

#	Reference	Offeror Question	Response
1	<p>TSA OASIS RFP Section 2.1.2, Program Management Support Costs (page 10)  <b>and</b>            EAGLE Prime Contract Provision B.3.1 (b), Program Management Support Costs</p>	<p>The referenced TSA OASIS paragraph indicates that overall program management “associated with the Task Order” is to be included as a percentage of each individual labor category. However, the referenced EAGLE Prime Contract provision states that Task Order project management is expected to be billed directly and not as a percentage of the rates.</p> <p>Please clarify where the offeror is to apply Task Order Program Management costs.</p>	<p><b>EAGLE Contract Reference Restated:</b></p> <p><b><u>“Paragraph B.3.1.(b) – Program Management Support Costs.</u></b>            Contract-level program management support costs are included as a percentage of each individual labor category rate, and encompass support for contract-level management, reporting requirements (See Section F) and related travel and meeting attendance costs associated with the Contractor’s program management staff, as it relates to overall management of the EAGLE Program. As a result, these program management support costs are allocated across all of the task orders issued under this contract. These ‘program management’ support costs are differentiated from individual task order ‘Task Order Manager’ or ‘Project Manager’ support costs, which are billed as hourly labor rates against individual task orders for direct support to the effort performed under those task orders. This will result in direct billings at the task order level for labor hours in the ‘Task Order Manager’ or ‘Project Manager’ categories, to specifically support project management for the task order.”</p> <p>TSA’s requirements will be acquired via a series of <b>Work Orders</b> issued against a TSA Task Order (TSA’s</p>

#	Reference	Offeror Question	Response
			<p>Work Orders are equivalent to task orders mentioned in the EAGLE reference). Program management costs for the Task Order are, in fact, included as a percentage of each individual labor category rate; however, program management costs at the Work Order level (and within work packages comprising the work order) are to be billed as direct labor against these individual work orders. For example, work packages will usually contain a program and/or project management deliverable and a set of associated work products for which program and/or project management is required; these costs <b>should be included as direct labor for accomplishing the work package and, in turn, the work order against the TSA Task Order awarded under OASIS.</b></p>
2	TSA OASIS RFP Section 7.12.1 Substitution of Key Personnel (page 31)	This section refers to resumes submitted for key personnel; however, the Instructions to Offerors do not specify where key personnel resumes should be included in the proposal. Please clarify.	Resumes for key personnel relate to those Contractor staff who will manage the overall engagement. These resumes should be included in the Business Approach Response Document and do not count against the five-page limitation for the Business Approach Response Document. For evaluation purposes, resumes will be considered under the Business Approach Factor, and specifically under the sub-factor TSA Engagement Management Approach (senior management involvement and team leadership).
3	TSA OASIS RFP Section 10.1 (page 84)	Will the Government modify the proposal font requirement to allow offerors to include tables and graphics with a smaller font size than twelve (12) point as long as it is legible when printed?	Smaller fonts in tables and graphics are acceptable so long as they are legible and readable. However, fonts for the narrative portions of proposals must be in 12-point font.
4	TSA OASIS RFP Section 10.1.2 Technical Response Instructions (page 87)	The instructions in this section require offerors to propose ODCs and a level of effort for each proposed labor category for the representative Work Packages. The level of effort and ODCs associated with a	First, the Technical Response Instructions do not <b>require</b> Offerors to propose ODCs for the representative work packages. ODCs should be proposed if the offeror believes the work package

