of 3 4 the rule of law that Ashraf also highlighted. 5 And in the year ahead, of course, we'll also 6 have to help our Afghan partners conduct and 7 secure national elections that are seen to be legitimate by the people of Afghanistan. 8 9 Voter registration for which, by the way, has 10 gone relatively smoothly so far in the first 11 three of four phases, albeit, with registration in the toughest areas soon to 12 13 begin. These many challenges will require 14 15 not just a comprehensive commitment but also one that achieves unity of effort between 16 Afghan and Coalition elements and together 17 with international and non government 18 19 organizations. Certainly, as I noted earlier, 20 we'll need more Coalition and Afghan security 21 forces including the additional training teams

to help develop and enable the Afghan national

22

army and the Afghan national police. But we will also need more civilian contributions and greater international involvement to enable a whole of governments approach that is unified and coordinated between all of those involved.

2.

Now just as we can't focus on only one line of operation, we also can't focus just on Afghanistan. It's not possible to resolve the challenges internal to Afghanistan without addressing the challenges especially in terms of security related to Afghanistan's neighbors. A regional approach is required.

A key element of the regional approach, of course, is Pakistan's recognition that the existential threat to Pakistan comes from the violent extremists operating in the federally administered tribal areas in the northwest frontier province. There is an increasing recognition, in fact, that this threat poses a much greater challenge to Pakistan than does that from its traditional rival, India. Indeed Afghanistan and Pakistan

have in many ways merged into a single problem

set and the way forward in Afghanistan is

incomplete with a strategy that includes and

assists Pakistan and involved India.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Beyond Pakistan, the Central Asian States must also be part of regional strategy for Afghanistan. Certainly, no state in the region wants to see Afghanistan harboring and exporting transnational extremists and the nexus between the Afghan insurgency and narcotics smuggling presents a regional security threat as well. The Central Asian States share the concerns of all about extremism and drug smuggling in Afghanistan and they also can provide a northern line of communication should that prove necessary and we are exploring that as you might imagine. So they clearly must be part of the regional approach as well.

Finally, as an aside, there are even common interests between Afghanistan, the Coalition and Iran, though there are also

- 1 major conflicting interests needless to say.
- 2 But I'm happy to leave that element of the
- 3 regional approach to the diplomats and policy
- 4 makers.

5 In the end and in conclusion, much

of what we're trying to accomplish will

7 revolve around relationships and involve the

8 human terrain, another point that Ashraf just

9 underscored. We must to seek to build and

10 support relationships at every level of

11 endeavor, local, provincial, national and

regional, and as we go forward, it will be

incumbent on all of us to demonstrate that the

14 United States and the Coalition of Countries

15 engaged in Afghanistan are reliable,

16 determined and consistent partners. Again,

partners, not occupiers, another point that

18 Ashraf underscored.

As I've sought to convey in my ten
minutes here this afternoon, such partners

will have to keep in mind that Afghanistan is

22 not Iraq. They will have to demonstrate

1 commitment to sustain comprehensive,

2 coordinated approaches and they will have to

3 develop and execute a regional strategy that

4 includes Pakistan, India, the Central Asian

5 States and even China and Russia along with

6 perhaps at some point Iran.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The United States and the other members of the Coalition have important national interest in Afghanistan, Pakistan and their neighbors. The common national security imperatives highlighted by 9-11 and by subsequent attacks in a number of other countries still exist. Sanctuaries in which transnational extremists recruit, train, plan and organize for operations cannot be This security imperative though permitted. requires a comprehensive, coordinated and sustained approach that reflects an unwavering commitment to the region, one that if properly executed can help promote security, stability and over time growing prosperity.

Thank you very much.

1 (Applause.)

2 MODERATOR THIER: Thank you.

3 MR. VENDRELL: I will omit the

4 usual -- I will skip the usual courtesies

5 because they will eat into my time which is

6 apparently only ten minutes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Let me first say what I think

Afghans want and I think the first thing

Afghans want is security and a modicum of rule

of law. I think Afghans want food security

which they don't have at this moment. They

want employment. They want better governance

at the center and in the provinces and

districts. Afghans want radical measures

against corruption and an end to the culture

of impunity.

Afghans once welcomed the international military presence in the belief that it would provide security and an end to decades of misrule. Starting with the U.S. support or lack of interest in removing the warlords and commanders whom the Afghans have

come to hate for their misrule in the 1990s 1 2. and continuing with the spread of the 3 insurgency and the number of civilian deaths, Afghans increasingly question the value of the 5 international military presence and are beginning to blame it for the country's ills. 7 The initial welcome as Mr. Brahimi very 8 rightly pointed out, the initial welcome is 9 turning to impatience and even downright 10 hostility. 11 The objectives pursued by the 12 international community and by the Afghan 13 government appeal unclear, not to say confused, to many Afghans. One day they hear 14 15 the military solution is impossible. The next day they hear that the Coalition rise up 16 bombing villages. The following day they hear 17 the president insist that he wants to 18

19

20

21

22

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

"negotiate with Muammar" only to hear the same

government call the Taliban terrorists.

wonder the Afghans end up believing the

wildest conspiracy theories. Rightly or

wrongly, the Afghans see us foreigners as complicit in the errors and wrongdoings of their government.

What needs to be done? A review of the strategy being pursued needs to be conducted, centered in meeting Afghan grievances and aspirations. A military surge if it is to take place at all needs to be part of a broader new strategy. It also needs to encompass Pakistan and Iran and other countries in the region including India.

It is necessary to drastically reduce civilian causalities even at the cost of risking that insurgents in a town or a village will escape. Otherwise, we are creating more recruits for the Taliban and greater opposition to the Western military presence.

So a status of forces agreement is needed. It is better to negotiate it now when it can be done in an amicable way than after the Afghans demand it in parliament and it

becomes a hot issue in the country. It is essential to redouble efforts to build security, but also rule of law institutions.

The issue of food security needs to be urgently addressed if it is the three priorities agreed at the Paris conference in June, namely, agriculture including, I might add, perhaps, why not, subsidies to farmers who grow legal crops. We do it in Europe. You do it in America. As well as models of priorities, irrigation and electricity.

Now we have agreed that there is no military solution possible, but we have yet to define what do we mean by a political solution. On reconciliation it is urgent that the government of Afghanistan defines its framework, establish a central point in the president's office to direct contacts reports with the Taliban. It is also essential to define who in the Taliban one will be talking to, perhaps I would believe starting with the field commanders. It is necessary also to

define redlines for these talks. The linking
the Taliban leadership from Al-Qaeda may not
be easy and it will certainly be hard to
verify.

It is also essential that we decide what and the government of Afghanistan decides what price the Afghans will have to pay for reconciliation with the Taliban. Will it mean the abandonment or curtailing of the objectives agreed at the London conference or in the Afghanistan Compact?

On elections, let's be very clear.

Credible elections this summer would further stabilize the country and it is hard to see how security can be maintained in a way that such credible elections would be possible. It is also important to nurture and support reformist political parties in civil society organizations. We should be supporting platforms, alliances and programs rather than individuals. A regional framework that addresses the unresolved Kashmiri problem both

in the interest of India in terms of it's
minority and in terms of encouraging the
Pakistani military to look west rather than
east is also extremely important.

We need to rebuild an international consensus around Afghanistan that to some degree was achieved at the time of Bonn. Talking to Iran which played a helpful role in 2001 - 2002 could be one step and it could be just the one topic on which some understanding may be reached.

that Afghanistan, sorry, that Iran is original power and that it has legitimate national interest in Afghanistan. Narcotics, for example, could be a useful issue to start the discussions on. I think the U.S. should no longer prevent Afghanistan from signing or reaching a nonaggression pact with Iran and it would be excellent if the U.S. were also to make it clear that it is not seeking long-term military bases in that country.

1	Finally, about Europe, it will be
2	a hard job to do even if at the beginning
3	there will be a willingness by Europeans to
4	listen to the Obama Administration in a way
5	that they didn't listen to the Bush
6	Administration. But the more Americanized the
7	war becomes the less likely it is that you
8	will find European countries with the
9	exception probably of the U.K. willing to send
10	more forces or to have a greater commitment in
11	the country. And finally the U.N. needs to be
12	kept in mind. The U.N. should be the central
13	coordinator of our efforts in Afghanistan.
14	Thank you very much.
15	(Applause.)
16	REPRESENTATIVE PERRIELLO: Good
17	afternoon. It is an honor to be on this
18	panel. Thank you very much for the
19	invitation.
20	My original, my first trip, to
21	Afghanistan in 2005 came because a survey had
22	been done, a quantitative survey, in the

1 country asking Afghans what was the top 2. security threat and well over 80 percent of 3 Afghans said corruption, not the insurgency. I was sent in essentially to debunk this study 5 by running a qualitative survey with a 60 person team of Afghans covering every district 7 of the country and what came back very clearly 8 was in fact an overwhelming majority of 9 Afghans felt that corruption was the single 10 greatest threat to security and stability, not 11 that the insurgency wasn't, but that it was corruption in fact that enabled the insurgency 12 13 to rebound.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

between a legitimate, strong government and the Taliban the Taliban will lose that choice. If it's between what's perceived to be a local narco-trafficking warlord or the Taliban, the Taliban actually does much better in that choice. So we cannot in any way disaggregate the challenges of an anti-corruption agenda with that of fighting the insurgency.

