

Monitored Natural Attenuation of MTBE as a Risk Management Option at Leaking Underground Storage Tank Sites

Monitored Natural Attenuation of MTBE as a Risk Management Option at Leaking Underground Storage Tank Sites

John T. Wilson, Philip M. Kaiser and Cherri Adair U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Research and Development National Risk Management Research Laboratory Ada, Oklahoma 74820

Project Officer John T. Wilson Ground Water and Ecosystems Restoration Division National Risk Management Research Laboratory Ada, Oklahoma 74820

National Risk Management Research Laboratory Office of Research and Development U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Cincinnati, OH 45268

Notice

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency through its Office of Research and Development funded the research described here. It has been subjected to the Agency's peer and administrative review and has been approved for publication as an EPA document. Mention of trade names and commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

All research projects making conclusions and recommendations based on environmentally related measurements and funded by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency are required to participate in the Agency Quality Assurance Program. This project was conducted under two Quality Assurance Project Plans. Work performed by U.S. EPA employees or by the U.S. EPA on-site analytical contractor followed procedures specified in these plans without exception. Information on the plans and documentation of the quality assurance activities and results is available from John Wilson or Cherri Adair.

Foreword

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is charged by Congress with protecting the Nation's land, air, and water resources. Under a mandate of national environmental laws, the Agency strives to formulate and implement actions leading to a compatible balance between human activities and the ability of natural systems to support and nurture life. To meet this mandate, EPA's research program is providing data and technical support for solving environmental problems today and building a science knowledge base necessary to manage our ecological resources wisely, understand how pollutants affect our health, and prevent or reduce environmental risks in the future.

The National Risk Management Research Laboratory (NRMRL) is the Agency's center for investigation of technological and management approaches for preventing and reducing risks from pollution that threatens human health and the environment. The focus of the Laboratory's research program is on methods and their cost-effectiveness for prevention and control of pollution to air, land, water, and subsurface resources; protection of water quality in public water systems; remediation of contaminated sites, sediments and ground water; prevention and control of indoor air pollution; and restoration of ecosystems. NRMRL collaborates with both public and private sector partners to foster technologies that reduce the cost of compliance and to anticipate emerging problems. NRMRL's research provides solutions to environmental problems by: developing and promoting technologies that protect and improve the environment; advancing scientific and engineering information to support regulatory and policy decisions; and providing the technical support and information transfer to ensure implementation of environmental regulations and strategies at the national, state, and community levels.

In the United States of America, the responsibility for managing spills of gasoline from underground storage tanks falls to the individual states. Where it has been appropriate, many states have selected monitored natural attenuation as a remedy for organic contaminants in ground water. Many states also use a formal process of risk management to select the most appropriate remedy at gasoline spill sites. Both monitored natural attenuation (MNA) and risk management require an understanding of the environmental processes that control the behavior of a contaminant in ground water. This report is intended for technical staff in the state agencies with responsibility for administering the underground storage tank program as mandated by RCRA. The information is intended to allow the state regulators to determine whether they have adequate information to evaluate MNA of fuel oxygenates at a site, and to allow the regulators to separate sites where MNA of fuel oxygenates may be an appropriate risk management alternative from sites where MNA is not appropriate.

Stephen G. Schmelling, Director Ground Water and Ecosystems Restoration Division National Risk Management Research Laboratory

Abstract

This report reviews the current state of knowledge on the transport and fate of MTBE in ground water, with emphasis on the natural processes that can be used to manage the risk associated with MTBE in ground water or that contribute to natural attenuation of MTBE as a remedy. It provides recommendations on the site characterization data that are necessary to manage risk or to evaluate monitored natural attenuation (MNA) of MTBE, and it illustrates procedures that can be used to work up data to evaluate risk or assess MNA at a specific site.

