



Greenhouse Gas Inventory 101

Session 2: Translating Inventory Results into Action

You will hear music until the webcast begins.
Slides will be e-mailed to all participants.



Greenhouse Gas Inventory

101

Session 2: Translating Inventory Results into Action

November 6, 2007

Andrea Denny, EPA & Karl Hausker, ICF





Welcome and background

- Clean Energy Environment Programs.
 - Promote cost-effective clean energy strategies that achieve environmental, energy, public health and economic benefits.
 - Clean Energy Environment State Program.
 - Clean Energy Environment Municipal Network.

www.epa.gov/cleanenergy/stateandlocal

Additional inventory expertise on the phone today.

- ICLEI.
- USCM.
- ENERGY STAR.





Logistics

- Phone lines are muted to control background noise.
- Please use question/comment box to submit your questions, we will consolidate questions and ask them during the Q&A session at the end of the presentation.
- Please use color indicators to show if you are confused or need the presenter to slow down. We will keep an eye on this during the presentation.
- We will notify participants of where the recording will be online once it is available.
- Feedback after the training is welcomed, please email denny.andrea@epa.gov with questions or comments.





Session 2

- Audience:
 - Multi-state, regional, state, city, county, local government, and tribal representatives, and urban regional organizations.
- Goal:
 - Translating inventory results into action: options for setting emission reduction goals and examining policies that would meet goals.
 - “Positive” not a “normative” exercise. This presentation is not endorsing any policies, but rather describing what has been done and the various rationales used.





Outline

- Uses of inventory data.
- Tracking emissions and progress.
- Setting emission reduction goals.
- Criteria cited in setting goals.
- Policy options for meeting goals.
- Evaluating policy options.
- Processes for setting goals and policies.





Uses of inventory data

- Identifying sources of emissions that are de minimus.
- Identify major sources: current magnitude and/or steep growth.
- Projecting future emissions (covered in Session 3 for states).
- Benchmarking progress.




Tracking emissions and progress

- Improving estimates over time:
 - Changes in methodology.
 - Changes/improvements in data.
- Importance of building “infrastructure” for measurement, especially for major sources.



Setting emission reduction goals

- Scope
 - GHGs: all six gases or a subset?
 - Sectors: economy-wide or some sectors?
- Timeframe
 - short-term: 2010-2012.
 - mid-term: 2020-2030.
 - long-term: 2040, 2050, beyond.
- Emission goals for each timeframe
 - Choice of base year.



Examples: regional goals

	Western Climate Initiative ¹	Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative ²	New England Governors and Eastern Canadian Premiers ³
Scope	Economy-wide emissions Reduction of the 6 main GHGs: CO ₂ , CH ₄ , N ₂ O, SF ₆ , PFCs, HFCs	Electric power sector Reduction of CO ₂	Economy-wide emissions Reduction of the 6 main GHGs: CO ₂ , CH ₄ , N ₂ O, SF ₆ , PFCs, HFCs
Timeframe	2007-2020	2009-2018	2008-2020
Emission Goals	Reduction of 15% below 2005 levels by 2020	Stabilize emissions 2009-2015; achieve a 10 % reduction of 2015 levels by 2019	1990 level by 2010; 10% below by 2020; ultimately 75-85%

¹Includes AZ, CA, NM, OR, WA, British Columbia, Manitoba.

²Includes CT, DE, ME, NH, NJ, NY, and VT.

³Includes CT, ME, MA, NH, RI, VT and the Premiers of Québec and the Atlantic provinces (New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, Nova Scotia and Prince Edward Island).



Examples: state goals

	California	Arizona	Minnesota
Scope	Economy-wide emissions	Economy-wide emissions	Economy-wide emissions
	Reduction of the main 6 GHGs: CO ₂ , CH ₄ , N ₂ O, SF ₆ , PFCs, HFCs	Reduction of the main 6 GHGs: CO ₂ , CH ₄ , N ₂ O, SF ₆ , PFCs, HFCs	Reduction of the main 6 GHGs: CO ₂ , CH ₄ , N ₂ O, SF ₆ , PFCs, HFCs
Timeframe	2007 - 2050	2007 - 2040	2008 - 2050
Emission Goals	1990 levels by 2020; 80 % below 1990 levels by 2050	2000 levels by 2020; 50% below 2000 levels by 2040	15% below 2005 levels by 2015; 30% by 2025; 80% by 2050



Examples: local government goals

Scope	Economy-wide emissions	Economy-wide emissions	Business and household emissions
	Reduction of the 3 GHGs: CO ₂ , CH ₄ , N ₂ O	Reduction of predominant GHGs: CO ₂ and CH ₄	CO ₂ emissions
Timeframe	2007-2030	2007-2012	2003-2010
Emission Goals	Reduce GHG emissions 35% below 1990 levels by 2030	Reduce GHG emissions to 7% below 1990 levels by 2012	Reduce GHG emissions to 10% below 1997 levels by 2010

Note: Local governments sometimes do inventories and set goals from two perspectives: “municipal operations” (city owned/operated facilities) and “community-wide” (economy-wide)



Criteria cited in setting goals

- “Science-based”
 - “80% below 1990 levels by 2050.”
- “Precedent” or “consistency”
 - Mayors’ Climate Protection Agreement uses emission reduction goals from Kyoto Protocol. (7% below 1990 levels by 2012)
 - Use of 1990 as base year.
- Technical and economic feasibility.
 - Short-term, mid-term goals.
 - Increasing use of 2000 base year or later.
- Setting goals is an art, not a science.