The break, there are many points 2. that we can look at as turning points in the 3 situation in Afghanistan, but most on the ground I found that during the trips in `05 5 and `07 felt like the parliamentary elections 6 to some extent in 2005 was the turning point. 7 When there was an opportunity for a vetting process where many Afghans risked their lives 8 9 to call out by name some of the most corrupt 10 elements, those who were most associated with 11 atrocities in the past and other things and 12 that list for vetting was eventually whittled 13 down to next to nothing. At that point, many people lost faith in what they saw as a 14 15 democratic process backed by the U.S. that people had such high hopes for for such a long 16 17 time. Our military has done an excellent 18 19 job and NATO has done an excellent job. 20 we are working still in a fairly and

1

21

22

increasingly toxic political environment that

presents great challenges. In that sense with

1 the conversation right now about additional

2 battalions in Afghanistan, there is almost no

3 question that it is an important and crucial

4 part of stabilizing the situation there.

5 However, doing so without fundamentally

6 changing the political dynamics on the ground

7 could well be fuel on the fire.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

What we need is to couple an increased international and U.S. troop presence with what I would call an accountability offensive. We need a serious accountability offensive in Afghanistan and we need it not just in sort of creative governing solutions like a civilian embed program and local and regional governance modeled on the very successful military embed program that has been going on there. But I mean at least considering some higher risk, higher return efforts at challenging the fundamental issue of corruption. We need to keep in mind with the warlordism which I would admit is an overgeneralized term is nonetheless more of an

exogenous than an endogenous presence in

Afghan governing structures.

We do need to look to empower jirgas, ummahs, other parts of the local governing structure. The answer right now is not to empower them by militarizing them, but by removing the threats to their power namely some of these systematic elements of corruption that are going on.

While I certainly agree with

General Petraeus' remarks and that there are
strong, strong differences between the
situation in Afghanistan and the situation in
Iraq, there are also some similarities.

Again, there is a potential drive wedges
between domestic and foreign elements. There
are opportunities for a high risk civilian
protection effort that would be greatly
appreciated by Afghans and go a long way in
shoring up perceptions of the United States
and we face a legitimacy gap less of our own
presence than our association with existing

particularly local governing structures and
while that legitimacy gap exists there are
severe limits on what our military is capable
of doing and accomplishing in those
situations. So there are some similarities in
those ways.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And I would mention one other thing as a political remark having taken the step from non-profit work into politics recently. While there are many great things about the Iraq surge in 2006, I would say my biggest complaint with it, with all due respect, is calling it the surge and it's accompanying phrase at the time of doubling down on the strategy in Iraq. I think, in fact, what happened was a substantial and very intelligent break from the doctrine preceding So where you saw decline in what you might call the Bush doctrine or an era or failure of arbitrary power you've seen the emergence of a doctrine of smart power and I think after two election cycles where the

American people have expressed great concern
with the situation in Iraq, I think we need to
prepare them for what may be sustained effort
in Afghanistan and one that gets worse before
it gets better.

And part of doing that is to give the American people a sense of ownership over this shift. The fact is there was a response to what voters said in 2006 and 2008. We have seen the emergence again of what I would consider an era of smart power. That smart power is defined in part by understanding in the 21st century one of our greatest weapons is that of legitimacy.

I was involved in the showdown with the dictator Charles Taylor in Liberia and I was the special advisor to the prosecutor that issued the war crimes indictments against him and when we had issued those indictments it was not a risk free move. Many felt like we were backing him into a corner while the LURD rebels were moving on

Monrovia and I was getting sad phone calls 1 2 from them every day. But what we knew was 3 that we were also creating a new option which 4 was the possibility for a regime transition 5 based on legitimacy and the rule of law and eventually that happened through a great 6 7 combined effort of U.S. troops, regional 8 diplomacy and the legitimacy of that 9 indictment.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I think we exist now at a moment where we have a much expanded tool chest of our options. There is more than simply economic sanctions and military options. I think what we saw in Iraq and what is becoming more clear is that that expanded tool set was engaged and I think that was a reflection not only of the reality of security on the ground but also of the political processes. I think we're looking at a move from sort of a-head-in-the-sand approach to an-ear-to-the-ground approach since 2006 that has been crucial.

You know when I was in Kandahar

1 most recently, a year and a half ago, and 2 having conversations with some of the young 3 men there who were actively being recruited by Taliban, the first hour would always be them 4 5 telling me everything they thought I wanted to 6 hear and breaking down or building up some 7 room for trust going forward. Many of them had gotten to the point once we got into the 8 9 truth period of actually believing that Bin 10 Laden might be on the U.S. payroll. Now this is because they have reached a point of not 11 understanding the dynamics on the ground and 12 13 being so frustrated with their local governing 14 structures.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Now what does this mean? This means we have a chance right now because the conflict is not fundamentally ideological there. It's not anti-American sentiment.

It's people not wanting their homes blown up and there's actually great room and great appreciation for much of what the U.S. has done. I think if we can expand that troop

presence to provide the security people want
with this kind of accountability offensive we
can see the situation shift.

My last day in Kabul one of the security experts there said, "The insurgency in Afghanistan could outpace the Iraq insurgency in three to four years if we don't get on top of corruption." But if we do we can actually see a kind of stabilization not just there but across the border and in the region, a region that is of great, great security interest to the United States.

I believe this is something that is going to take the same kind of creativity and investment that we have seen in other recent conflicts. But again, we have to understand that if we don't change the political dynamics on the ground an increased presence may do more harm than good. But if we make that switch together, I think we will see things turn back around there.

Thank you very much.

1	(Applause.)
2	MODERATOR THIER: Well, that was
3	not only a terrific discussion thus far but
4	well on time and so we are going to have a few
5	minutes for questions. I'm going to ask if
6	We have a number of members of the media here
7	and so I'm going to take the first question or
8	two from members of the media if there is
9	anybody out there. I have in the far back. It
10	was this gentleman Okay.
11	MR. GRAY: Andrew Gray from
12	Reuters, this is a question in particular for
13	General Petraeus. You talked about common
14	interests with Iran when it comes to
15	Afghanistan. Could you outline a bit more
16	about what those might be?
17	GENERAL PETRAEUS: No. As I said,
18	Andrew, thanks for a great question. But as
19	I said, I'm happy to leave that to the
20	diplomats during a time of transition in
21	Washington.
22	MODERATOR THIER: That will leave

- 1 a lot more time for questions.
- 2 (Laughter.)
- 3 Over here.
- 4 GENERAL PETRAEUS: You have a
- 5 whole table of diplomats though.
- 6 MS. BUMILLER: Elisabeth Bumiller
- 7 from The New York Times. You talked about a
- 8 sustained commitment in Afghanistan. Do you
- 9 envision it as a decade as it's envisioned in
- the book that was put out today? That's a
- 11 question for General Petraeus. I'm sorry.
- 12 GENERAL PETRAEUS: You know, I
- recently did an interview with Foreign Policy
- and at the end they asked a similar question
- 15 and I actually said I wouldn't hazard a quess
- on it and I don't think it's prudent to do
- 17 that actually. Actually I thank the
- 18 Congressman for pointing out that there are
- 19 certainly, absolutely, similarities in both
- 20 the sort of theoretical, conceptual
- 21 counterinsurgency approach way in Afghanistan
- 22 with Iraq and also in some of the other

respects there in terms of if you talk about political legitimacy and all the rest.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

But there we tried to frankly avoid looking out too far. If you look at the joint campaign plan that Ambassador Crocker and I developed a few months into the period of surge we laid out a horizon of about three years and that's about as far as we looked. That doesn't mean that you don't have longterm goals and objectives that are trying to be achieved. But we laid out measurable and measured objectives during that period and that was the approach that we took there and I think that's probably a fairly wise approach to take while certainly having your eye on the horizon and what it is that you're trying to do but to very much focus on the core objectives and then the very necessary activities and tasks that have to be performed to achieve those core objectives in route to perhaps more expansive goals long term.

Let me expand

MODERATOR THIER:

1 that question to other members of the panel 2 because I think the purpose of the book is not 3 so much to say the time commitment should be 4 one years, five years, ten years, but rather 5 to say that if this is a challenge of the 6 enormity and complexity that all of the 7 panelists have outlined that we need to be able to think farther into the future about 8 9 what we want to achieve in order to get to the 10 sorts of objectives that I think the General was just outlining and I'm interested to know 11 from the perspective of Afghan, European and 12 13 a member of Congress whether, in fact, we have the ability to sustain a commitment to 14 15 Afghanistan in the long term and whether the Afghans can sustain that commitment from us. 16 GENERAL PETRAEUS: 17 Let me just build on it because he's just laid out, in 18 19 fact, why I think it's logical to explain what 20 I just did and that is again you want to know 21 eventually where you want to go. Well, 22 obviously if you don't know where you're

going, any road will take you there. But you
also have to have some weigh points along the
way and that should be your focus. If you
want to get to those weigh points, certainly
you have to have the long term.

But what I'm really trying to say
here today and one of the points that I tried
to make is that this is going to take a
sustained, substantial commitment and that is
the key. And it will take a sustained,
substantial commitment not just in Afghanistan
but also in Pakistan and one that obviously
engages the regional actors.

And I didn't mean to be too

dismissive of the Iran question. It's just

that I don't want to get completely going down

that road because it's a very hot topic,

Andrew, as you know, as you tried to tease out

of me. I mean clearly there are both as I

pointed out some common objectives that no one

I think would disagree on, again as another

panelist pointed out that Iran is concerned

- about the narcotics trade. It doesn't want to 1 2. see Sunni extremists running or certainly ultra fundamentalists extremists running 3 4 Afghanistan again any more than other folks 5 do. Having said that, as I also point 7 out, there are some pretty substantial points 8 of conflict out there as well in the greater 9 strategic context in which one considers the
- where I'm happy again to hand to that
 diplomats and the policy makers to weigh those

common interests and, of course, those are

very big issues that are out there, some of those that we confronted in Iraq in fact.

But now let me hand it off.

MODERATOR THIER: Any of other

17 panelists want to comment.

10

18

19

20

21

22

MS. GHANI: The first point is in comparative terms a successful counterinsurgency has taken 10 to 15 years.