Contents

iii
iv
xi

Section 1 I	Relationship Between Risk Management and MNA1
1.1 1.2 1.3	Monitored Natural Attenuation and Risk Management
Section 27	Typical Behavior of MTBE Plumes 3
2.1	Concentration of MTBE Expected in Gasoline Spills
2.2	Definitions and Expressions Used for Rate Constants
2.3	Role of Attenuation in the Lifecycle of MTBE Plumes
	Recommendations for Monitoring11
3.1	Biogeochemical Footprints to Evaluate Coverage of Monitoring Wells
3.2 3.3	Monitoring the Direction of Ground Water Flow
3.3 3.4	Monitoring to Predict Biological Processes
	Biological Degradation
4.1 4.2	Microbiology of Aerobic MTBE Biodegradation
4.2	Aerobic MTBE Biodegradation in Ground Water
4.4	Anaerobic Biodegradation of MTBE
4.5	Acclimation to Anaerobic Biodegradation of MTBE
4.6	Zero Order Biodegradation of MTBE at High Concentrations
Section 5 M	Monitoring MTBE Biodegradation with Stable Isotope Ratios
5.1	Monitoring MTBE Biodegradation with Stable Isotope Ratios
5.2	Predicting Biodegradation of δ^{13} C in MTBE in Gasoline
5.3	Sources of Uncertainty in Estimates of Biodegradation
5.4	A Conservative Estimate of the Extent of Biodegradation
Section 6 A	Application of Stable Isotope Ratios to Interpret Plume Behavior
6.1	Application of Stable Isotope Ratios to Interpret Plume Behavior
6.2	Using Stable Carbon Isotope Ratios to Recognize Natural Biodegradation
6.3	Using Stable Carbon Isotope Ratios to Estimate the Projected Rate of Natural Biodegradation
6.4	Using the Projected Rate of Biodegradation to Estimate the Length of Plumes41
6.5	Using δ^{13} C to Distinguish the Source of TBA in Ground Water
6.6	Caveats and Limitations Concerning the Use of δ^{13} C of MTBE to Estimate
	Biodegradation

Section 7	Statistical Evaluation of Rates of Attenuation of Sources	47
7.1	Risk Management and U.S. EPA Expectations for MNA	47
Section 8	Typical Rates of Attenuation in Source Areas	55
8.1	Typical Rates of Attenuation over Time in Source Areas	55
8.2	Number of Sampling Dates Needed to Calculate Rates of Attenuation	
8.3	Effect of Number of Sampling Dates on the Detectable Rate of Attenuation	
8.4	Effect of Seasonal Variations	
Section 9	Quality Assurance Statement	61
9.1	Analysis of Concentrations in Water	61
9.2	Stable Carbon Isotope Analyses	62
Section 1	0 References	69

Figures

Figure 2.1	Comparison of the distribution of the maximum concentration of MTBE at gasoline spill sites in regions of the United States that used MTBE to meet the federal oxygenate standard (Texas and Southern California) to a region that did not (Kansas)
Figure 2.2	Relationship between a first order rate constant and a half life
Figure 2.3	Evolution of a plume of MTBE at Parsippany, New Jersey, that is receding back on itself8
Figure 2.4	Evolution of a plume of MTBE in Laurel Bay, South Carolina, where the "hot spot" has detached from the source and moved down gradient
Figure 3.1	Variation in the direction and magnitude of ground water flow at an MTBE site in Elizabeth City, North Carolina
Figure 3.2	Variation in the direction of ground water flow at gasoline spill sites in Texas15
Figure 3.3	Association of sulfate and methane with natural anaerobic biodegradation of MTBE in ground water collected from 61 monitoring wells at thirteen gasoline spill sites in Orange County, California
Figure 3.4	A change in the relative concentration of TBA and MTBE in a monitoring well as evidence for natural biodegradation of MTBE
Figure 4.1	Significant products of the aerobic biodegradation of MTBE
Figure 4.2	Distribution of TBA and MTBE in the most contaminated wells at gasoline spill sites in Orange County, California, in 2002
Figure 4.3	Transition from MTBE to TBA in monitoring wells at gasoline spill sites in Orange County, California
Figure 4.4	Anaerobic biodegradation of MTBE and production of TBA in microcosms constructed with sediment from a gasoline spill site
Figure 4.5	Anaerobic biodegradation of MTBE at high concentration in an enrichment culture constructed with sediment from the microcosms used to produce the data in Figure 4.430
Figure 5.1	An illustration of the kinetic isotope effect
Figure 5.2	Typical changes in the value of $\delta^{13}C$ as MTBE is degraded under aerobic and anaerobic conditions
Figure 5.3	The data from Figure 5.2 have been plotted in units commonly used in the literature on stable isotopes
Figure 5.4	MTBE biodegradation under anaerobic conditions predicted from the $\delta^{13}C$ of MTBE in ground water
Figure 6.1	Concentration of MTBE (µg/L) in selected monitoring wells at a gasoline spill site in Dana Point, California, in August 2004
Figure 6.2	Location of monitoring wells and water table elevations at a gasoline spill site in Newark, Delaware, with high concentrations of TBA in the ground water

