

GHG Protocol Update

Climate Leaders Partner Meeting January 12-13, 2004 Janet Ranganathan, WRI



World Business Council for Sustainable Development







Presentation structure

Revised Corporate Standard Draft Project Quantification Standard

3. Other news







Corporate Standard: what's in it?

Standards • Principles

- Organizational Boundaries
- •Operational Boundaries
- •Historic Datum
- •Reporting GHG emissions

Guidance

•Business goals and inventory design

, ,

- Accounting for GHG reduction
- •Identifying GHG sources
- •Managing inventory quality
- •Verification of GHG emissions
- •Setting a GHG target

Calculation tools

•Web-based, user-friendly, step-by-step guidance

•Build on IPCC methodologies

•Sector-specific tools developed in industry-led efforts (e.g. cement, pulp & paper, aluminum)

www.ghgprotocol.org





Changes to the 1st Edition Setting Organizational Boundaries

- Companies can now choose between equity or control for reporting purposes
- > Two criteria added to the definition of 'control'
 - Financial: Rights to majority of benefits/retains majority of risk
 - Operational: Authority to introduce and implement operational policy
- Quantitative threshold removed for equity ownership



Changes to the 1st Edition Setting Operational Boundaries

Leases, outsourcing and franchises: Apply chosen equity share or control approach

Chosen approach:	Determines whether emissions from leased assets are direct or indirect
Equity Share	If the asset is <u>treated as wholly owned</u> and is <u>recorded as such</u> on the balance sheet (e.g. a financial/capital lease) report in scope 1
Financial Control	If the asset is <u>treated as wholly owned</u> and is <u>recorded as such</u> on the balance sheet (e.g. a financial/capital lease) report in scope 1
Operational Control	If the asset is <u>operated in accordance with the</u> lessee's operational policies

If emissions are not included in scope 1 or 2 according to these standards, they may be included in scope 3



Scope 3:



Changes to the 1st Edition Setting Operational Boundaries

- Scope 2: ≻"consumption" added to definition to avoid double counting (within scope 2)
 - Emissions associated with T&D loses: expanded guidance
 - Entity that owns/controls T&D equipment reports in Scope 2
 - Reflects where energy is actually consumed
 - Simplifies calculation by using common emission factors
 - End user reports in Scope 3

➤additional guidance and examples





Changes to the 1st Edition Setting Operational Boundaries – purchased electricity









Revisions to the 1st Edition Inventory Quality

Defines an inventory quality program framework, including:

- how to implement a quality management plan
- practical measures for checking quality, establishing feedback cycles, and documenting information

>Introduces concept of uncertainty

- Understanding and assessing uncertainty can improve inventory quality by focusing improvement efforts
- Common misunderstanding: data uncertainty prevents accurate comparison over time - uncertainty can often be ignored when comparing emissions over time







Revisions to the 1st Edition Accounting for GHG Reductions

Internal project reductions usually captured in inventory

External project reductions - calculated separately

Accounting for Green Power

- > In consumer's regional grid: changes scopes 2 emissions
- Outside consumer's regional grid: Account for "Renewable Energy Certificates" like external project reductions
- Double counting of reductions will persist until emission factor calculations "back out" green power and REC contracts







Changes to the 1st Edition New Chapter: Voluntary GHG targets

1. Obtain senior management commitment			
2. Decide on the target type			
Absolu	ite vs. intensity		
3. Decide on the target boundary			
Which GHGs?	Which geographical operations?		
Which direct and indirect emissions	? Treat business types separately?		
4. Choose the target base year			
Use the fixed or rolling target base year approach?			
5. Define the target time period			
6. Decide on the use of external project reductions			
7. Decide on the target level			
8. Track and report progress against the target			
Establish target double counting policy Make regular performance checks Report performance in relation to the target			







Summary - revised corporate standard

- ✤ To be published February, now in final editing and design stage
- ✤ The water is cleaner, and the baby is still in the bath!
- ✤ Main changes to the first edition
 - More verification-friendly language ("shall"), which also aims to increase clarity as to what is required to produce a report "in accordance with" GHG Protocol (this does not affect the structure of the document)
 - Increased flexibility in choosing Organizational Boundaries
 - More specificity for indirect emissions from purchased electricity (still a required category)
 - Improved guidance
 - ✤ New case studies reflect how accounting practice has advanced in the meantime
 - New guidance chapter: Setting a GHG target
 - New Appendix: Issue piece for companies wishing to account for sequestered carbon





Changes to the 1st Edition Introduction of "shall and "should" terminology

 Distinguishes between required and optional elements
 Improves consistency and credibility
 Facilitates optional third-part verification