That's just a historical record and I think one needs to take a horizon like that into

account. But having said that, the question
is what role does the international community
play.

4 GENERAL PETRAEUS: That's exactly 5 the question, yes.

MS. GHANI: Is it a capitalist to build up on capabilities so they can get to the act of solving our problems ourselves or does it do the job? That is a major difference because there are tradeoffs.

In terms of investing in Afghan capabilities a shorter period of maximum front-loading of investment will produce the type of results that will sustain the effort of that cause and I think one has to be able to differentiate this clearly. This is what did not take place between 2002 and 2005 which was the golden open moment. Afghanistan was not resourced. We were begging for resources and nobody was coming forth. I reminded both the White House and others at that time that \$1 million debt would be equivalent to \$100

1 million five years later and that kind of 2. investment did not come. 3 Now in the last four years we've lost substantial momentum and regaining that 5 momentum is going to require a massive investment in effort at least for a period of 7 three years. But that could set the conditions for the transformation that we 9 need. So international forces need not be 10 engaged with an intense degree of involvement if the type of strategy is one of co-11 12 production with --13 GENERAL PETRAEUS: Yes, it's about the character of the involvement. 14 15 MS. GHANI: Exactly. 16 GENERAL PETRAEUS: And again we

GENERAL PETRAEUS: And again we tried to make that same point in Iraq,
Elisabeth, as well and I think in fact what is happening in Iraq now which is not Afghanistan but what is happening in Iraq is that character of the involvement is changing as you heard from Dr. Mowaffak al-Rubaie earlier

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 today. There should be no question after 2. hearing him about the way in which Iraqi 3 security forces and Iraqi governmental authorities have assumed not just a variety of 5 security tasks but a variety of other responsibilities together also over the past 7 year, year and a half, in particular and with the implementation now of the Strategic 8 9 Agreement even more so. 10 MR. VENDRELL: I think there is a 11 parallelism between European public opinion and Afghan public opinion. Afghans complain 12 13 about -- I gave a list of the complaints of 14 the Afghans. These are in many ways mirrored 15 in European public opinion. When they see there is enormous corruption, bad governance 16

There is also a Catch-22 situation
in terms of U.S. involvement. The U.S. feels
it needs to become more involved because we

for the Afghan operation.

17

18

19

and civilian casualties, this eats into the

support that Europe gives to Afghanistan or

Europeans are not going enough. But the more the Americans do the more likely it is that the Europeans will feel that this has become an American operation. So we need to find a way quite soon to cut the Gordian knot of this dilemma.

2.

GENERAL PETRAEUS: Well, in fact, if I could build on that because one of the efforts just in the recent months has been to dual hat if you will, General McKiernan as the U.S. Commander as well as the NATO commander, and the intent is to achieve greater unity of effort and because we had a very interesting situation where you had certain organizations that were operationally under him that were reporting directly in fact to Central Command and again that causes certain challenges in terms of that unity of effort.

And I think you've identified a challenge that the United States has as it does go forward with the increase of forces, the additional deployment into Afghanistan,

and that is at the same time to take even

greater, make a greater, effort to make it a

coordinated effort with our alliance partners.

It's the reason I've been in London, Paris and

Rome just in the past two or three months I

guess it is and going to Brussels and Mainz

and other allied countries as well.

So it is hugely important that it again be a coordinated and combined effort and I think that those of us who served in Balkans actually have some experience with frankly how that can be done and I would hold those experiences out as reasonable examples actually of cases in which, yes, there was a substantial U.S. involvement, usually the lead nation, but also very substantial alliance contributions and everyone working together, even by the way though there were still caveats.

I mean we've had caveats all the time and as an operations chief in fact in Bosnia I had a matrix on my desk of what

countries could do what. You can work through
that and I'm not saying that we applaud those,
but I mean you can work through these things
and that's what we have to do.

REPRESENTATIVE PERRIELLO: I'll just add quickly. I think if we view this situation, if we see accountability and anticorruption efforts and other transitional justice efforts as post-conflict strategies, if we see them as luxuries independent from the security agenda, we will not see a shift for a long, long time. We can't militarize our way out of this problem, particularly not by continuing using more arms to some of the local governing structures for that to happen.

If, however, we see these as a primary leverage point for changing the balance of political power and again I don't mean this in sort of going after targets way, I mean very tough accountability agenda whether that would be for war crimes, corruption or narcotics, you're going after

the same set of actors, that I think will

shift the balance of power in ways that will

be disruptive in the short term but create the

opportunity for a near-to-midterm turnaround

that would be significant. So we can't see

those as separate tracks.

I think again those coming out of the Iraq situation understand that. I think those of what I've seen on the ground unfortunately in Afghanistan has not always reflected that sense. So I think if we get serious about going right to the root cause of this that we can see a turnaround in the near-to-midterm.

MODERATOR THIER: Well, I have the unfortunate task of ending this panel because I think we all wish we could hear more. But it has been a long and I think remarkable day and I'm going to turn it over to our Chairman, Robin West, to give the final remark.

CHAIRMAN WEST: My final remarks
will be blessedly brief. I want to thank this

		Page	58
1	panel and I want to thank all the participants		
2	who have been up here, but I also want to		
3	thank all of you and finally I want to thank		
4	again the staff of the Institute of Peace. I		
5	think they've put on an extraordinary and		
6	really unique production.		
7	(Applause.)		
8	(Whereupon, the above-entitled		
9	matter was concluded.)		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

A
abandonment 33:9
ability 13:1 48:14
able 48:8 51:15
above-entitled 58:8
absolutely 46:19
abundantly 10:3
20:6
academics 4:3
access 13:16 14:6
18:1
accompanying
40:14
accomplish 27:6
accomplishing 40:4
accomplishments
17:21
accorded 8:2
account 51:1
accountability
14:16 38:11,12
44:2 56:7,20
accountable 3:22
achieve 47:20 48:9
54:12
achieved 19:12 22:9
34:7 47:11
achieves 24:16
achieving 19:5
23:10
acknowledgment 15:15
acquire 9:17 act 51:8
action 17:17
actively 43:3
activities 47:19
activities 47.19 actors 14:15 49:13
57:1
adapted 20:2
adapted 20.2 add 32:8 56:6
add 32.8 30.0 addition 21:3
addition 21.3
38:1 54:22
Additionally 21:13
address 5:4
addressed 32:5

addresses 33:22 addressing 25:10 administered 25:17 administration 1:6 9:2 15:13 35:4,6 admit 38:21 ado 5:22 advisor 3:4 41:17 Afghan 5:2 8:3,5 9:7,8 10:12,22 12:6 13:18 14:4,14 18:5 19:2,8 23:5 23:17 24:6,17,20 24:22 25:1 26:10
30:12 31:6 39:2 48:12 51:11 53:12 53:19 Afghanistan 1:12
2:6,16 3:6,12 4:6,9 4:10,15 5:10,18 6:8,11,14,16 7:1 7:17,21 8:21 9:2,5 9:22 10:19 11:2,4 11:16 13:7 15:1,8
15:13,20 16:3 17:10,14,17,21 18:16,22 19:1,5,8 19:10 20:4,7,8,13 20:16 21:1,5,7,19 22:8,16,18,22 23:4 23:7 24:8 25:8,9
25:72 1:10 25:05,5 25:22 26:2,7,8,14 26:21 27:15,21 28:9 32:16 33:6,11 34:6,13,15,18 35:13,21 37:3 38:2 38:12 39:13 41:4
44:6 45:15 46:8,21 48:15 49:11 50:4 51:18 52:19 53:18 54:22 57:10 Afghanistan's 7:13
15:9 21:15 22:6 25:11 Afghans 13:11 22:19 29:8,9,10,14 29:17,22 30:4,14

30:21 31:1,22 33:7

36:1,3,6,9 37:8
39:19 48:16 53:12
53:14
afternoon 12:4
16:11 18:21 27:20
35:17
agenda 9:21 36:21
56:11,20
aggravated 20:22
ago 43:1
agree 39:10
agreed 32:6,12
33:10
agreement 17:22
31:19 53:9
agricultural 13:9,21
22:1
agriculture 7:9 32:7
ahead 24:5
aid 12:22 14:19
aids 17:8
albeit 24:11
Alex 2:12,14,17 3:3
6:13 16:12 17:1
ALEXANDER 1:22
alignment 12:1
alliance 17:13 55:3
55:16
alliances 33:20
allied 55:7
allocation 14:21
allow 9:17
alternative 7:7
Al-Qaeda 18:7 33:2
al-Rubaie 52:22
ambassador 6:9,11
16:16 47:5
America 32:10
American 41:1,7
54:4
Americanized 35:6
Americans 54:2
amicable 31:21
Andrew 45:11,18
The state of the s
49:18
anniversary 16:15
annual 14:20
answer 39:5

anti 56:7
anti-American
43:18
anti-corruption
36:21
anybody 45:9
an-ear-to-the-gro
42:20
apparently 29:6
appeal 30:13
appear 23:9
applaud 56:2
Applause 6:2 16:8
29:1 35:15 45:1
58:7
appreciated 39:19
appreciation 43:21
approach 13:14
14:17 15:18 19:6
19:16 25:4,12,14
26:19 27:3 28:18
42:20,21 46:21
47:13,14
approaches 8:13
28:2
appropriate 19:16
arbitrary 40:20
areas 20:15 22:13
23:21 24:12 25:17
arena 23:21
arms 56:14
army 11:2 17:2,4
18:6 25:1
arrest 23:18
arrive 10:8 11:13
articulate 9:18 11:2
Ashraf 1:19 5:1 6:1
17:12 19:17 24:4
27:8,18
Asian 26:5,12 28:4
aside 26:20
asked 46:14
askeu 40:14 asking 36:1
C
aspect 18:17 aspirations 10:22
31:7
11.7