Observations on goal-setting, policy options, and their interplay

- The “cost-effectiveness” perspective.
 - Substantial attention paid to \$/ton estimates, and desire to avoid very expensive options.
- Strong interest in environmental co-benefits, macro impacts, jobs, energy security.
- Interplay in selecting policy options.
 - Goals first, then analysis of how to achieve.
 - Analysis first, then set goals.



Policy options for meeting goals

- Regulations/Standards.
- Market-based mechanisms.
- Tax incentives, loans, grants.
- Lead-by-example, pilot projects.
- Disclosure, reporting, and registries
- Voluntary programs.
- Technical assistance, information and education.





Regulations/standards

- Transportation.
 - Biofuel mandates, low-carbon fuel standards.
 - GHG regulations for light-duty vehicles. (“Pavley standards”- California)
 - Phase-in of all hybrid taxis. (New York City)
- Energy efficiency.
 - Appliance standards.
 - Building energy codes.





Regulations/standards (continued)

- Power sector.
 - GHG performance standards for new power plants.
 - Renewable portfolio standards.
 - Net metering standards.
 - Interconnection standards.



Market-based mechanisms

- Carbon taxes, energy taxes.
 - Boulder, CO example.
- Congestion fees.
 - New York City proposal.
- Cap-and-trade policies.
 - Western Climate Initiative, RGGI.
- Offset requirements for new power plants.
 - Oregon.



Tax incentives, loans, grants

- Tax reductions (personal income, corporate, sales, property) related to adoption of energy efficiency/renewable energy.
- Production incentives for biofuels.
- Public benefits funds.





Lead-by-example, pilot projects

- GHG goal for state or local government operations.
- Green power purchasing.
- Energy efficiency standards for public buildings.
- Pilot projects on to lower barriers to new technology.
 - Chicago city hall green roof.
 - Ohio pilot on carbon capture and sequestration.
 - Pennsylvania support to first biofuel blending station.





Disclosure, reporting, registries

- Mandatory reporting of GHG emissions by large sources.
 - Wisconsin requires mandatory reporting for large sources.
- Disclosure of building energy performance to prospective buyers. (new California policy)
- State GHG registries.
 - 39 states collaborating in the Climate Registry.
www.theclimateregistry.org





Voluntary programs

- State and local government participation in EPA programs.
 - ENERGY STAR, WasteWise, Natural Gas Star, etc.
- State and local initiatives.





ENERGY STAR

- Use Portfolio Manager to inventory energy use, set goals, and measure energy reductions for buildings and wastewater treatment plants (drinking water coming soon) – www.energystar.gov/benchmark
- Emissions data based on E-Grid.





Technical assistance, information and education

- Support for Smart Growth, form-based codes, transit-oriented development, etc.
- Smart meters to better inform consumer decisions on electricity consumption.
- Driver education on vehicle operation and maintenance.



Evaluating/screening policy options

- “Is the policy working someplace else?”
- Simple spreadsheet analysis and projections.
- Dynamic multi-sector models.
- Choices may depend on resources available.



Processes for choosing goals and policies

- Stakeholder-driven.
 - “Blue ribbon panel.”
 - Facilitated, collaborative process.
 - Not binding on government but can build public acceptance.
 - Can be time- and resource-intensive.
- Agency-driven.
 - Agency typically seeks expert and stakeholder input, but is less formal.
 - May be quicker, but less public acceptance.





Additional resources

- EPA Climate Change – State and Local Government.
www.epa.gov/climatechange/wycd/stateandlocalgov/index.html
- EPA State and Local Clean Energy Programs.
www.epa.gov/cleanenergy/stateandlocal/index.htm
- ENERGY STAR for Governments.
http://energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=government.bus_government
- Database of State Incentives for Renewables and Efficiency.
<http://www.dsireusa.org/>
- ICLEI USA.
<http://www.iclei.org/index.php?id=391>





Final session

Third Session: State Inventory Tool (SIT) Training Session

This session is recommended for state officials since the tool is designed to incorporate state-level data.

December 5, 2007; 2-3:30 EST

EPA's State Inventory Tool (SIT) is an interactive Excel-based suite of tools that assists with the development of a state-level greenhouse gas emission inventory. This detailed training for the SIT modules includes implementation of state data to assess GHG emissions by source and sector.

To register, e-mail: Inventory101@icfi.com





For more information:

Andrea Denny

State and Local Branch, U.S. EPA

1200 Pennsylvania Avenue (6202 J)


Washington, DC 20460

Phone (202) 343-9268

Fax (202) 343-2337

Denny.Andrea@epa.gov





Q&A session

