Lockheed Martin Energy and Climate Energy Efficiency EPA Climate Leaders Meeting Boulder Co, Dec 5





 History of Capital Investment program
Energy Reduction & Payback on Investments

3. Environmental Impact

4. Sample Completed Projects

5. Lessons Learned

6. Summary

History of Capital Investment

Why

Needed to drive change from the Top

How

- A central source of capital funding to support energy related construction projects through out all business areas.
- Based on simple payback and IRR analysis, from \$ 5- 10 M a year is granted to projects showing the best return and energy reduction .
- From 2002 through 2007, 167 projects have received over \$ 41 M in Capital Funding

Project Distribution

Dollar Distribution by Process \$ K



Energy Reduction and Environmental Impact





Metric Tons of CO2 Reduction Per Year (Elec, Gas & Oil Reduction)

- Total Annual Savings = \$ 17 M, payback = 2.32 yrs ightarrow
- Total Annual Reduction 166K MWh
- Total Annual CO2 Reduction 96K Metric Tons



CHP Project - 2005

- Project Description : Provide self generation of 500 kw of electric power using existing main steam system.
- Project Cost : \$ 805K
- Energy Savings : \$ 285K/year
- Payback: 3.3 yrs IRR : 22%
- KwH Savings : 3,500,000
- Steam load will also be serving Steam Absorption chiller providing cooling during summer months.

Regenerative Thermal Oxidizer System



Thermal Oxidizer Natural Gas Use 2003 – December 2006

Minimize Consumption and Cost



~50% Reduction in Natural Gas Use; 283,835 KwH Reduction

Lessons Learned

- Need for gated capital
- Focused projects; Strategic Investments
 - Better analysis
 - Validation
 - Reporting
- Capital Investment increase



- Engineering Support
- Vendor Support
- High Level Representation in each line of business
- Commitment to cooperate and participate
- Road Maps developed by LOB and Site
- Increase in Overhead/Expense Funding
- Future Investments include Alternative Technologies
 - Biomass, Fuel Cell, Solar etc.