Assembly 8:1

answers 19:12

assessment 23:6 assets 10:12 12:21 assigned 9:1 assists 26:4 associated 37:10 association 39:22 associations 9:13 assumed 53:4 atrocities 37:11 attacks 28:12 audience 6:10 **audio** 1:25 authorities 53:4 autonomy 21:17 avoid 11:1 47:4 **a-head** 42:19

В back 4:16 36:7 44:21 45:9 **backed** 37:15 backing 41:21 **bad** 53:16 **balance** 56:18 57:2 **Balkans** 55:10 Ballroom 1:14 **Bank** 5:3 **based** 7:21 42:5 **bases** 34:22 **basic** 17:18 18:5 22:9.19 **BATON** 1:5 battalions 38:2 becoming 42:14 **begging** 51:19 beginning 13:15 30:6 35:2 **belief** 29:18 **believe** 32:21 44:13 **believing** 30:21 43:9 **best** 17:7 better 3:11 29:12 31:20 36:19 41:5 **beyond** 18:19 23:16 26:5 **big** 50:13 **biggest** 40:12

billion 22:5,7

Bin 43:9 **capita** 13:11 **chest** 42:11 50:10 congratulations capital 10:13.14 **chief** 55:21 communication 16:14 **binding** 9:18 Congress 5:14 14:4 26:16 **bios** 4:19 21:9 **China** 13:16 28:5 **bit** 45:15 **choice** 36:14.16.20 communications 48:13 capitalist 51:6 **blame** 30:6 capitalists 14:12 **circle** 7:6,17 8:6,20 17:8 Congressman 46:18 blessedly 57:22 carefully 19:15 communities 15:17 **consensus** 9:19 34:6 8:22 blessings 21:20 case 18:18 19:4,15 **citizens** 7:11 12:6 **community** 8:6,10 consider 41:11 **blown** 43:19 cases 55:14 16:5 21:12 10:15,17 11:13 considerable 18:10 14:10 16:1 30:12 **Bob** 12:4 casualties 53:17 **civil** 33:18 considering 38:18 **bombing** 30:17 catalyst 10:18 **civilian** 25:2 30:3 51:2 considers 50:9 **Bonn** 6:10 34:8 Catch-22 53:20 31:13 38:14 39:17 **Compact** 33:11 consistent 27:16 book 2:15 4:8.12 53:17 comparative 50:19 conspiracy 30:22 causalities 31:13 46:10 48:2 cause 51:15 57:12 civilization 7:14 comparison 22:2.17 constitute 14:5 **border** 44:10 **causes** 54:17 comparisons 20:7 constitution 17:22 **clear** 10:3 11:3.13 **borders** 20:10 caveats 55:19,20 17:12 20:6 33:12 competitive 7:8 constraints 12:22 **Bosnia** 55:22 **Cent** 5:6 34:21 42:15 complain 53:12 construct 22:19 **Brahimi** 6:9 16:17 center 1:15 8:18 clearly 26:18 36:7 complaint 40:12 construction 18:2 49:19 51:16 complaints 53:13 30:7 10:22 29:13 consumers 13:18 **break** 37:1 40:17 centered 31:6 **close** 3:2 5:15 completely 49:16 contacts 32:18 breaking 43:6 central 5:3 8:15 **coalition** 17:13 19:2 complexity 48:6 context 8:12 15:19 **bridge** 7:18 11:18 21:15 26:5 19:9 23:17 24:17 complicit 31:2 50:9 **brief** 18:20 57:22 26:12 28:4 32:17 24:20 26:22 27:14 comprehensive contextual 12:21 briefly 4:21 35:12 54:16 28:8 30:16 19:3 23:3 24:15 continue 18:18 28:1.17 **bring** 4:1 centrality 15:15 coherent 4:14 continuing 30:2 broader 31:9 **century** 15:2,3 colleague 2:12 concepts 19:20 56:14 **brought** 10:1 12:13 41:13 collection 4:9 conceptual 46:20 **contract** 9:6,19 **Brussels** 55:6 certain 18:4 22:1,9 **Com** 5:6 concern 41:1 contributed 16:19 **build** 27:9 32:2 **combat** 23:12 concerned 6:17 contributions 6:7 54:14,17 49:22 25:2 55:17 48:18 51:7 54:8 certainly 21:22 **combined** 42:7 55:9 **building** 3:17 9:21 24:19 26:7 33:3 come 13:1.2 30:1 concerning 3:7 Convention 1:15 39:10 46:19 47:15 10:18 11:9 23:22 52:2 concerns 26:13 conversation 38:1 43:6 49:4 50:2 comes 25:15 45:14 concluded 58:9 conversations 43:2 **Bumiller** 46:6,6 **Chairman** 57:19.21 **coming** 51:20 57:7 conclusion 23:10 **convey** 27:19 **Bush** 35:5 40:19 **challenge** 13:6 15:2 **Command** 54:16 27:5 coordinated 19:3 business 13:4 25:20 48:5 54:20 commander 5:7 conditions 13:15 23:3 25:5 28:2,17 challenges 1:6 3:8 54:11.11 15:17 52:8 55:3.9 C commanders 20:19 coordinator 35:13 4:5 15:11 18:13 conduct 24:6 call 20:19 30:20 29:22 32:22 24:14 25:9.10 conducted 9:16 **copies** 4:16 37:9 38:10 40:19 36:21 37:22 54:17 core 47:17.20 **comment** 50:17 31:6 called 2:15 challenging 20:12 commentary 7:4 conference 32:6 **corner** 41:22 **calling** 40:13 20:21 38:19 comments 18:20 33:10 **Corps** 5:11 **calls** 42:1 **chance** 43:16 commitment 6:14 **conflict** 5:17 6:21 corresponding 18:8 **campaign** 23:8 47:5 **change** 15:21 44:17 14:13 15:12 23:2 43:17 50:8 **corrupt** 7:2 37:9 capabilities 10:12 changes 14:18 23:12.15 24:15 conflicting 27:1 **corruption** 6:19 9:9 12:21 51:7.12 changing 38:6 28:1,19 35:10 46:8 conflicts 44:16 18:11 24:1 29:15 capable 40:3 52:21 56:17 48:3.14.16 49:9.11 **confront** 20:19 36:3,9,12 38:20 capacity 8:14 15:10 confronted 50:14 **character** 52:14.21 **common** 26:21 39:9 44:8 53:16 24:1 28:10 45:13 49:20 **confused** 30:14 Charles 41:16 56:8,22

				rage 01
cosmopolitan 7:13	42:2 44:4 57:18	Dick 2:21 4:7	drug 26:14	emergence 18:10
cost 14:6 31:13	deal 2:5 15:10	dictator 41:16	dual 54:10	40:21 41:10
Council 8:1	Dean 5:11	difference 51:10	due 40:12	employment 29:12
counterinsurgency	deaths 30:3	differences 22:22	dynamics 38:6	empower 39:3,6
8:16 10:2,4,20	debate 2:6	39:12	43:12 44:18	enable 24:22 25:3
11:8 15:14 19:14	debt 51:22	different 20:6 21:6	D.C 1:2,16	enabled 36:12
19:19 46:21 50:20	debunk 36:4	21:16		enablers 23:13
countries 17:13	decade 5:12 46:9	differentiate 51:16	E	encompass 31:10
27:14 28:13 31:11	decades 29:20	difficult 16:2,19	E 1:15	encourage 4:4
35:8 55:7 56:1	decent 4:19	dilemma 54:6	earlier 24:19 52:22	12:11
country 3:15,20 7:2	decentralized 11:20	diplomacy 15:7	east 18:10 34:4	encouraging 34:2
7:3 12:18 21:12	decide 33:6	42:8	easy 18:16 33:3	endeavor 27:11
32:1 33:14 34:22	decidedly 15:4	Diplomatic 5:11	eat 4:20 29:5	endogenous 39:1
35:11 36:1,7	decides 33:7	diplomats 4:2 27:3	eats 53:17	engaged 3:11 27:15
country's 30:6	deciding 13:12	45:20 46:5 50:12	economic 10:7	42:16 52:10
couple 38:8	decision 12:7	direct 32:18	12:20 42:13	engagement 11:22
course 20:17 24:5	decline 40:18	directed 12:5	economies 13:13	13:12
25:14 50:10	deep 9:13 15:13	directions 4:5	economy 6:16,19	engages 49:13
courtesies 29:4	define 32:14,20	directly 54:16	7:8 9:15	enormity 48:6
covering 36:6	33:1	Director 3:5	educated 21:9	enormous 17:11
cravings 9:13	defined 41:12	disaggregate 36:20	education 14:2 18:1	53:16
create 3:11 8:19	defines 32:16	disagree 49:21	22:12	enthusiastic 8:2
12:1 14:15 57:3	degree 34:7 52:10	discussion 2:19 45:3	effective 7:10 9:21	environment 37:21
creating 12:15	demand 31:22	discussions 2:7	14:22 15:16	envision 46:9
31:16 42:3	democratic 37:15	34:17	effectively 11:19	envisioned 46:9
creation 15:9	demonstrate 27:13	dismissive 49:15	effectiveness 12:2	equal 11:6
creative 38:13	27:22	disruptive 57:3	effort 8:18 19:1,4	equivalent 51:22
creativity 44:14	demonstrates 9:13	distance 20:20	23:5 24:16 39:18	era 40:19 41:11
credible 33:13,16	depends 13:15	distinguished 6:5	41:3 42:7 51:14	erosion 6:20
crimes 41:18 56:21	deployment 54:22	district 11:17 36:6	52:6 54:13,18 55:2	errors 31:2
criminalization	design 10:20 12:20	districts 29:14	55:3,9	escape 31:15
6:19	13:1	diverse 11:4	efforts 3:21 11:8	especially 11:4 18:9
criteria 14:15	designed 19:16	doctrine 8:16 10:2	32:2 35:13 38:19	25:10
Crocker 47:5	designing 8:11 13:8	40:17,19,21	54:9 56:8,9	essays 4:9
crops 32:9	desk 55:22	doing 23:19 38:5	election 9:15 40:22	essential 32:2,19
crucial 38:3 42:21	determined 27:16	40:4 41:6	elections 9:5 24:7	33:5
cultural 20:2	develop 9:19 24:22	domestic 39:16	33:12,13,16 37:5	essentially 36:4
culture 29:15	28:3	Dominated 20:12	electricity 22:11	establish 32:17
current 12:14 14:18	developed 47:6	double 8:4	32:11	establishing 3:17
currently 11:5	developing 11:4	doubling 40:14	element 25:13 27:2	establishment 18:3
curtailing 33:9	18:13	downright 30:9	elements 18:8 21:4	estimate 12:14
cut 54:5	development 8:12	downward 18:9	21:5,17 24:17	ethnic 21:4
cycles 40:22	9:3 10:16 12:7	23:18	37:10 39:8,16	EU 5:9
	13:22 15:7,16 18:5	Dr 1:23 2:3 52:22	Elisabeth 46:6	Europe 13:16 32:9
D	23:5,22 24:3	drastically 31:12	52:18	35:1 53:18
date 3:16 19:4	Developments 23:8	draw 13:3	embark 16:2	European 35:8
David 1:19 5:6	devise 15:18	drinking 22:12	embed 38:14,16	48:12 53:11,15
day 3:1 30:14,16,17	dialogue 34:12	drive 39:15	embraces 7:14	Europeans 35:3
	I -	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>