Setting the scene

2. Draft Project Quantification Standard

- Introduction to GHG accounting
- . Quantification steps
- III. Baseline procedures
- IV. Annexes
- V. Glossary
- VI. References
- VII. List of Contributors

Sector- specific guidance - to complement framework standard





Quantification Steps

- 1. Describe the project
- 2. Check Project Eligibility
- 3. Initial Additionality Screen
- 4. Select a baseline for each primary effect
- 5. Identify and assess secondary effects
- 6. Calculate reduction and classify ownership
- 7. Establish monitoring plan





Three alternative baseline procedures

Three Procedures

Project Specific Baseline

Performance Standard



Setting the scene





Addressing Additionality: a two-fold approach

- 1. Regulatory Additionality Screen
 - Integrated into baseline setting
- 2. Project specific \rightarrow Barriers \rightarrow

Performance Standard - Stringency level

Retrofits --- before end-of-life







Project Quantification Standard - Next Steps

- 1. Complete road test assessments (30+)
- 2. Stakeholder and road test meetings January 04
- 3. Form Revision Management Team
- 4. WBCSD synthesize feedback and recommendation
- 5. Revision Management Team meeting
- 6. Redrafting
- 7. Revision Management Team approval
- 8. First edition published







3. Other News

Corporate Standard translated into French, Japanese, & Chinese; Spanish and Portuguese forthcoming

➢New CHP, HFC and uncertainty tools; work to begin on electricity sector tool; improvements to existing tools

Capacity building in developing countries: India, S.Africa, Philippines, & Mexico







GHG Protocol impact on GHG accounting practice







Adoption by businesses (those that we know of...)

Automobile Manufacturers

Ford Motor Company, USA Volkswagen, Germany

<u>Cement</u>

Cemex, Mexico Cimpor, Brazil Heidelberger Cement, Germany Holcim, USA (and worldwide Holcim facilities) Italcementi, Italy Lafarge, France and North America RMC, UK St. Lawrence Cement Inc., Canada Siam Cement, Thailand Taiheiyo, Japan Votorantim, Brazil

Consumer Goods

Manufacturers

Bank of America Body Shop, UK Cargill, USA Eastman Kodak, USA Fetzer Vineyards, USA

IBM, USA

IKEA International. Sweden Johnson & Johnson, USA Miller Brewing Company, USA Nike, USA Norm Thompson Outfitters, USA Pfizer Inc., USA Raytheon, USA SC Johnson, USA Sony Electronics, Japan Starbucks Coffee, USA Staples Inc., USA Sun Microsystems Target Corporation, USA Unilever HPC, USA United Technologies Corporation, USA

Energy Services

Birka Energi, Sweden Cinergy, USA Edison Mission Energy, USA ENDESA, Spain Exelon Corporation, USA FPL Group, Inc., USA General Electric, USA Green Mountain Energy, USA Kansai Electric Power, Japan Mirant, USA N.V. Nuon Renewable Energy, Netherlands PSEG, USA Seattle City Light, USA Tokyo Gas, Japan Wisconsin Electric, USA We Energies, USA

Oil and Gas

BP, USA Norsk Hydro, Norway Shell Canada, Canada Suncor, USA

Industrial Manufacturers/ Mining

Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. Alcan Aluminum Corporation, USA Alcoa, USA Ball Corporation, USA Baltimore Aircoil, USA Baxter International, USA Bethlehem Steel Corporation, USA CODELCO, Chile DuPont, Inc. Interface, Inc., USA International Paper, USA ITC Inc., India Lockheed Martin Corporation, USA Philips & Yaming, China Simplex Paper & Pulp, India STMicroelectronics, Switzerland StoraEnso, Finland Tata Steel, India United States Steel Corporation

Non-Government Organizations

World Business Council for Sustainable Development, Switzerland World Resources Institute, USA

Services

500 PPM GmbH, Germany AstraZeneca, UK Casella Waste Systems, Inc., USA DHL, USA European Bank for Reconstruction & Development PE Europe, Germany PowerComm, Canada Price Waterhouse Coopers, New Zealand Verizon Communications, USA



World Business Council for Sustainable Development



World Resources Institute

Thank You!

Comments & Questions









Revising the 1st Edition **Tracking Emissions Over Time**

Base Years

Choose year with verifiable data

>Adjustments triggered by:

- Structural change (e.g. mergers, acquisitions, divestments, insourcing, outsourcing, not organic growth/decline)
- Changes in methodology or accuracy improvements

>Timing:

Mid-year changes: Adjust to include entire year's emissions to avoid later recalculation.