Figure 6.3	Distribution of δ^{13} C of MTBE in ground water and the fraction of MTBE remaining from biodegradation as calculated from the concentrations of MTBE and TBA in ground water and the assumption that TBA was produced by biodegradation of MTBE.	15
Figure 7.1	The variance in monitoring data is often proportional to the concentration	18
Figure 7.2	Location of monitoring wells in a plume of MTBE at Parsippany, New Jersey	19
Figure 8.1	Distribution of the rates of attenuation of MTBE over time in source areas of plumes from gasoline spills.	56
Figure 8.2.	Variation in the number of sampling dates in a data set that are required to extract a rate of natural attenuation that is statistically significant.	57
Figure 8.3.	Potential for error when a short data set is used to estimate the rate of attenuation of concentrations over time	57
Figure 8.4	Effect of the number of samples used to calculate a rate of attenuation on the minimum rate of attenuation that is statistically different from zero at 90% confidence	59
Figure 8.5	A monitoring record from a well in Maryland with seasonal maximum concentrations of MTBE in certain years.	59

Tables

Table 2.1.	Rates of Attenuation of MTBE in Source Areas Over Time Contrasted to Rates of Attenuation Along Flow Paths in Ground Water
Table 3.1	Variation in the Standard Deviation of the Direction of Ground Water Flow at 132 Gasoline Stations in Texas
Table 4.1.	Comparison of the Growth Rate of Aerobic Bacteria During Growth on MTBE as the Primary Substrate to the Growth Rate of Bacteria that Grow on Pentane and Fortuitously Metabolizes MTBE and to the Growth Rate of Bacteria that Grow on BTX Compounds20
Table 4.2	Distribution (in percent) of Biodegradation Products of MTBE under Nitrate-reducing, Sulfate-reducing, Iron-reducing, and Methanogenic Conditions
Table 4.3.	Anaerobic Biodegradation of MTBE, TBA, Benzene, and Ethanol in Microcosms Constructed with Aquifer Sediment
Table 4.4	Biodegradation of MTBE in the Most Contaminated Well at 13 Gasoline Spill Sites in Orange County, California, as Predicted by Fractionation of ¹³ C in MTBE29
Table 5.1	Fractionation of Black Dots and White Dots in the Visual Example in Figure 5.132
Table 5.2	Typical Changes in the Ratio of ¹³ C to ¹² C in MTBE During Biodegradation of MTBE under Anaerobic Conditions
Table 6.1	A Comparison Between the Distribution of MTBE and TBA in Ground Water Contaminated by a Fuel Spill in Dana Point, California, and the Extent of MTBE Biodegradation Predicted from the Stable Carbon Isotope Ratio (δ ¹³ C) of the Residual MTBE
Table 6.2	Rates of Natural Biodegradation of MTBE Projected along a Flow Path in Ground Water to Monitoring Wells
Table 6.3	Relationship Between the Extent of Contamination and Biogeochemical Parameters at a Site in Newark, Delaware
Table 6.4	Concentrations of TBA Predicted from Biodegradation of MTBE to TBA at a Site in Newark, Delaware
Table 7.1	Relationship Between the Rate of Attenuation Necessary for Risk Management or for Monitored Natural Attenuation, and the Achieved Rates of Attenuation During Long-term Monitoring
Table 7.2	Long-term Monitoring Data at a Gasoline Spill Site at Parsippany, New Jersey50
Table 7.3	Progress of Natural Attenuation of MTBE at a Gasoline Spill Site at Parsippany, New Jersey
Table 9.1	Typical Quality Performance Data for Continuing Calibration Check Standards for MTBE in Water
Table 9.2	Typical Quality Performance Data for Analysis of MTBE in Water, Including Blanks, Laboratory Duplicates, and Matrix Spikes

Table 9.3	Typical Quality Performance Data for Continuing Calibration Check Standards for TBA in Water.	. 65
Table 9.4	Typical Quality Performance Data for Analysis of TBA in Water, Including Blanks, Laboratory Duplicates, and Matrix Spikes.	66
Table 9.5	Typical Quality Performance Data for Continuing Calibration Check Standards for Benzene in Water.	66
Table 9.6	Typical Quality Performance Data for Analysis of Benzene in Water, Including Blanks, Laboratory Duplicates, and Matrix Spikes.	67
Table 9.7	Typical Quality Performance Data for Continuing Calibration Check Standards for Ethanol in Water.	68
Table 9.8	Typical Quality Performance Data for Analysis of Ethanol in Water, Including Blanks, Laboratory Duplicates, and Matrix Spikes.	68

Acknowledgments

Douglas Mackay at the University of California at Davis, Michael Hyman at North Carolina State University, Theresa Evanson and Aristeo Pelayo with the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, and Harold White with U.S. EPA Office of Underground Storage Tanks provided formal peer reviews. Tomasz Kuder at the University of Oklahoma, Ravi Kolhatkar with Atlantic Richfield Company, Patricia Ellis with the State of Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, and Matthew Small with U.S. EPA Region 9 provided technical reviews. Seth Daugherty with the Local Oversight Program within the Environmental Health Division of the Health Care Agency of Orange County, California, provided technical reviews and valuable suggestions for the technical approach taken in the document and the format and organization of the document.

xii