54:1,3	eye 47:15	flat 20:16	functions 7:11	57:12,19	
evaluate 23:4	eyes 18:15	floor 6:1	11:14,15	golden 51:18	
eventually 37:12	cycs 10.13	focus 25:6,7 47:17	fundamental 15:21	good 14:12 16:11	
42:6 48:21	$\overline{\mathbf{F}}$	49:3	38:19	35:16 44:19	
exactly 51:4 52:15	face 39:21	focused 3:16 9:3	fundamentalists	Gordian 54:5	
examining 20:5	facing 1:6 3:9 4:5	focusing 7:5	50:3	gotten 4:16 43:8	
example 21:7 34:16	fact 19:7 25:19 36:8	folks 50:4	fundamentally 38:5	governance 6:15,20	
example 21.7 34.10	36:12 40:16 41:8	following 10:19	43:17	10:6 11:17,21	
excellent 34:20	48:13,19 50:14	30:17	further 5:22 20:22	14:13 29:12 38:15	
37:18,19	52:18 54:7,16	food 29:10 32:4	33:13	53:16	
exception 35:9	55:21	force 10:11 23:5	future 2:15 3:5,12	governing 11:3	
exceptional 4:18	failed 8:14 15:5	forces 8:3 9:17	4:8 48:8	38:13 39:2,5 40:1	
exchange 4:3	failing 5:5	13:17 19:2 23:12		43:13 56:15	
execute 28:3	failure 8:4 40:20	24:21 31:19 35:10	G	government 8:5 9:7	
executed 28:20	fair 9:16	52:9 53:3 54:21	gap 39:21 40:2	9:10 11:18 12:11	
exercised 21:17	fairly 37:20 47:14	foreign 1:6 3:8	gathering 16:14	12:17 13:5 18:1	
exist 13:4 15:17	faith 37:14	14:19 39:16 46:13	general 1:19 5:5	21:15 24:18 30:13	
28:13 42:10	fall 5:16	foreigners 31:1	6:12 7:22 8:16	30:20 31:3 32:16	
existential 25:15	far 6:15 15:4 24:10	fork 6:17	16:10,11 17:2	33:6 36:15	
existing 39:22	45:3,9 47:4,8	former 5:2,9	21:16 39:11 45:13	governmental	
exists 21:10 40:2	farmers 32:8	formulate 4:14	45:17 46:4,11,12	18:13 24:1,3 53:3	
exogenous 39:1	farther 48:8	forth 51:20	48:10,17 51:4	governments 25:4	
expand 43:22 47:22	fearless 2:22	forthcoming 9:4	52:13,16 54:7,10	Governor 5:2	
expanded 42:11,15	feasibility 13:14	forward 1:12 2:19	generalized 38:22	Gray 45:11,11	
expansion 18:11	federally 25:17	4:15 9:20 13:1	generated 22:4	great 2:22 37:22	
expansive 47:21	feel 54:3	26:2 27:12 43:7	generation 5:8 22:6	40:10 41:1 42:6	
experience 21:13,15	feels 53:21	54:21	generic 8:12	43:20,20 44:11,11	
55:11	felt 36:9 37:5 41:21	found 37:4	gentleman 45:10	45:18	
experiences 55:13	field 17:4 32:22	foundation 11:9	geographic 20:3	greater 21:17 25:3	
expertise 4:18	fifth 7:2 14:1	four 13:6 24:11	getting 15:7 42:1	25:20 31:17 35:10	
experts 44:5	fight 19:9	44:7 52:3	Ghani 1:19 5:1 6:1	50:8 54:12 55:2,2	
explain 48:19	fighting 36:22	fourth 7:1	6:3 50:18 51:6	greatest 3:8 36:10	
exploring 26:17	figure 5:8	fragile 7:3	52:15	41:13	
export 22:5	final 57:20,21	frame 11:10	give 6:1 41:6 57:20	greatly 39:18	
exporter 21:22	finally 5:12 22:8	framework 11:11	given 11:6 12:7	grievances 31:7	
exporting 26:9	26:20 35:1,11 58:3	32:17 33:21	gives 53:18	ground 3:13 37:4	
expressed 41:1	Finance 5:2	Francesc 1:20 5:9	global 7:15 14:3,9	38:6 42:17 43:12	
extend 23:15	financial 14:20	5:10	15:10	44:18 57:9	
extent 37:6	find 35:8 54:4	frankly 47:3 55:11	globe 14:7	groups 9:11,12,12	
extraordinary 58:5	fire 38:7	free 9:16 41:20	go 27:12 39:19	grow 32:9	
extreme 20:17	first 5:1 6:18 10:20	front 4:19 16:22	48:21 54:21	growing 3:14 28:21	
extremely 20:14	13:15 15:1 18:22	frontier 25:18	goals 47:10,21	guess 46:15 55:6	
34:4	19:7 24:10 29:7,8	front-loading 51:13	godfather 6:10	Gulf 13:16	
extremism 26:14	35:20 43:4 45:7	frustrated 43:13	going 2:8 4:15	H	
extremist 18:8	50:18 fiscal 12:16	fuel 38:7	38:17 39:9 43:7 44:14 45:4,5,7	H 1:19,23	
extremists 17:16	five 11:16 20:9 48:4	fulfill 16:4	49:1,8,16 52:5	Haiti 16:17	
25:16 26:9 28:14	52:1	function 12:10	54:1 55:6 56:19,22	half 43:1 53:7	
50:2,3	J4.1	functioning 9:14	34.1 33.0 30.13,22	11 411 45.1 55./	

hand 50:11.15 **happen** 56:15 happened 40:16 42:6 **happening** 52:19,20 **happy** 27:2 45:19 50:11 harboring 26:8 hard 18:17 33:3,14 35:2 **harm** 44:19 Harnessing 14:3 harsh 20:14 hat 54:10 **hate** 30:1 **hazard** 46:15 hear 2:8 30:14,16 30:17,19 43:6 57:17 heard 52:22 hearing 53:2 heavily 3:11 **heavy** 12:6 help 24:6,22 28:20 **helpful** 34:9 **helping** 22:19 **high** 37:16 39:17 higher 14:2 21:8 38:18.18 highlight 23:1 highlighted 22:21 24:4 28:11 **highly** 11:19 **Hindu** 20:13 historical 50:21 **hold** 55:12 homes 43:19 honor 6:3 35:17 hope 5:21 15:22 **hopes** 37:16 **horizon** 47:7,16 50:22 host 23:21 hostility 30:10 **hot** 32:1 49:17 hour 43:4 House 51:21 hugely 55:8

human 5:16 10:14 20:3 21:5,9 27:8

I ideas 4:3 identified 54:19 identity 7:12 ideological 43:17 **ignored** 10:15 **illegal** 13:13 illiteracy 21:8 ills 30:6 **imagine** 26:17 impatience 30:9 imperative 17:14 28:16 imperatives 28:11 implement 9:20 implementation 53:8 implementing 13:9 importance 2:4 17:11 19:20 important 15:8 16:13 17:9 19:13 22:18 28:8 33:17 34:4 38:3 55:8 impossible 30:15 improvements 18:4 impunity 3:21 29:16 includes 26:3 28:4 including 5:18 23:12 24:21 31:11 32:7 **income** 13:10 incomplete 26:3 increase 12:13 13:10 54:21 **increased** 18:1 38:9 44:18 increasing 7:5 15:15 25:19 increasingly 30:4 37:21 incredible 21:20

incumbent 27:13

independent 56:10

India 13:17 25:22 26:4 28:4 31:11 34:1 indicate 12:5 indicates 12:10 indices 7:1 indictment 42:9 indictments 41:19 41:20 individuals 33:21 **inform** 4:3 informal 3:19 infrastructure 13:19 18:3 21:1 22:15,20 23:22 inherited 15:2 **initial** 30:7,8 innovation 13:2 innovative 13:3,9 **inside** 9:10 **insist** 30:18 instability 6:22 **Institute** 1:1,25 3:4 3:10 58:4 Institute's 3:5 institutional 13:19 institutions 3:19 14:6 15:3 18:4,14 32:3 instruments 8:11 10:10 14:18 insurgency 11:7 26:10 30:3 36:3.11 36:12,22 44:5,7 insurgents 31:14 intelligent 40:17 **intense** 52:10 **intent** 10:7 54:12 **interest** 28:9 29:21 34:1.15 44:12 interested 48:11 interesting 54:13 **interests** 26:21 27:1 45:14 50:10 internal 25:9