#	Reference	Offeror Question	Response
		<p>representative Work Package could vary significantly depending on the application or scope being delivered. Given that no scope is provided for the representative Work Packages, would the Government consider modifying the instruction to only require proposed labor categories, but no level of effort or ODCs?</p>	<p>contains deliverables and/or work products that cannot be created and delivered without ODCs, or if the Offeror believes that the Government could realize significant benefits by the Offeror's use of one or more ODCs. In either case, those ODCs should be included in the proposal for that work package.</p> <p>Secondly, the content of Representative Work Packages will be used to create future work packages. Therefore, offerors must propose labor categories and levels of effort for these Work Packages. Absent specific scope information for these Representative Work Packages, offerors are encouraged to propose the labor categories and levels of effort they believe will be required to create the deliverables and associated work products in the Representative Work Packages.</p> <p>The Government believes that each Offeror's explanations of its methodology for estimating these Representative Work Packages will provide useful information for the evaluation process.</p>
5	TSA OASIS RFP Section 11.2.2.2.1 Innovation Evaluation (page 96)	This bullet references something called an "Innovation Document", yet this is not listed as a work product or deliverable in any of the Work Packages. We assume that this would be addressed in the 5 page Technical Response for each Work Package. Please clarify.	Correct.
6	TSA OASIS RFP Section 11.2.3 (page 97)	We assume CLIN equates to Work Package. Please confirm.	Correct.
7	TSA OASIS RFP Attachment 5, Sections 1.1.2.1 – 1.1.2.1.4 (pages 117-118) and Appendix 6, Section 5 (pages 145-159)	These sections in Attachment 5 discuss the various provisioning requirements that could be associated with Work Packages. However, the Work packages for Work Order 1 in Appendix 6 do not specify any provisioning guidance. Please specify.	All effort in Work Order 1 is to be accomplished on the Government site.
8	TSA OASIS RFP Appendix 6, Section 5.1.4 (Page 143)	The overall Period of Performance for Work Order 1 is specified to be 12 months. The delivery schedule for	The period of performance for EDB Platform Application Development and HTMLDB Platform

#	Reference	Offeror Question	Response
		<p>EDB Platform Application Development and HTMLDB Platform Application Development Work Packages are both specified as 12 months also. We assume the overall delivery schedule for EDB Framework.NET Conversion and Enhancement Work Package is 12 months (vs. 45 days). Please confirm.</p>	<p>Application Development Work Packages is 12 months; these two work packages are intended to iterate multiple cycles during the overall 12-month period of performance for Work Order 1. The specific number of cycles for these efforts will ultimately depend upon the agreed-upon price for these two work packages..</p> <p>For the EDB Framework .NET Conversion work package, the delivery schedule is, in fact, 45 days; the delivery schedule for this work package is 45 days. This project is a “one time” effort to enhance the EDB platform.</p> <p>The EDB Platform Application Development Work Package would then leverage those enhancements during succeeding iterations of the EDB Platform Application Development work package during the 12-month period of performance. For proposal submission purposes, Offerors are to assume that the EDB Platform Applications Development work package will be “iterated” 38 times during the period of performance for Work Order 1. For evaluation purposes, Offerors are to assume that the HTMLDB Platform Development work package will be iterated 24 times during the period of performance for Work Order 1.</p>
9	<p>TSA OASIS RFP Appendix 6, Section 5.1.5 (page 143) and Deliverable and Labor Resources Microsoft Excel Spreadsheet for EDB Framework.NET</p>	<p>The list of deliverables and work products specified for Work Package 5.1.5 EDB Framework.NET in Appendix 6 are different from the list provided in the Deliverable and Labor Resources Spreadsheet for the same Work Package. We assume the information in Appendix 6 is correct. Please confirm.</p>	<p>The information in Appendix 6 is correct. Please cut and paste the information for this work package as shown in Appendix 6 into the Deliverable and Labor Resource Spreadsheet entry for this work package.</p>
10	<p>TSA OASIS RFP Appendix 6, Section 5.2.2 (page 145)</p>	<p>Please clarify the anticipated number of applications, and associated functionality to be developed during the</p>	<p>TSA is not able to identify any <i>specific</i> applications and associated functionality to be developed during the</p>

OASIS RFP HSTS03-07-R-CIO209  
 Amendment A00002  
 Attachment A

#	Reference	Offeror Question	Response
		12 month period of performance.	12-month period of performance. However, for proposal submission purposes, Offerors are to assume that the (enter the specific work package Page 145 refers to) will be “iterated” 38 times during the period of performance for Work Order 1.
11	TSA OASIS RFP Appendix 6, Section 5.3.2 (page 147)	Please clarify the anticipated number of applications, and associated functionality to be developed during the 12 month period of performance.	TSA is not able to identify any <i>specific</i> applications and associated functionality to be developed during the 12-month period of performance. However, for proposal submission purposes, Offerors are to assume that the (enter the specific work package Page 147 refers to) will be “iterated” 24 times during the period of performance for Work Order 1.