13:8 14:10,15 15:10 16:1 24:18 25:3 29:18 30:5.12 34:6 38:9 51:2 52:9 interrogation 17:5 intervention 7:21 interview 46:13 introduce 2:18 **INTRODUCED** 1:23 introduction 4:20 investing 51:11 investment 13:19 14:1 44:15 51:13 52:2.6 invisible 8:21 invitation 35:19 involve 27:7 **involved** 25:5 26:4 41:15 53:22 involvement 25:3 52:10.14.21 53:21 55:15 **in-the-sand** 42:20 Iran 26:22 28:6 31:10 34:8,13,19 45:14 49:15,22 **Iraq** 18:22 19:8,12 20:7,9,14,18,22 21:7.10.11.13.20 22:4,11,22 27:22 39:14 40:11.15 41:2 42:14 44:6 46:22 50:14 52:17 52:19,20 57:8 **Iraqi** 53:2,3 Iraq's 20:11 22:3,13 irrigation 32:11 **Islamic** 7:14.18 issue 2:4 32:1,4 34:16 38:19 **issued** 41:18,19 **issues** 50:13

J

JANUARY 1:9

J 1:22

jirgas 39:4 job 35:2 37:19,19 51:9 joint 47:5 journey 16:3 June 32:7 justice 3:18 5:17 9:14 56:9

K

Kabul 3:13 5:11 44:4 kaleidoscope 21:3 Kandahar 42:22 Kashmiri 33:22 keep 27:21 38:20 **kept** 35:12 key 19:13 25:13 49:10 kind 44:2,9,14 52:1 knew 42:2 knot 54:5 **know** 2:16.17 42:22 46:12 48:11,20,22 49:18 **Kurdish** 20:15 **Kush** 20:13

 \mathbf{L} lack 21:1 29:21 lacks 21:19 **Laden** 43:10 lags 22:10 laid 47:7,11 48:18 Lakhdar 16:16 land 12:18 **large** 12:19 16:6 **larger** 11:11 20:9 largest 12:16 late 7:20 **Laughter** 17:6 46:2 launching 4:8 law 3:4,17,22 9:14 11:11 24:4 29:10 32:3 42:5 **lawver** 5:17 lead 7:16 15:22

55:15

international 7:20

8:3,5,10 9:4 10:9

10:14,17 11:12,22

leaders 14:14 leadership 2:22 33:2 **leading** 4:10 5:4,7 6:21 learning 14:7 leave 21:12 27:2 45:19.22 **led** 8:6 legal 13:13 32:9 Legally 11:18 legitimacy 7:22 8:14 18:15 24:3 39:21 40:2 41:14 42:5,8 47:2 legitimate 24:8 34:14 36:15 let's 33:12 level 11:21 27:10 **levels** 11:15.16 12:2 leverage 56:17 Liberia 41:16 lifting 12:7 **limits** 40:3 line 25:7 26:15 **linking** 33:1 **list** 37:12 53:13 **listen** 35:4.5 little 12:22 22:17 **lively** 2:19 lives 15:22 37:8 **living** 19:22 **local** 18:14 21:18 27:11 36:17 38:15 39:4 40:1 43:13 56:15 **logical** 48:19 **London** 33:10 55:4 long 14:20 23:8 37:16 39:19 47:9 47:21 48:15 49:5 56:12.12 57:18 longer 4:11 20:11 34:18 longest 23:7 **long-term** 34:21 look 2:18 19:11 34:3 37:2 39:3

47:4 **looked** 47:8 **looking** 42:19 47:4 looks 4:10 lose 36:16 **lost** 37:14 52:4 **lot** 2:13 46:1 **LURD** 41:22 luxuries 56:10 M

magnificent 3:1,2 maintained 22:15 33:15 Mainz 55:6 **major** 27:1 51:9 majority 36:8 makers 27:4 50:12 making 6:6 man 16:18 manner 4:1 9:16 10:21 **Manual** 17:4 **market** 13:16 massive 52:5 **matrix** 55:22 matter 58:9 **mature** 22:14 **maximum** 10:11 51:12 McKiernan 54:10 mean 32:14 33:9 38:17 43:15 47:9 49:14,19 55:20 56:3,19,20 means 10:1 14:13 43:16 measurable 47:11 measured 47:12 measures 11:20 29.14 mechanisms 3:19 11:21 13:20 14:21 media 7:4 45:6.8

mediators 3:15

medium 14:20

member 48:13

meeting 31:6

members 5:14 28:8 45:6.8 48:1 **memory** 21:14 men 21:18 43:3 mention 40:7 mentioned 4:7 **merged** 26:1 message 8:15 miles 20:8.11 militarize 56:12 militarizing 39:6 **military** 5:7 10:1,5 29:18 30:5.15 31:7 31:17 32:13 34:3 34:22 37:18 38:16 40:3 42:13 million 12:15 20:10 21:11 51:22 52:1 mind 27:21 35:12 38:20 **minds** 13:4 mineral 21:21 **minimum** 14:6 **mining** 7:9 Minister 5:2 minority 34:2 minutes 5:20 27:20 29:6 45:5 mirrored 53:14 misrule 29:20 30:1 mixed 15:4 **mobile** 12:14 **modeled** 38:15 **models** 32:10 **MODERATED** 1:22

moderating 3:7 moderation 2:11 **MODERATOR** 2:21 16:9 29:2 45:2.22 47:22 50:16 57:15 **modicum** 29:9 **moment** 29:11 42:10 51:18 **momentum** 52:4.5 Monrovia 42:1

55:5 **Mount** 1:15 mountainous 20:14 move 41:20 42:19 moving 41:22 Mowaffak 52:22 **Muammar** 30:19 municipality 11:17

muscle 21:14 **mutual** 14:16 N name 37:9 narcotics 6:18 12:19 13:7 26:11 34:15 50:1 56:22 narco-trafficking 36:18 **nation** 55:16 **national** 7:11 9:19 10:9 12:3,9 18:4,6 24:7,22 25:1 27:11 28:9.10 34:14 **NATO** 13:17 37:19 54:11 **natural** 10:13 19:10 21:20 near 57:13 nearly 22:5 near-to-midterm 57:4 necessary 10:20

32:22 47:18

necessity 19:22

23:2 24:20 25:2

39:3 41:2 48:7

52:9,9 54:4

31:5.8.9 32:4

negotiate 30:19

31:20

needed 31:20

13:21 26:16 31:12 need 2:3 9:18 11:6 44:2 34:5 38:8.11.13.20 oil 22:5 needless 23:11 27:1 omit 29:3 needs 10:21 11:5,10 11:13 14:2,11 31:4 open 51:18 35:11 50:22 53:22

neighbors 25:12 28:10 **network** 3:15 9:9 **new** 1:6 4:4.8 9:1.17 15:12 31:9 42:3 46:7 newest 5:14 nexus 26:10 non 24:18 nonaggression 34:19 non-profit 40:9 normal 15:22 17:8 northern 20:15 26:15 northwest 25:18 **noted** 24:19 **number** 28:12 30:3 45:6 numerous 18:12 nurture 33:17 **N.W** 1:2.15 0 **Obama** 35:4

objective 17:18 objectives 30:11 33:10 47:10,12,18 47:20 48:10 49:20 obligation 16:4 observation 16:22 obviously 17:10 48:22 49:12 occupiers 27:17 **offensive** 38:11,12 **offered** 23:6 36:14 office 3:12 32:18 Officer 17:2 **Okay** 45:10 once 29:17 43:8 operating 25:16 operation 25:7 53:19 54:4 operationally 54:15

months 47:6 54:9

operations 10:4,21 parliament 31:22 19:14.19 20:18 parliamentary 37:5 part 2:6.7 14:14 28:15 55:21 26:6.18 31:8 38:4 **opinion** 53:11.12.15 opportunities 1:6 41:6.12 39:17 participants 58:1 opportunity 9:3 participate 6:4 16:12 37:7 57:4 particular 6:13 opposition 31:17 45:12 53:7 optimistic 8:22 particularly 13:17 **option** 42:3 22:12 40:1 56:13 options 42:12,13 parties 33:18 order 9:14.17 11:11 **partner** 3:14 14:12 16:15 19:22 48:9 organizational 15:6 **partners** 19:9 23:18 organizations 3:14 24:6 27:16,17,20 15:5 24:19 33:19 55:3 54:14 partnership 13:8 **parts** 39:4 organize 28:15 organizing 16:13 PASSING 1:5 original 34:13 35:20 pay 33:8 **outline** 45:15 payroll 43:10 peace 1:1,25 3:4,10 outlined 48:7 outlining 48:11 3:15 6:7.11 7:16 outpace 44:6 58:4 outside 9:10 13:2 peaceful 11:6 20:15 **people** 2:9,13 4:1 overcome 15:5 8:18 9:7 15:20 overcoming 13:6 18:15 19:22 24:8 overwhelming 36:8 36:14 37:14,16 ownership 41:7 41:1,7 43:19 44:1 perceived 36:17 **percent** 10:5,6 36:2 pact 34:19 perceptions 39:20 **Pakistan** 25:15,21 performance 15:4 25:22 26:4.5 28:4 performed 11:16 28:9 31:10 49:12 47:19 Pakistani 34:3 performs 7:10 Pakistan's 25:14 **period** 43:9 47:6,12 **pale** 22:2 51:12 52:6

panel 2:18 3:7 4:18

6:5 35:18 48:1

panelists 1:18 5:19

parallelism 53:11

57:16 58:1

panelist 49:22

48:7 50:17

Paris 32:6 55:4

39:11 45:13.17 46:4.11.12 48:17 51:4 52:13.16 54:7 **phases** 9:8 24:11 **phone** 42:1 **phones** 12:14,15 **phrase** 40:14 pillars 7:22 **pipes** 15:6 **place** 1:15 7:14 31:8 51:17 **places** 10:21 16:20 plan 28:14 47:5 platforms 33:20 **play** 12:19 51:3 **played** 34:8 please 2:18 pleasure 6:4 **PLENARY** 1:12 **plenty** 20:11 **point** 13:12 23:1 27:8.17 28:6 32:17 37:6,13 43:8,11 50:6.18 52:17 56:17 **pointed** 19:18 30:8 49:20,22 **pointing** 46:18 points 18:21 37:1,2 49:2,4,7 50:7 **police** 18:14 25:1 **policy** 1:6 3:8 6:16 9:15 12:20 27:3 46:13 50:12 policymakers 4:2 **political** 10:6 20:3 32:14 33:18 37:21 38:6 40:8 42:18 44:18 47:2 56:18 politicalization 8:8 **politics** 8:8 9:8 40:9 **poorly** 22:15 **poppy** 18:12 **population** 6:21 8:4 14:5 19:21 20:9 poses 25:20 **possibility** 9:6 42:4 **possible** 9:1 19:12

25:8 32:13 33:16 post 5:17 post-conflict 56:9 potential 22:3 39:15 **power** 10:10 34:14 39:7 40:20,21 41:11,12 56:18 57:2 powerful 3:22 PowerPoint 17:3 practices 24:2 preceding 40:17 predicated 7:8 prepare 41:3 **presence** 29:18 30:5 31:18 38:10 39:1 39:22 44:1,19 presented 13:7 presents 26:11 37:22 president 30:18 presidential 2:7 9:15 president's 32:18 **pretty** 50:7 prevalent 3:20 **prevent** 34:18 **price** 33:7 **primary** 56:17 principle 19:14 principles 19:18 20:1 **priorities** 32:6,11 **priority** 9:1 11:6 14:3 **private** 8:8 12:12 privatization 8:7 privilege 16:20 privileged 16:18 probably 35:9 47:14 **problem** 26:1 33:22 56:13 problems 18:11 51:8 **process** 6:6,10 37:8 37:15 processes 42:18

produce 13:18 51:13 production 18:12 52:12 58:6 products 22:1 **program** 12:3,5,9 12:13 38:14,16 programs 33:20 **progress** 19:5,11 22:9 23:10,20 **project** 3:6 4:12 projects 10:16 proliferation 9:11 prolonged 6:21 promising 16:3 promote 28:20 prompted 21:11 properly 28:19 prosecutor 41:18 prosperity 7:16 14:8 28:21 protection 39:18 **prove** 26:16 **proven** 13:20 **provide** 12:12 14:4 26:15 29:19 44:1 provided 1:25 provides 9:2,5 province 11:18 25:18 provinces 29:13 provincial 27:11 provision 22:11 provisions 22:10 **PRTs** 11:22 **prudent** 46:16 **public** 4:4 53:11,12 53:15 published 2:15 **purpose** 4:11 48:2 **pursued** 30:11 31:5 put 46:10 58:5 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-... 2:1 **p.m** 1:12 2:2 O

qualitative 36:5

permitted 28:16

perspective 4:11

Petraeus 1:19 5:6

8:17 16:10,11

35:16 56:5

person 36:6

48:12

Perriello 1:21 5:13

quantitative 35:22 42:16 52:5 room 43:7.20 44:15 57:9 quantities 22:1 reflects 28:18 required 25:12 root 57:12 **send** 35:9 **question** 30:4 38:3 reformist 33:18 requires 10:11 route 47:20 Senior 3:3 12:19 13:7 19:15 45:7.12.18 46:11 regaining 52:4 sense 37:22 41:7 **rugged** 20:13 46:14 48:1 49:15 **regard** 19:13 28:17 rule 3:3.17 24:4 57:11 regime 42:4 requiring 17:1 29:9 32:3 42:5 **sent** 36:4 51:1,5 53:1 **region** 4:6 16:5 26:8 **questions** 5:22 45:5 resolve 25:9 Rumsfeld 23:6 sentiment 43:18 28:19 31:11 44:11 resource 14:21 running 36:5 50:2,3 46:1 separate 57:6 September 23:4 quickly 56:6 44:11 21:20 rural 13:11 22:13 quite 5:20 21:6,16 **regional** 19:6 21:18 resourced 51:19 Russia 28:5 **serious** 38:11 57:12 25:12.13 26:6.11 54:5 resources 12:8 serve 16:18 S 26:18 27:3.12 28:3 21:21 22:3 51:19 **served** 55:10 R sad 42:1 33:21 38:15 42:7 **respect** 40:13 service 22:9 race 5:15 **sake** 15:9 49:13 respects 47:1 **services** 7:10 12:12 radical 29:14 saluting 16:16 regions 11:5 response 41:8 18:5 **ranging** 10:13 sanctions 42:13 registration 24:9,12 responsibilities serving 19:21 rates 21:8 Sanctuaries 28:13 regulatory 12:10 SESSION 1:12 53:6 reached 34:11 sanctuary 17:15 related 25:11 responsibility 14:17 set 26:2 42:15 52:7 saw 37:14 40:18 43:11 relationships 3:18 rest 47:2 57:1 reaching 34:19 42:14 27:7.10 result 7:4 22:4 setbacks 17:21 ready 15:21 16:1 **saying** 56:2 relative 21:1 results 51:14 seven 17:18,20 realistic 4:14 **second** 5:5 7:7 relatively 20:16 **return** 38:18 seventh 7:3 reality 42:17 11:12 13:18 18:22 22:14 24:10 **Reuters** 45:12 severe 40:3 really 2:9 49:6 58:6 23:1 reliable 27:15 revenue 12:16 22:5 **share** 26:13 **realm** 23:16 Secretary 23:6 relied 8:12 22:6 **shift** 14:11,19 41:8 reason 17:16 55:4 sectarian 21:4,10 **remain** 19:19 reverse 23:18 44:3 56:11 57:2 reasonable 55:13 **sector** 8:9 12:12 **remains** 17:18 review 31:4 shoring 39:20 **rebels** 41:22 sectors 13:5 remark 40:8 57:20 revolution 14:4 **short** 4:17 5:21 **rebound** 36:13 secure 24:7 remarkable 3:7 revolve 27:7 14:19 57:3 rebuild 34:5 **securing** 11:3 19:21 5:15 57:18 revolving 7:9 shortcomings 22:14 rebuilt 22:16 security 8:1,3 11:10 shorter 51:12 remarkably 5:15 RICHARD 1:23 recognition 25:14 15:6,17 18:9 23:5 **remarks** 39:11 **right** 12:8 15:8 38:1 short-term 4:13 25:19 23:16,19,20 24:20 57:21 39:5 43:16 57:12 showdown 41:15 recognize 34:12 25:11 26:12 28:10 remember 19:13 rightly 30:8,22 **shown** 12:22 reconciliation 32:15 28:16,20 29:9,10 22:18 **Rights** 5:16 significant 6:7 33:8 29:19 32:3.4 33:15 **remind** 4:21 8:17 **rise** 30:16 21:21.22 57:5 reconstitution 18:7 36:2.10 42:17 44:1 reminded 12:4 risk 38:18 39:17 significantly 21:8 reconstruct 22:20 44:5,12 53:3,5 **signing** 34:18 51:20 41:20 record 50:21 56:11 removing 29:21 **similar** 46:14 risked 37:8 recruit 28:14 see 16:14,21 26:8 39:7 **risking** 31:14 similarities 39:14 recruited 43:3 31:1 33:14 44:3,9 40:5 46:19 renewed 9:6 rival 25:22 recruits 31:16 44:21 50:2 53:15 renews 7:12 road 6:18 7:7.15 **simply** 42:12 redlines 33:1 56:7,10,11,16 57:5 reporting 54:16 49:1.17 simultaneously redouble 32:2 **reports** 32:18 57:13 roads 6:17 12:15 **reduce** 10:10 31:13 seek 18:21 27:9 Representative **Robin** 57:20 **single** 26:1 36:9 reducing 24:1 seeking 34:21 5:10.13 35:16 56:5 role 12:19 14:11 situation 2:5.10 reflected 57:11 seen 17:20 18:6 **require** 14:18 19:1 34:9 51:2 19:17 37:3 38:4 reflection 15:14 24:7 40:20 41:10 19:6 23:20 24:14 39:13,13 41:2 44:3 **Rome** 55:5

50.00.54.14.56.7
53:20 54:14 56:7
57:8
situations 40:5
Six 14:10
skip 29:4
slides 17:3
smart 2:13 40:21
41:11,11
smoothly 24:10
smuggling 26:11,14
social 9:11,19 10:13
13:4
society 7:15 33:18
soldiers 4:2
Solidarity 12:3
SOLOMON 1:23
2:3
solution 30:15
32:13,15
solutions 10:5,7
38:14
solving 51:8
soon 24:12 54:5
soothsayer 2:13
sorry 34:13 46:11
sort 38:13 42:19
46:20 56:19
sorts 48:10
sought 27:19
sources 12:16
south 18:10
Soviet 11:2
speak 5:19 17:2
speaker 5:1,5,8
special 5:9 41:17
specific 19:17
spiral 18:9 23:19
spread 30:2
square 20:8
SRSG 16:17
stability 6:8 7:16
8:19 14:9 28:20
36:10
stabilization 44:9
stabilize 33:14
stabilizing 38:4
staff 2:14 58:4
stage 10:22
Ī

staliah aldang 14.9
stakeholders 14:8
standards 17:4
start 16:16 34:16
starting 29:20 32:21
state 3:18 7:3,10
8:14 9:21 10:18
11:9,14,19 12:1
16:4 26:7
states 1:1,25 3:9 5:5
5:6 15:16 17:12,17
26:6,13 27:14 28:5
28:7 39:20 44:12
54:20
status 31:19
step 34:9 40:9
steps 10:19
steps 10.17 stove 15:6
strategic 50:9 53:8
C
strategies 15:19
56:9
strategy 4:14 9:4
10:8 11:3,10 13:10
26:3,6 28:3 31:5,9
40:15 52:11
Street 1:2
stress 2:4
strong 21:14,18
36:15 39:12,12
strongest 11:7
structure 39:5
structures 39:2 40:1
43:14 56:15
study 36:4
subsequent 28:12
subsidies 32:8
substantial 40:16
49:9,11 50:7 52:4
,
55:15,16
substituted 10:15
substituter 14:11
success 9:22 12:2
successful 10:3
13:21 38:16 50:19
Suite 1:1
suited 8:11 15:19
summer 33:13
Sunni 50:2
support 3:13 11:20
FF: 12122

13:20 14:20 27:10 29:21 33:17 53:18
supported 10:14
supporting 33:19
sure 23:16
surge 31:7 40:11,13 47:7
survey 35:21,22
36:5
sustain 28:1 48:14
48:16 51:14
sustained 23:2,14
28:18 41:3 46:8
49:9,10
switch 44:20
system 10:16 12:22
13:3 14:9
systematic 39:8
systematically
10:10
table 46:5
tackle 17:8
taciste 17.0

10:10
T
table 46:5
tackle 17:8
tactical 10:7
tactics 11:1
tailored 12:20
take 23:11 31:8
44:14 45:7 47:15
49:1,8,10 50:22
51:17 55:1
taken 40:8 50:20
Taliban 18:7 30:20
31:16 32:19,20
33:2,8 36:16,16,18
36:19 43:4
talk 47:1
talked 45:13 46:7
talking 32:20 34:8
talks 33:1
targets 56:19
task 57:16
tasks 47:19 53:5
Taylor 41:16
team 36:6
teams 23:13 24:21
tease 49:18
techniques 17:5

T. J 10.0
Telecom 12:9
telecommunicatio
18:2
tell 17:1
telling 43:5
ten 5:20 27:19 29:6
48:4
tendency 19:10
term 4:11 14:19,21
38:22 47:10,21
48:15 49:5 57:3
terms 25:11 34:1,2
47:1 50:19 51:11
53:21 54:18
terrain 20:3,5,14
21:6 27:8
terrific 45:3
terrorists 30:20
thank 2:19 6:9,12
16:7,9 28:22 29:2
35:14,18 44:22
46:17 57:22 58:1,3
58:3
thanks 2:21 16:12
16:13 45:18
theoretical 46:20
theories 30:22
Thier 1:22 2:12,21
3:3 6:13 16:9 29:2
45:2,22 47:22
50:16 57:15
thing 29:8 40:8
things 37:11 40:10
44:21 56:3
think 2:3 3:1 4:4
29:7,8,10 34:17
40:15,22 41:2
42:10,14,16,18
43:22 44:20 46:16
47:14 48:2,8,10,19
49:21 50:21 51:15
52:18 53:10 54:19
55:10 56:6 57:1,7
57:8,11,17,18 58:5
thinkers 4:10
thinking 4:13
third 5:8 19:5
thought 43:5
indugit 13.3

•
threat 25:15,20
26:12 36:2,10
threats 39:7
three 12:18 18:21
24:11 32:5 44:7
47:7 52:7 55:5
thrilled 3:6
THURSDAY 1:9
time 4:17,21 5:20
5:21 23:11 28:21
29:5 34:7 37:17
40:14 45:4,20 46:1
48:3 51:21 55:1,21
56:12
Times 46:7
today 3:9 4:7 17:19
46:10 49:7 53:1
tolerant 7:12
Tom 1:21 5:13,16
tool 42:11,15
tools 8:13
top 36:1 44:8
topic 17:9,10 34:10
49:17
topography 20:20
total 22:6
tough 19:9 56:20
toughest 24:12
town 31:14
toxic 37:21
to-midterm 57:14
tracks 57:6
trade 50:1
tradeoffs 51:10
traditional 25:21
train 28:14
training 23:13
24:21
transcript 1:25
transformation
52:8
transforming 16:3
transition 42:4
45:20
transitional 56:8
transnational 17:15
26:9 28:14
transparency 14:16

tribal 3:19 21:4,17 **United** 1:1,25 3:9 **violent** 17:15 25:16 welcomed 29:17 25:17 5:6 17:11.17 27:14 Virginia 5:16 west 7:5 34:3 57:20 **\$1** 13:11 22:7 51:22 tried 47:3 49:7,18 28:7 39:20 44:12 virtual 7:17 8:22 57:21 **\$100** 51:22 virtually 18:17 Western 7:18 31:17 52:17 54:20 **\$4** 13:11 **trip** 23:3 35:20 unity 24:16 54:12 vital 23:17 we'll 24:5.20 **\$60** 22:5 vocation 14:2 we're 2:8 3:1 27:6 **trips** 37:4 54:18 0 **troop** 38:9 43:22 unresolved 33:22 **voice** 9:18 42.19 voices 5:4 we've 18:6 52:3 **troops** 42:7 unsuccessful 11:1 **05** 37:4 trust 6:20 43:7 unwavering 28:18 volunteer 9:12 55:20 **07** 37:5 truth 43:9 **urban** 9:12 **Voter** 24:9 White 51:21 1 whittled 37:12 **trv** 17:7 **urgent** 32:15 **voters** 41:9 **10** 50:20 trying 2:5 27:6 urgently 32:5 wildest 30:22 \mathbf{W} **100** 12:13 47:10.16 49:6 willing 12:6 35:9 use 10:9.11 Walter 1:15 willingness 35:3 **1200** 1:2 turn 2:11 7:17 14:7 **useful** 34:16 want 2:11 15:21 **15** 50:20 14:17 23:1 44:21 **USIP** 6:12 16:12 **wisdom** 4:18 16:16 29:8.9.10.12 **17th** 1:2 57:19 usual 4:13 29:4,4 wise 47:14 29:12,14 44:1 48:9 **turnaround** 57:4,13 wish 57:17 **1990s** 30:1 usually 55:15 48:20,21 49:4,16 **turning** 30:9 37:2,6 utilization 10:12 **women** 9:11 2 50:1.17 57:22 58:1 twin 7:21 14:22 won 5:14 58:2.3 **2,000** 20:10 **two** 6:17 9:8 13:15 **U.K** 35:9 **wonder** 30:21 **20** 10:4 wanted 43:5 21:11 40:22 45:8 **U.N** 5:9 8:1 35:11 work 3:16 40:9 56:1 **20th** 15:3 **wanting** 43:19 55:5 56:3 35:12 **200** 1:1 wants 26:8 30:18 **U.S** 3:4,10 13:17 type 15:18 51:14 worked 5:17 **200,000** 20:8 war 23:8 35:7 41:18 working 3:11 37:20 52:11 17:2.4 19:2 29:20 **20001** 1:16 56:21 tyrannies 20:20 34:17,20 37:15 55:17 **warlord** 36:18 **2001** 7:20 22:10 38:9 42:7 43:10,21 world 5:18 7:4 16:5 IJ 34:9 warlordism 38:21 53:21,21 54:11 17:13 **ultra** 50:3 **2002** 12:14 34:9 warlords 29:22 worlds 7:19 55:15 **ummahs** 39:4 51:17 war-torn 7:2 **world's** 5:3 \mathbf{V} 20036-3011 1:2 unanimity 7:22 Washington 1:2,15 worse 41:4 unclear 30:13 valid 17:19 19:20 1:16 4:2 45:21 **2005** 23:4 35:21 wouldn't 46:15 undermine 24:2 validated 23:9 37:6 51:17 wasn't 36:11 written 2:14 undermined 8:13 **value** 30:4 water 22:12 **2006** 40:11 41:9 wrongdoings 31:2 42:21 underpin 13:21 **values** 7:13 way 1:12 9:20 24:9 wrongly 31:1 underscored 27:9 **variety** 53:4,5 **2008** 41:9 26:2 31:21 33:15 Y **2009** 1:9 18:18 27:18 various 18:3 35:4 36:20 39:19 **21st** 15:2 41:13 understand 2:9 **Vendrell** 1:20 5:9 46:21 49:3 53:2 **year** 2:8 18:12 22:4 29:3 53:10 54:5 55:18 56:13 24:5 43:1 53:7.7 **25th** 16:15 8:15 44:17 57:8 **years** 16:20 17:18 understanding verify 33:4 56:19 4 11:14 34:11 41:12 Vernon 1:15 ways 19:11 26:1 17:20 18:19 23:9 **4:30** 2:2 43.12 **vetting** 37:7,12 40:6 53:14 57:2 44:7 47:8 48:4,4,4 **4:30-5:30** 1:12 unfortunate 57:16 vicious 7:5 8:6,20 **weak** 5:4 50:20 52:1,3,7 unfortunately view 56:6 wealth 22:3 **York** 46:7 6 57:10 **village** 11:17 31:15 **weapons** 41:13 **young** 43:2 **60** 36:5 unified 25:4 youth 9:12 14:1,5 **villages** 12:5 30:17 weather 20:16,21 unique 12:21 19:15 violation 17:3 **wedges** 39:15 7 \mathbf{Z} violence 6:22 9:9 weigh 49:2.4 50:12 20:2 58:6 **7.5** 12:15 Zellick 12:4 **unitary** 11:19 **welcome** 8:2 30:7,8 21:11

				rage 07
	i	Ī	I	ı
8				
8 1:9				
01.9				
80 10:5 36:2				
801 1:15				
9				
9-11 28:11				
	1		l	l